

Guy's and St Thomas'



NHS Foundation Trust

Good to Go:

Introduction

With an ageing population, older people are now more likely to live with complex co-morbidities, disability and frailty, requiring multiple services. Care transfers from hospital to home for older people with complex needs should be person-centred with effective multidisciplinary teamwork (Bolsch et al. 2005), but are a challenge nationally. 'Good to Go' was developed as part of a programme of work to enhance care transfers within Southwark and Lambeth Integrated Care (SLIC).

Findings from a literature review of best practice, a patient survey and a staff scoping exercise indicated the need to better understand integrated care. There was strong support for inter-professional simulation training, which can improve understanding of each profession's role (Tofil et al. 2014).

Aims

- To draw upon shared experience and knowledge to promote best practice for safe care transfers across a range of settings.
- To enhance discharge planning skills including effective communication, assessment and evaluation of needs and the ability to work within a multiagency, multi-professional arena.



Method

The course design reflected a patient journey from hospital to community care, based on experiences local people described. It was aimed at health and social care professionals whose roles involved care transfers of older people.

The course consisted of mixed-modality simulation activities including use of actors and the opportunity for learners to experience the consequent challenges older people face in performing everyday tasks through wearing a suit which replicates physical constraints i.e. reduced movement, vision and hearing.

Six courses (June-September 2015) were funded by a local education board. There was no backfill for course participants so engagement with key stakeholders was essential for enabling attendance.

Enhancing care transfers from hospital to home for older people with complex needs

Beth Thomas¹, Lesley Baillie², Fiona Martin³, Susie Sykes² and Judy Scotter²

1. Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust; 2. London South Bank University; 3. Southwark & Lambeth Integrated Care



Kirkpatrick

Level 1:

training

Level 2:

Learning

Level 3:

Application

of learning

(behaviour)

Model (1994)

Initial reaction to

Findings

others see us.

professions.

family:

discharge planning.

more empathetic

perspectives

leading to increased:

dependency experienced.

Simulation seen as powerful and realistic. A valued

opportunity to reflect on what we do and how

Multi-disciplinary training perceived important

insight into other parts of the patient's journey.

Increased insight into what it feels like to be older

understanding and value attributed to other

- feelings of vulnerability, powerlessness and

Understanding of the challenges facing other

The importance of communicating effectively

Changes in communication with patient and

change in the tone of voice, less patronising

more inclusive and providing more information

reducing anxiety of family and understanding

Changes in functioning of multi-disciplinary team:

reasons behind non-compliance with plan.

making use of wider range of services

more attentive and tolerant of different

contacting services earlier in the planning

Staff reported learning shared with wider team.

Learning and insight has helped shape new

planning for transfer of ITU patients at night

trial of band 4 discharge co-ordinator

across settings and involving family and patient in

Results

49 multi-agency staff attended including social workers, nurses, pharmacists, geriatricians, physiotherapists and occupational therapists working in hospitals and community. Evaluation was based on Kirkpatrick's (1994) model.

Participants completed pre-course (n=44) and postcourse (n=47) questionnaires on the day. Pre-course, 30 (68%) participants reported difficulty with transferring or receiving the care of a patient with complex needs. Post-course, 44 (91%) intended to make changes to their practice, and all believed these would enhance their MDT working.

A purposive sample (n=9) participated in semi-structured interviews exploring perceived application and impact on practice - see emerging themes in table.

> "The course furthered my awareness of MDT working during the discharge process." (Physiotherapist)

Discussion

The course aims were achieved with positive evaluation. The inter-professional learning led to the building of professional relationships and improved understanding of each other's roles.

One course was poorly attended due to late dropouts and the original project timeline was extended to enable sufficient time for rostering staff attendance.

It was originally hoped to co-deliver the course courses.

Level 4:

Results and

outcomes

This inter-professional simulation course was developed from best practice review, local scoping and staff perspectives. Equipping staff with the knowledge and skills to facilitate high quality care transfers for older people in today's challenging context, it strengthens team working across hospital and community settings. The course could be transferred to other settings. A challenge is that staff turnover across London is high but staff could transfer learning to new organisations.

developing food package

Conclusions

with patients, relative or carer involvement but this population was difficult to recruit from due to ongoing health issues. This remains an aspiration for future

References

1. Bolsch K, Johnston B, Giles L, Whitehead C, Phillips P, Crotty M: Hospital to Home: An integrated approach to discharge planning in a rural South Australian Town. Australian Journal of Rural Health 2005; 13, 91-96.

2. Kirkpatrick DL, Kirkpatrick JD. Evaluating Training Programs: The Four Levels. Berrett-Koehler Publishers; 1994.

3. Tofil N, Morris J, Taylor Peterson D, Watts P, Epps C, Harrington K., Leon K., Pierce C and White M: Interprofessional simulation training improves knowledge and teamwork in

nursing and medical students during internal medicine clerkship. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2014; 9(3),189-192.



