Influence of ferroelectric dipole on the photocatalytic activity of

BaTiO₃/a-Fe₂O₃

Yongfei Cui, Joe Briscoe, Rory Wilson, Nadezda V. Tarakina, Steve Dunn*

Materials Research Institute, School of Engineering and Materials Science

Queen Mary University of London

Abstract

Using BaTiO₃ as a model ferroelectric material we investigated the influence of the ferroelectric dipole on the photocatalytic activity of a heterogeneous BaTiO₃/ α -Fe₂O₃ photocatalyst in the decolourisation of Rhodamine B under AM 1.5 G illumination. Two distinct BaTiO₃ samples were used: one with a lower ferroelectric content (BTO) and the other a higher ferroelectric content (BTO-A). It was found that under the identical experimental conditions, the half-time of photodecolourisation (t_{1/2}) was reduced from 87 min for BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ to 55 min for BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃. The reduction of half-time demonstrated a significant improvement of photocatalytic activity of BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ when compared to BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃. Considering the similar surface areas and morphologies of the two catalysts, we propose that the enhanced decolourisation rate of the dye is due to the improved charge carrier separation and extended charge carrier lifetime arising from an interaction with the ferroelectric dipole and the carriers in hematite. Our results demonstrate a new process to control carrier recombination and use the ferroelectric dipole to control the charge carrier transport, overcome their recombination, and extend the charge carrier lifetime of the surface material in a heterogeneous catalyst system.

Introduction

Heterojunctions between semiconductor photocatalysts have been regarded as an effective strategy to improve the overall photocatalytic efficiency through manipulation the interfacial charge transport[1,2], enhancement of visible-light-harvesting[3,4], etc. Ferroelectric materials with a built-in electric field, or piezoelectric materials that develop a polarisation when undergoing strain have been demonstrated to be promising candidates for photocatalysts[5,6] and other optoelectronic[7], energy harvesting[8,9] and electronic devices[10], where aspects of device architecture and materials structures[11,12] influences the performance of a device. The spontaneous polarisation and the associated depolarisation field in ferroelectric materials can drive spatial separation of charge carriers, which will further result in spatially separated redox reactions happening on the surface [13,14].

This raises the question of whether these systems can be combined such that the ferroelectric dipoles in ferroelectric materials affect the adjacent nonferroelectric material in a heterojunction. It has been reported that the water decomposition rate on the thin layer of TiO₂ deposited on ferroelectric LiNbO₃ was polarisation-dependent[15]. This was attributed to the charge carrier behaviours of TiO₂ being affected by the underlying ferroelectric dipole. In addition, it has been found that the redox reactions taking place on a thin layer of non-ferroelectric TiO₂ were spatially separated due to influence from the ferroelectric BaTiO₃ substrate[16,17]. The reason was considered to be that the spatially separated charge carriers generated in the substrate were able to tunnel through the TiO₂ layer and take part in the photochemical reactions. All of these reports suggest the promise of combining ferroelectric materials with non-ferroelectric materials, especially when the anomalous band positions[18] and extended carrier lifetime of a non-centrosymmetric material are considered[19].

In this work, we chose $BaTiO_3$ as the subject of our investigation, which has been previously shown to show interesting properties when used in photocatalysis[6,20]. Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) was chosen as the nonferroelectric material, which has been widely applied in photocatalysis[21] but with the disadvantages of a very short hole diffusion length and charge carrier lifetime[22]. BaTiO₃ and α -Fe₂O₃ were combined to form heterostructured photocatalysts and the influence of the ferroelectric dipole was investigated.

Experimental Methods

The as-received BaTiO₃ (99.9% trace metal basis, $<2 \mu m$) was purchased from Sigma. A simple thermal treatment (1200°C for 10 hours in air) was adapted to increase the ferroelectric tetragonal phase content, following the reported method [6]. The as-received and annealed samples were named as BTO and BTO-A.

The heterostructured catalysts BaTiO₃/ α -Fe₂O₃ were synthesized by deposition of Fe³⁺ on the surface, followed by annealing [23,24]. Specifically, 1.5 g BTO or BTO-A was loaded into 30 ml ethanol solution with a Fe³⁺ concentration of 0.01 M Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O. After stirring and ultra-sonication for 30 mins each, the solution was evaporated at 50 °C. Then the resultant powder was annealed at 300 °C for 10 minutes, followed by ethanol washing thoroughly. Finally the powder was annealed at 300 °C for 6 hours. The synthesized samples were named BTO/Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/Fe₂O₃.

Powder X-ray diffractograms were collected on a Panalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer (Panalytical, Almelo, Netherlands) with an X'Celerator RTMS detector using Cu-K α radiation and 1/4° divergence slits. Data were collected from 5° to 120° with intervals of $\Delta 2\theta$ =0.0167° and a counting time at each point of 200 seconds equivalent. The samples were mounted on zero background silicon substrates.

The microstructures and composition of powder samples were studied by scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Inspect F) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, Jeol JEM 2010). In addition, the surface compositions of photocatalysts were investigated as well by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The BET surface area of powder photocatalysts were

analysed using Micromeritics Gemini VII surface area analyzer using N_2 as the adsorptive gas. Before the measurement, the samples were dehydrated at 100°C for 4 hours under N_2 atmosphere. The optical properties of photocatalysts were measured on Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 UV-Vis spectrophotometer with an integrated sphere attachment by converting the diffuse reflectance spectrum.

The photocatalytic activity of the catalysts was evaluated by decolourisation of Rhodamine B (RhB, Sigma, 99.99 %) dye solution under artificial solar light (Newport, class ABB) fitted with an AM 1.5 filter. 0.15 g catalyst powder was mixed with 50 ml of 10 ppm dye solution, followed by stirring in the dark for 30 minutes before exposure under irradiation with an intensity of 1 sun (100 mWcm⁻²). Equal amounts of solutions were taken for sampling every 30 minutes. After centrifugation to remove the powder catalysts, the optical absorption intensity of the clear solutions was measured using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

Results and Discussion

Characterisation of photocatalysts

The phase compositions of heterogeneous photocatalysts were analysed by XRD, as shown in Fig. 1. The diffraction peaks can be indexed to cubic or tetragonal BaTiO₃, and no characteristic peaks of α -Fe₂O₃ are observed. This can be explained by the low loading (~0.16 wt%) and nanocrystallinity (see Fig. 4.(c) and (d)) of α -Fe₂O₃ on the surface.

The X-ray data were modelled by Rietveld refinement using the program GSAS[25]. The relative weight fractions of the cubic and tetragonal phases, in both the BTO and BTO-A samples, along with their unit cell parameters and a relative estimate of their particle sizes and strains were obtained (Tab. 1). These showed that the BTO-A sample contained more of the tetragonal phase. This variation of the ferroelectric phase content, in these samples, may

provide a possible way to investigate the influence of the ferroelectric dipole on the photocatalytic activity of heterostructured photocatalysts.



Figure 1. XRD patterns of BTO/α -Fe₂O₃ (bottom) and $BTO-A/\alpha$ -Fe₂O₃ (top). The more distinct splitting of peaks at 45° shows more tetragonal phases in the post anneal samples. No characteristic peaks for α -Fe₂O₃ are observed.



Figure 2. Detail of XRD patterns of (a) BTO and (b) BTO-A showing the fit of the Rietveld refinement.

Note: Full XRD patterns are given in supplementary section.

Sample	ВТО				BTO-A			
R_{wp}	0.1364				0.2373			
Reduced χ2 or GoF	2.853				7.467			
Compounds	BaTiO ₃		BaTiO ₃		BaTiO ₃		BaTiO ₃	
Crystal System	Cubic		Tetragonal		Cubic		Tetragonal	
Space Group	Pm-3m		P4mm		Pm-3m		P4mm	
lattice Parameters		SDs		SDs		SDs		SDs
a(Å)	4.009733	0.000098	3.995145	0.000079	4.009125	0.000259	3.994512	0.000045
b(Å)	4.009733	0.000098	3.995145	0.000079	4.009125	0.000259	3.994512	0.000045
c(Å)	4.009733	0.000098	4.031496	0.000108	4.009125	0.000259	4.033964	0.000056
Cell Volume(Å ³)	64.468	0.005	64.347	0.002	64.439	0.012	64.366	0.002
Weight Fraction	0.37539	0.0069	0.62461	0.0042	0.24147	0.0041	0.75853	0.0013

Table 1 Crystallographic data of rietveld refinement for BTO and BTO-A

In addition to the phase composition, the discrepancies in the microstructures of BTO and BTO-A were studied by transmission electron microscopy. BTO was found to consist of crystalline particles of micrometer size. Most of the particles observed exhibited the presence of $\{1-11\}$ twin domains. Formation of such twins is attributed to changing from the cornersharing TiO₆ octahedral stacking to local Ti₂O₉ face-sharing octahedral sequence. $\{1-11\}$ twin domains have been described in detail in several microstructural studies of BTO[26,27], in which the oxygen content has been identified as one of the main factors in the $\{111\}$ twin formation. In BTO-A, the grains appear to contain much larger domains. Very weak diffuse streaks were found in SAED patterns taken along the [110] direction, indicating the presence of disorder in the crystals; no clear evidence of $\{1-11\}$ twin domains present in the annealed samples was found. Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns cannot distinguish unambiguously between tetragonal and cubic crystals since the error in determining the unit cell parameters from the SAED patterns is of the same order as the difference between the *a* and *c* parameters of the tetragonal lattice. However, comparing the XRD and TEM results suggests that the process of annealing increases the size of the crystallographic domains,

reducing the instance of twinning, thus reducing the strain in the crystal and allowing a larger amount of the more stable tetragonal, ferroelectric phase to form. Analysis of the XRD and TEM indicates that the process of strain reduction during annealing involves the breaking up of the most strained cubic and tetragonal grains, to produce small tetragonal grains leading to reduced average tetragonal grain size.



Figure 3. (a) Dark-field image of the BTO grain with twin boundary, (b) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of twin boundary in BTO, and corresponding SAED pattern (c); (d) overview image of the BTO-A grains, (e) SAED pattern taken along the [110] direction with weak lines of diffuse scattering present, (f) HRTEM image and (g) corresponding SAED pattern.

The morphologies of the obtained heterostructured photocatalysts were investigated under SEM and TEM, as shown in Fig. 4. The particle size increases after annealing as can be seen in in Fig. 4(a) and (b) and no obvious secondary phases are observed in either samples. However, the EDX spectrum of BTO/α -Fe₂O₃ (Fig. 4(e)) and $BTO-A/\alpha$ -Fe₂O₃ (Fig. S2)

demonstrates the existence of Fe in the sample. The TEM micrographs (Fig. 4(c) and (d)) further show the heterostructure between a thin layer of second species and BTO or BTO-A. The thickness of this layer varies on the surface, from 10 to 25 nm. No discrepancies of this layer between BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ are observed.



Figure 4. SEM micrographs of (a) BTO/α-Fe₂O₃, (b) BTO-A/α-Fe₂O₃ and TEM micrographs (c) BTO/α-Fe₂O₃, (d)BTO-A/α-Fe₂O₃, and (e) EDX spectrum of BTO/α-Fe₂O₃. A thin layer of Fe species formed on the surface of BTO and BTO-A.

To further demonstrate the successful synthesis of heterogeneous photocatalysts, the surface composition and chemical state of samples was analysed by XPS (Figure 5). The spectra of both samples show signal peaks from Fe element in addition to the peaks from elements Ba, Ti and O. As presented in Fig. 5 (b), the spectrum of Fe 2p is made up of two characteristic

peaks, Fe 2p_{1/2} at 724.2eV and 2p_{3/2} at 710.7 eV respectively. These peak positions are in agreement with the reported XPS peak locations of α -Fe₂O₃ within the common variations between 724.4 and 724.7 eV for Fe 2p_{2/1}, and between 710.6 eV to 711.2 eV for Fe 2p_{3/2}[22,28,29]. Apart from the peak position, a characteristic satellite which is located between the two main peaks also suggests the existence of α -Fe₂O₃ on the surface[22,28,29]. The XPS analysis demonstrates that the Fe species observed with TEM/EDX is α -Fe₂O₃.



Figure 5. XPS spectra of (a) BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ and (b) Fe 2p. The existence of characteristic peaks of Fe in α -Fe₂O₃ demonstrate the material formed on the surface is α -Fe₂O₃.

The optical properties of BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ with bare BTO and BTO-A were investigated as well, as shown in Figure 6. As expected, the absorption intensity of both BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ in the visible-light range increase compared with bare BTO and BTO-A due to the surface loading of Fe₂O₃. As known, α -Fe₂O₃ has a narrow band gap and can absorb most of the visible light[23]. In addition, comparing the absorption spectra between BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃, only a minor discrepancy is seen, indicating the same loading amount of α -Fe₂O₃ and similar absorption properties.



Figure 6. Diffuse reflectance spectra of BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃, BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃, BTO and BTO-A. The absorption in visible-light range increase due to the surface loading of α -Fe₂O₃.

Combining SEM/TEM/EDX and XPS, it can be concluded that heterostructured photocatalysts were produced successfully, and there is no significant difference in the surface composition or chemical state between these two photocatalysts.

The photocatalytic activity of photocatalysts was evaluated by decolourisation of Rhodamine B under solar light (Fig. 7). For reference pure α -Fe₂O₃ was included as well. The adsorption of RhB on α -Fe₂O₃ is highest due to its very large surface area (over 70 m²/g) compared with the other four samples (1-2 m²/g, see Table 2). Despite a strong adsorption, pure α -Fe₂O₃ shows the slowest photodegradation rate in two hours, indicating its poor role as photocatalysts. After BaTiO₃ coupling with α -Fe₂O₃, both heterostructured photocatalysts show improved activity compared with bare BTO or BTO-A, and α -Fe₂O₃ as well. However, the annealed photocatalysts, which contain more ferroelectric phase, show improved activity compared to unannealed samples. Under the identical experiment conditions, BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ also shows improved decolourisation performance with respect to BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ despite a smaller surface area (Fig. 7 and Table 2). The half-time of photodecolourisation decreases from 87 min for BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ to 55 min for BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃.



Figure 7. (a) Photodecolourisation profiles with different photocatalysts, (b) linear fitting profiles of C_0 -C versus. t. BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ shows the highest photocatalytic activity. Both BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ show faster photodecolourisation rate compared to bare BTO and BTO-A respectively.

Photocatalysts	a-Fe ₂ O ₃	вто	BTO-A	BTO/a-Fe ₂ O ₃	BTO-A/α- Fe ₂ O ₃
BET Surface Area (m ² /g)	72.17	2.06	0.86	2.74	0.66
t _{1/2} (min)	252	193	131	87	55
kobs	0.0038	0.00509	0.00773	0.00973	0.0153
R ²	0.994	0.976	0.997	0.981	0.998

Table 2 Surface areas, calculated $t_{1/2}$ and k_{obs} of different photocatalysts

As discussed in our previous work[6], the higher level of tetragonal material in the BTO-A sample and corresponding Fe_2O_3 -coated sample would be expected to increase the ferroelectric phase content and have an predominant impact on the catalytic activity, which will be discussed below in detail.

The advantage of ferroelectric photocatalysts can be explained by the different band configuration in the heterojunction structure, as shown in Fig. 8. The specific conduction band positions of BaTiO₃ and α -Fe₂O₃ were determined based on the empirical formula, $E_{CB} = X - E_e - 0.5E_g$ [30]. X is the electronegativity of the semiconductor, E_e is the energy of free electrons on the hydrogen scale (about 4.5 eV), and E_g is the band gap energy of the semiconductor. The band gap energy of BaTiO₃ and α -Fe₂O₃ were assumed to be 3.2 eV and 2.2 eV [22,31]. Then the conduction band edge of BaTiO₃ and α -Fe₂O₃ were calculated to be at -0.86 eV and 0.29 eV versus NHE. Accordingly the valence band positions were at 2.34 eV and 2.49 eV respectively. As for the Fermi level position of n-type BaTiO₃, it was assumed to be 0.1 eV lower than the conduction band edge[31,32] A 5.4 eV work function of α -Fe₂O₃ [33] was used to situate its Fermi level relative to the vacuum level, i.e. 0.61 eV below its conduction band edge.



Figure 8. Schematic showing the band configuration of (a) BTO/α -Fe₂O₃ and (b) $BTO-A/\alpha$ -Fe₂O₃.

When two semiconductors are contacted with each other, the electrons will flow from the material with a higher Fermi level to that with a lower Fermi level to achieve Fermi level alignment[34]. This will result in band bending and space charge layer formation, which is similar to a typical p-n junction. After considering the band structures of BTO and α -Fe₂O₃, the mechanism shown in Fig. 8(a) was put forward in the case of non-ferroelectric BTO. The improved charge carrier transport due to the electric field in space charge layer accounted for the enhanced photodecolourisation rate of BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃.

With respect to ferroelectric BTO-A system, the band bending due to ferroelectricity should be considered apart from band bending arising from heterojunction. The band bending contributed from the polarisation of BaTiO₃ will counteract or superimpose the band bending due to the heterojunction, depending on the polarisation directions[35,36]. At the negative charged surface of BaTiO₃ (C-) where the bands bend upward, electrons and holes can be efficiently separated due to the difference electrochemical potential of conduction and valence band across the interface. As for the positive charged region (C+) where the band bends downward, electrons accumulate in the interface, and it may be possible for electrons to tunnel through the layer of Fe₂O₃ considering its low thickness (<10 nm) or the electrons can be directly available in the regions where not covered by Fe₂O₃ (Fig. 4, TEM micrographs).

In addition, it should be mentioned that the charge carrier behaviour of α -Fe₂O₃ coupled on ferroelectric BTO-A can be influenced by the polarisation of BTO-A. It has been recently reported [37] that in a coupled semiconductor-ferroelectric Ag₂O-BaTiO₃ system, the polarisation field in BaTiO₃ can act as driving force to attract or repel charge carriers in the attached Ag₂O. This similar phenomenon was observed in the hybrid polymer/ZnO photovoltaic devices, and a 3-fold increase in charge carrier lifetime was obtained under applied acoustic vibration[38]. The credit was given to the piezoelectric polarisation of ZnO nanorods, reducing recombination and extending carrier lifetime. Therefore, a similar statement was proposed in our system. In positive polarisation, electrons will move towards the interface while holes accumulated near the interface in the case of negative polarisations. Then the charge carrier lifetime of α -Fe₂O₃ will be extended due to this enhanced carrier separation, which can also contribute to the enhancement in photocatalytic activity.

Conclusions

The heterogeneous photocatalysts BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ and BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ were successfully synthesised as demonstrated by XRD, SEM/TEM/EDX and XPS analysis, showing that BTO-A had a higher tetragonal, and therefore ferroelectric phase content compared to BTO. Their photocatalytic activity were compared through photodecolourisation of Rhodamine B under artificial sunlight. It was found under the identical experimental conditions, BTO-A/ α -Fe₂O₃ showed higher photodecolourisation rate compared to BTO/ α -Fe₂O₃. This was attributed predominantly to the efficient charge carrier separation at the interface and improved lifetime of charge carriers in α -Fe₂O₃ due to the increased ferroelectric dipole in BTO-A.

References

- H.G. Kim, P.H. Borse, J.S. Jang, E.D. Jeong, O.-S. Jung, Y.J. Suh, et al., Fabrication of CaFe2O4/MgFe2O4 bulk heterojunction for enhanced visible light photocatalysis, Chem. Commun. (2009) 5889–5891. doi:10.1039/B911805E.
- D. Wang, Z. Zou, J. Ye, Photocatalytic Water Splitting with the Cr-Doped Ba2In2O5/In2O3 Composite Oxide Semiconductors, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 3255– 3261. doi:10.1021/cm0477117.
- [3] D.R. Baker, P. V Kamat, Photosensitization of TiO2 Nanostructures with CdS Quantum Dots: Particulate versus Tubular Support Architectures, Adv. Funct. Mater. 19 (2009) 805–811. doi:10.1002/adfm.200801173.
- [4] C. Wang, R.L. Thompson, P. Ohodnicki, J. Baltrus, C. Matranga, Size-dependent photocatalytic reduction of CO2 with PbS quantum dot sensitized TiO2 heterostructured photocatalysts, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 13452. doi:10.1039/c1jm12367j.
- [5] L. Li, P.A. Salvador, G.S. Rohrer, Photocatalysts with internal electric fields, Nanoscale. (2013). doi:10.1039/C3NR03998F.
- [6] Y. Cui, J. Briscoe, S. Dunn, Effect of Ferroelectricity on Solar-Light-Driven Photocatalytic Activity of BaTiO3—Influence on the Carrier Separation and Stern Layer Formation, Chem. Mater. 25 (2013) 4215–4223. doi:10.1021/cm402092f.
- [7] S.S. Roy, H. Gleeson, C.P. Shaw, R.W. Whatmore, Z. Huang, Q. Zhang, et al., Growth and characterisation of lead zirconate titanate (30/70) on indium tin oxide coated glass

for oxide ferroelectric-liquid crystal display application, Integr. Ferroelectr. 29 (2000) 189–213.

- [8] L. Loh, J. Briscoe, S. Dunn, Enhanced performance with bismuth ferrite perovskite in ZnO nanorod solid state solar cells., Nanoscale. (2014) 7072–7078. doi:10.1039/c4nr00911h.
- [9] J. Briscoe, M. Stewart, M. Vopson, M. Cain, P.M. Weaver, S. Dunn, Nanostructured p-n Junctions for Kinetic-to-Electrical Energy Conversion, Adv. Energy Mater. 2 (2012) 1261–1268. doi:10.1002/aenm.201200205.
- [10] J. Briscoe, N. Jalali, P. Woolliams, M. Stewart, P.M. Weaver, M. Cain, et al., Measurement techniques for piezoelectric nanogenerators, Energy Environ. Sci. 6 (2013) 3035. doi:10.1039/c3ee41889h.
- [11] S. Dunn, Strain behavior of thin film PbZr0. 3Ti0. 7O3 (30/70) examined through piezoforce microscopy, J. Appl. Phys. 94 (2003) 5964–5968.
- [12] F. Boxberg, N. Sondergaard, H.Q. Xu, Photovoltaics with Piezoelectric Core-Shell Nanowires, Nano Lett. 10 (2010) 1108–1112. doi:10.1021/nl9040934.
- [13] J.L. Giocondi, G.S. Rohrer, Spatially Selective Photochemical Reduction of Silver on the Surface of Ferroelectric Barium Titanate, Chem. Mater. 13 (2001) 241–242. doi:10.1021/cm000890h.
- [14] D. Tiwari, S. Dunn, Photochemistry on a polarisable semi-conductor: what do we understand today?, J. Mater. Sci. 44 (2009) 5063–5079. doi:10.1007/s10853-009-3472-1.
- [15] Y. Inoue, M. Okamura, K. Sato, A thin-film semiconducting titanium dioxide combined with ferroelectrics for photoassisted water decomposition, J. Phys. Chem. 89 (1985) 5184–5187. doi:10.1021/j100270a013.
- [16] N. V Burbure, P.A. Salvador, G.S. Rohrer, Photochemical Reactivity of Titania Films on BaTiO3 Substrates: Origin of Spatial Selectivity, Chem. Mater. 22 (2010) 5823– 5830. doi:10.1021/cm1018025.
- [17] N. V. Burbure, P.A. Salvador, G.S. Rohrer, Photochemical Reactivity of Titania Films on BaTiO 3 Substrates: Influence of Titania Phase and Orientation, Chem. Mater. 22 (2010) 5831–5837. doi:10.1021/cm1018019.
- [18] M. Stock, S. Dunn, LiNbO3--a new material for artificial photosynthesis., IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control. 58 (2011) 1988–93. doi:10.1109/TUFFC.2011.2042.
- [19] S.M. Hatch, J. Briscoe, S. Dunn, A self-powered ZnO-nanorod/CuSCN UV photodetector exhibiting rapid response., Adv. Mater. 25 (2013) 867–71. doi:10.1002/adma.201204488.
- [20] R. Su, Y. Shen, L. Li, D. Zhang, G. Yang, C. Gao, et al., Silver-Modified Nanosized Ferroelectrics as a Novel Photocatalyst, Small. 11 (2015) 202–207. doi:10.1002/smll.201401437.
- [21] A. Di Paola, E. García-López, G. Marcì, L. Palmisano, A survey of photocatalytic materials for environmental remediation, J. Hazard. Mater. 211–212 (2012) 3–29. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.11.050.
- [22] G. Wang, Y. Ling, D.A. Wheeler, K.E.N. George, K. Horsley, C. Heske, et al., Facile Synthesis of Highly Photoactive α-Fe2O3-Based Films for Water Oxidation, Nano Lett. 11 (2011) 3503–3509. doi:10.1021/nl202316j.

- [23] L. Peng, T. Xie, Y. Lu, H. Fan, D. Wang, Synthesis, photoelectric properties and photocatalytic activity of the Fe2O3/TiO2 heterogeneous photocatalysts, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 8033–8041. doi:10.1039/C002460K.
- [24] W. Zhou, H. Fu, K. Pan, C. Tian, Y. Qu, P. Lu, et al., Mesoporous TiO2/α-Fe2O3: Bifunctional Composites for Effective Elimination of Arsenite Contamination through Simultaneous Photocatalytic Oxidation and Adsorption, J. Phys. Chem. C. 112 (2008) 19584–19589. doi:10.1021/jp806594m.
- [25] A.C.L. R. Von Dreele, General Structure Analysis System (GSAS), Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR86-784, 2004.
- [26] C.L. Jia, K. Urban, Atomic-Resolution Measurement of Oxygen Concentration in Oxide Materials, Science (80-.). 303 (2004) 2001–2004. http://science.sciencemag.org/content/303/5666/2001.abstract.
- [27] V. Kraševec, M. Drofenik, D. Kolar, Genesis of the (111) Twin in Barium Titanate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 73 (1990) 856–860. doi:10.1111/j.1151-2916.1990.tb05125.x.
- [28] Y. Guo, G. Zhang, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, Hierarchically structured [small alpha]-Fe2O3/Bi2WO6 composite for photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants under visible light irradiation, RSC Adv. 3 (2013) 2963–2970. doi:10.1039/C2RA22741J.
- [29] T. Yamashita, P. Hayes, Analysis of XPS spectra of Fe2+ and Fe3+ ions in oxide materials, Appl. Surf. Sci. 254 (2008) 2441–2449. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2007.09.063.
- [30] M.A. Butler, D.S. Ginley, Prediction of Flatband Potentials at Semiconductor-Electrolyte Interfaces from Atomic Electronegativities, J. Electrochem. Soc. 125 (1978) 228–232.
- [31] L. Li, G.S. Rohrer, P.A. Salvador, Heterostructured Ceramic Powders for Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production: Nanostructured TiO2 Shells Surrounding Microcrystalline (Ba,Sr)TiO3 Cores, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 95 (2012) 1414–1420. doi:10.1111/j.1551-2916.2012.05076.x.
- [32] L. Li, X. Liu, Y. Zhang, P.A. Salvador, G.S. Rohrer, Heterostructured (Ba,Sr)TiO3/TiO2 core/shell photocatalysts: Influence of processing and structure on hydrogen production, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy. 38 (2013) 6948–6959. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.03.130.
- [33] Z. Fan, X. Wen, S. Yang, J.G. Lu, Controlled p- and n-type doping of Fe2O3 nanobelt field effect transistors, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87 (2005) -. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1977203.
- [34] S.J.A. Moniz, S.A. Shevlin, D.J. Martin, Z.-X. Guo, J. Tang, Visible-light driven heterojunction photocatalysts for water splitting a critical review, Energy Environ. Sci. 8 (2015) 731–759. doi:10.1039/C4EE03271C.
- [35] Y. Watanabe, Energy band diagram of ferroelectric heterostructures and its application to the thermodynamic feasibility of ferroelectric FET, Solid State Ionics. 108 (1998) 59–65. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(98)00019-8.
- [36] Y. Watanabe, Electrical transport through Pb(Zr,Ti)O3 p-n and p-p heterostructures modulated by bound charges at a ferroelectric surface: Ferroelectric p-n diode, Phys. Rev. B. 59 (1999) 11257–11266. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.59.11257.

- [37] H. Li, Y. Sang, S. Chang, X. Huang, Y. Zhang, R. Yang, et al., Enhanced Ferroelectric-Nanocrystal-Based Hybrid Photocatalysis by Ultrasonic-Wave-Generated Piezophototronic Effect, Nano Lett. 15 (2015) 2372–2379. doi:10.1021/nl504630j.
- [38] S. Shoaee, J. Briscoe, J.R. Durrant, S. Dunn, Acoustic Enhancement of Polymer/ZnO Nanorod Photovoltaic Device Performance, Adv. Mater. 26 (2014) 263–268. doi:10.1002/adma.201303304.

Supporting Information



Figure S1. XRD patterns of (a) BTO and (b) BTO-A showing the fit of the Rietveld refinement.



Figure S2. EDX spectrum of BTO-A/α-Fe₂O₃