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Once quiet places protected by walls, museums are increasingly besieged by activist groups. Spurred by social and political causes, they storm the gates bypassing the gatekeepers, to deliver their message and insist that museums become relevant, participatory and interactive, and give voice to their communities and audience. With no place to hide in a sea of digital connections, museums are challenged to find new directions and strategies for the post-digital world. This paper traces these trends, illustrating them using recent examples of art and activism at museums in New York and London, and explores strategies for museums to collaborate with their community and find common ground.

1. INTRODUCTION

Key social movements coalesce around MeToo, decolonize, repatriation, reparation, historical narratives, cultural appropriation, LGBT, and women’s rights notwithstanding questions about the ownership of heritage—who’s stuff is it? From the perspective of art and the artist, we see an increasing prevalence of blending art with politics and social justice into works of art that deliver political punch and readable messages to their audience. What has made these movements more powerful than ever, is the digital ecosystem to which we are all connected. Our global network where people are communicating sans cesse, brings awareness to causes and crises, while setting the stage for things to go viral at any moment.

This inescapable connectedness of life on the Internet, pumping out an ever-increasing volume of messages and text, from social media via Instagram, Twitter and Facebook, to blogs, publications, news, email, texts, voice, audio, and video, has now become home to social and political movements, and to activists and their causes. Living on a digital platform, local becomes national and global, and digital images, artworks and social movements are juxtaposed in new ways provoking new meanings, so that art occupying an impeccable place in the cannon, might be assailed for being sexist or racist, and the museums showing these works, might be met with demands that they be removed. Artists too can be under attack for sexual abuse, or crossing cultural boundaries of identity and gender, as if political correctness has impinged on the art world.

The reality of post-digital life (Bowen & Giannini 2014) means that we no longer choose between real and virtual, physical and digital, as we live in a world where everyone is connected on the Internet, a place where past becomes present and intones the future. As our awareness brims with information across time and space, everything can seem like it only happened yesterday; cf. The Beatles in 1965:

Yesterday
All my troubles seemed so far away
Now it looks as though they’re here to stay
Oh, I believe in yesterday.

With the march of time, historical narratives can become set in stone limiting discussion and analysis as they enter the canon of the art history establishment of sorts, as if time had stopped. By the end of the 20th century, following the rise of pop culture and television, and with the advent of the web, the nature of communication radically changed to digital while opening wide the Internet gates to global conversation and the rapid
expansion of a global digital ecosystem, a home away from home. Art history now moved to a global stage of world culture, which in a real sense has been breaking up history into digital bits that are becoming the raw material for new narratives that challenge the way we think about and interpret the past, with the goal of bringing relevance and contemporary views as we seek inclusion and diversity.

By the dawn of the 21st century, the average person was spending 7 to 8 hours per day looking at a TV screen, and within a few years that number rose to another 5 hours per day spent on computer and smartphone screens, so that by 2018 most Americans were spending 10–12 hours of screen time per day (Fottrell 2018). Concurrently, the art world experienced a steady increase in the use of screens as a media for art. Beginning in the 1980s, video was being used by major artists as Andy Warhol and the Japanese artist Nam June Paik. In the 2000s, with the proliferation of digital media screens, akin to a new digital art palette of artistic expression, the rise of digital art accelerated reaching millions of Internet viewers. At the same time, we have been witnessing a mass migration to the digital ecosphere of social and cultural movements accelerated by social media occupying the web’s town square and converging to form a new phenomenon often referred to as the post-digital world, where we look at screens and through a digital lens to see ourselves and the world in real and virtual life.

**Looking at a Screen (by T. Giannini)**

Looking at a screen  
Silent and unseen  
want to scream  
Can't move  
I'm mesmerized  
My mind paralyzed  
My life  
Unrealized  

Change the channel  
No controller  
Feels bipolar  
Activism  
Passivism  
Prisms of the mind  
I close my eyes  
I see your face  
Fading into the past  
My cell phone rings  
My heart beats fast  
Wrong number  
How long can this last  
Drifting into slumber  
Look away from the screen  
Dream, dream, dream

**Figure 1:** Large digital media screens on 7th Avenue, across from Penn Station in New York City, advertising two Sunday evening PIX@CW TV shows, Supergirl (top) and Charmed, both are about powerful politically savvy women. The screen images change every few seconds. Photograph by T. Giannini.

TV screens are the building blocks for the works of video artist Nam June Paik. His 1989 work, *Fin de Siècle II* is composed of some 200 TV sets on display at the Whitney Museum’s exhibition *Programmed: Rules, Codes, and Choreographies in Art, 1965–2018*, and makes a powerful statement about pop culture and avant-garde art as with Charlotte Moorman’s TV cello by Paik (Wolkoff 2019) that resonates today, as Paik places the screen front and centre, delivering messages on gender, hearing pop icon David Bowie screaming “bored”. TV sets are again the building blocks of his 1996 work, *Electronic Superhighway, Continental U.S.*, a TV set sculpture with a TV set for each of the 50 states in the form of a map of the USA (see Figure 2). The TVs are connected by a series of wires, their colourful flashing images forming shapes and patterns that seem to overcome the work’s physicality, reminiscent of Adrian Piper’s work constructed of 36 TV sets, that was on view in her solo exhibition at MoMA in 2018 (see Figure 3).

**Figure 2:** Electronic Superhighway, Continental U.S., Alaska, Hawaii, by Nam June Paik, 1995–1996.  
American Art Museum, Smithsonian, Washington D.C.  
Photograph by Libjbr (2011). Wikimedia Commons.  
http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Electronic_Superhighway_by_Nam_June_Paik.jpg
2. DIGITAL CULTURE AND ACTIVISM IN MUSEUMS

At the heart of the current contextual shift is that people are fully immersed in the global context of digital culture and digital activism (Kaun & Uldam 2017). These changes are causing artists to rethink their roles in society as they recognize the power of art to change the way they see themselves and the world, while offering new ways for people to understand their relationship to cultural heritage.

Living in a digital culture, everyone is included in the conversation about the why, when and where did we get to this battleground of questions concerning cultural and personal identity and authenticity that dominate political discourse for which museums have moved to centre stage, the public square of cultural debate. Museums remind us of who we are who we were, and how far we have travelled. They tell us what is valuable and valued, revealing the journey of each object from its provenance to its story. And with online database collections, everyone has access to this knowledge from anywhere in the world, and can share, expand and comment on the information and with a click, sending it around the world as it spans out across the digital ecosystem where we all reside.

Increasingly, we witness mass takeovers of museums by a plethora of social justice groups in conjunction with major exhibitions.

Activist Trends in Graduate Education

We see similar trends in graduate programs in art and practice which increasingly are placing emphasis on artists’ research in social and political contexts. For example, the St Lucas School of Arts in Antwerp, Belgium is offering an “Advanced Master in Artistic Research in a Social-Political Context … that focuses on research development of artists and designers whose practice is anchored in a social-political context.” The Academy of Fine Arts Vienna, offers a “PhD-in-Practice” that takes a decidedly “transdisciplinary and international bent” and is built on a concept of arts-based research that relates to critical studies “in the context of feminist, queer, postcolonial, ecological, post-Marxist and other political and emancipatory projects” as a space for the negotiation of social, political, cultural and economic conflicts (Akbild 2019).

The Parthenon Marbles and Assyrian Treasures – Questioning the Life of the Object

The British Museum’s 2018 exhibition, Rodin and the Art of Ancient Greece (see Figure 4), sparked renewed calls by the Greek government for repatriation of the Parthenon Marbles (controversially also known as the Elgin Marbles) claiming that they should come home to Greece where they were created, and represent indigenous art and culture (Kaplin 2018).

“One of the most radical artists of the modern era”, Rodin found his greatest inspiration in Greek sculpture. Although he never visited Greece, he made numerous visits to the British Museum to experience first-hand its allure and physical power. “Rodin had already studied the sculptures from books, plaster casts and some originals in the Louvre, the Elgin Marbles at the British Museum influenced his work and thinking about art profoundly. (From wall label, “British Museum a temple of the Muses.”)
outside. However, this shifting is also a creative act.” (Brown 2019)

Given the high-profile nature of the Rodin exhibition and its presence on the Internet seen worldwide, the question of the “Elgin Marbles” was reignited. From its opening on 26 April to its closing on 29 July 2018, there was a flurry of news articles and opinions posted using the British Museum’s Twitter hashtag, #RodinExhibition.

A news story in Artsy reported that the marbles “would be returned to their Mediterranean home under a Jeremy Corbyn premiership, the Labour leader told Greek paper Ta Nea” (Kaplin 2018). The ideas raised by Fischer draw on those often associated with the digital culture, interaction, context and new questions, and see the marbles “in a context of world culture – claiming that “the monument’s history is enriched by the fact that some [parts of it] are in Athens and some are in London where six million people see them every year” (Rea 2019). What will be the next move in this international debate. Already, some are suggesting that the answer lies in 3D printing – the question is, who gets what?

The British Museum’s Rodin exhibition was followed by the British Petroleum (BP) sponsored exhibition, I am Ashurbanipal, king of the world, king of Assyria – “Warrior. Scholar – Empire builder – King slayer – Lion hunter – Librarian” (Brown 2018). BP’s sponsorship drew outrage from the Iraqi community in London and across the diaspora which resulted in the staging of a mass protest by some 300 people while simultaneously the P21 London gallery mounted an exhibition under the title, I am British Petroleum: King of Exploitation, King of Injustice, that features Iraqi artists curated by “BP or not BP” an activist group and member of the Art Not Oil coalition. In this case, the protest of I am Ashurbanipal took the form of an art exhibition bringing together the public, a gallery, an activist group and the artists on exhibit (ArtForum 2019; Harris 2019).

Performance Art and Protest


Yes, ‘n’ how many times can a man turn his head
And pretend that he just doesn’t see?
The answer, my friend, is blowin’ in the wind
The answer is blowin’ in the wind

The notion of “blowin’ in the wind” makes good connections with politics in our time that permeate the conversation across the digital ecosystem, yet not seen by museums until artists protest.

Looming large on the protest scene in the US during the 1960s and 1970s was the Vietnam War. A 2018 exhibition at the Whitney Museum, An Incomplete History of Protest, captures the Vietnam conflict through the art and ephemera produced around the cause of ending the war, showing the people, places and their messages (Whitney 2017). Like Internet memes today, they combined image and text in compelling and graphically innovative ways; they were lacerating in their critique and often brimmed with satire and gallows humour.
Performance Art and Activism

Performance art and artists are staging actions in museums that go viral receiving global attention over the Internet and high stakes publications as the NY Times. Corporations have unwittingly been dragged into the battleground of museum protest as donors of major exhibitions, most notably, the Sackler brothers, of Purdue Pharma, leading producer of the opioid Oxycontin, and British Petroleum for their support of British Museum exhibitions in the name Greenpeace and environmental groups.

Social justice groups across a broad range of issues particularly women’s rights and the crisis of the abuse of women, LGBT, equal rights, racism, marginalized and underrepresented groups to cultural appropriation and rights to restitution, repatriation and control of the narrative.

Nan Goldin, Photographer, Artist, and Activist

Nan Goldin’s photography found its home in the 1980s New York art scene when it coalesced around the LGBT movement, human sexuality and the AIDS crisis. Her ground-breaking 1985 work, The Ballad of Sexual Dependency, foretold her rise as an artist of influence and consequence, and a photographer in love with love. Now, thirty years since the publication of her artist’s book of that title, Goldin is using her brush with death from Oxycontin as the basis of her performance art protest events in museums with Sackler galleries.
It was the drug prescribed to Goldin as a pain killer for surgery, and which led her to OxyContin addiction, which has killed thousands, that caused her resolve to meet the opioid crisis head-on. Joined by many of fellow artists rallying around her cause célèbre, she formed the group P.A.I.N. (Prescription Addiction Intervention Now – http://sacklerpain.org) and moved from photographer to performance artist and activist. Her stage, museums with Sackler galleries, and in particular the Met and Guggenheim, where her group has staged powerful anti-Sackler performance protests. At the Guggenheim, OxyContin paper prescriptions and pill containers rained down on to the floor of the Guggenheim, where Goldin and her followers held a “dye-in.” Chanting protesters with posters and banners conveyed the message (Goldstein 2019).

From the Legacy of Looted Art to Contemporary Museum Galleries

In July 1937 in Munich, an exhibition entitled “degenerative art” bowed to Hitler’s disdain of contemporary art and was a moment when the paths of Hitler and Churchill, who reacted as both artist and politician, crossed in a war of words. While Nazi authorities were confiscating thousands of art works by prominent artists of the German avant-garde, Churchill speaking at the Sea Power show, took the opportunity to condemn Hitler’s actions against artists and his anti-Semitic rhetoric, saying that these, “were very drastic and formidable pronouncements” and that being an artist in Germany was “a very hazardous employment.” He sarcastically quipped that, if “you had only the alternatives of being hung if your picture were accepted or hanged if it were rejected, it might put a great damper on individual enthusiasm.”

During the same summer, Nazi authorities confiscated some 17,000 works of modernist art from German museums, which had previously been at the forefront in collecting and exhibiting the avant-garde. In his brief remarks at the opening of the Sea Power show, Churchill gave his retort, which anticipated the speech he would make at the Royal Academy in the following year. David Cannadine (2019) in his book on Churchill, brings deep insight into Churchill’s love of artistic expression and the relationship of art and politics:

...by the late 1930s he had come to recognize that freedom of artistic expression was essential to any society that cherished liberty, and that Hitler's attacks on art were one further indication of the evils of Nazi tyranny. Ever since he had been a wayward schoolboy, Churchill had fought for individualism against regimentation. Without freedom to paint, he now believed, there could be no freedom to live. Democracies valued artists; dictatorships did not. (Cannadine 2019)

Further, Cannadine notes:

A few days before, [July 1937], an exhibition entitled Entartete Kunst (Degenerate Art) had opened in Munich, the aim of which was to hold up modern art to public ridicule, and at which Hitler had spoken, denouncing all such work as “Jewish” or “Bolshevik. (Cannadine 2019)
And the spectre of Nazi looted art continues to haunt the art world – the National Gallery’s recent purchase of Gentileschi’s self-portrait has been clouded by doubts raised by gaps in provenance that might suggest Nazi ties hover (Pes 2018), while the history of abuse of women associated with the artist are only now being widely recognized and taken seriously. Yet this painting seemed out of view until the National Gallery purchase which has brought her image into sharp focus stimulating discussion around feminist issues during the Renaissance while bringing these into the present. Her self-portrait, a strong statement on identity, as she dresses herself in the cloak of the martyr, St Catherine of Alexandria (Kinsella 2018).

Artists Against Climate Change

In San Francisco, a quarter of a million people joined the Climate Action March around the world on September 8, 2018, asking for immediate action to reduce climate change. Thousands of artists and activists created one of the largest street murals ever made, covering five blocks of city streets with dozens of colourful scenes illustrating possible solutions to global warming, all around the City Hall plaza (see Figure 12). The murals were designed by different community groups and painted on the ground in large 35-feet wide circles, using washable tempera paint. Murals were created by the Sierra Club (“Keep close to Nature’s heart”), environmental students at University of California, Berkeley (“Fiat Lux”) and San Francisco Unified School District (“Write History Wisely”).

Street Art – Public Messaging – Os Gêmeos and Tristan Eaton

For Tristan Eaton, “Outdoor, public art is the most important to me. Public art has the ability to inspire and transform our communities.” And indeed, his hand spray-painted works in bold vivid colours convey an array of activist provocative messages. Street art has a long-held position as art and art form of political gesture while its ephemeral nature reflects the ever-changing city landscape where new building construction can lead to the demolition of works that have become part of a community city-scape, which is often met by strong protest. Despite the removal of many street art works, digital culture comes to the rescue, when works are documented digitally and can be seen online, for example on artists’ websites.

In August 2018, the first author was walking down 14th Street from 7th Avenue to the Pratt Institute building at 144 W. 14th St., her place of work, and was amazed to see that where a building had been demolished exposing two large red brick walls, there were two wonderful murals by Os Gemos, the acclaimed Brazilian graffiti artists and twin brothers.

The bulldozer to the left is tearing up the street and on the right is Bowery Street, with a bright streetlamp.
3. CONCLUSION

Coming back to the words of Bob Dylan, “Yes, ’n’ how many times can a man turn his head — And pretend that he just doesn’t see?” Nan Goldin takes up on the idea of seeing relating it to her early family life:

I mean, I think I wanted to make a record of the world that I saw, the real world and not the pretty version of the real world; not a conservative version. I grew up in suburban Maryland and it was all about ‘Don’t let the neighbours know.’ I saw all the pretence and all of the revisionism of everything. So I needed to make a record that couldn’t be revised. And it can’t really, whether people want to see it or not want—(Het, 2018)

The digital world makes seeing and knowing so freely accessible that we can see beyond our place, and across cyberspace to outer space in ways that touch our inner space and consciousness. Living now in the world of the IoT and being connected 24/7, the linking of art and activism, in a world where artists deliver messages about self, the environment and the future, their work has taken on new powers of communication as their messages are “blown in the wind” floating in the digital cloud we share, and creating images of who we are, and with a click, we can see who we were, where we’ve come from, and we see that we have “promises to keep and miles to go before” we “sleep” (Frost 1923).

As we fast-forward into the world of artificial intelligence (AI), artists are finding new ways of seeing the world as they imagine new opportunities and challenges in the future. (Cascone 2018; Rea 2018) The Metropolitan’s new partnership with MIT and Microsoft is using AI and machine learning to bring new ways of searching images (Kenny 2019) that brings new ways of seeing art and exploring collections (Schneider 2019). The VR artist Sougwen Chung reflects:

“As an artist working with these tools, the promise of AI offers a new way of seeing,” Chung explains. “Seeing as self-reflection, seeing through the ground truth of ones [sic] own artworks as data. There is a lot of talk about biases evident in AI systems and that is absolutely true within AI systems trained on art. You could describe visual language as a kind of visual bias, a foregrounding of the subjective view of the artist. By translating that into machine behaviour, I am attempting to create a shared intersubjectivity between human and machine.” (Rea 2018)

If humans and machines can achieve a “shared intersubjectivity”, will this impact human to human relationships? It seems, it has already changed the way we see ourselves, the world and our ways of being.
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