Supporting Information

Continuous Hydrothermal Flow Synthesis of Graphene Quantum Dots

Suela Kellici,^{a,*} John Acord,^b Nicholas P. Power,^c David J. Morgan,^d Tobias Heil,^e Paolo Coppo,^f Vesna Middelkoop,^g Alexandru Baragau,^a Katherine E. Moore^h and Colin L. Raston^h

^a School of Engineering, Advanced Materials Centre, London South Bank University, 103 Borough Road, London, SE1 0AA, UK. *E-mail: kellicis@lsbu.ac.uk

^b School of Applied Sciences, London South Bank University, 103 Borough Road, London, SE1 0AA, UK

[°] School of Life Health & Chemical Sciences, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

^d Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

^e Department of Colloid Chemistry, Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, 14424 Potsdam, Germany

^fDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbett Hill, CV4 7AL, Coventry, UK

^g Flemish Institute for Technological Research - VITO, Boeretang 200, B-2400 Mol, Belgium

^hCentre for NanoScale Science and Technology, College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University Sturt Road, Bedford Park, Adelaide, SA 5042, Australia.



Figure S1: AFM height images at scan sizes of $1 \times 1 \mu m$ (a) and 300 x 300 nm (b) of freshly cleaved mica showing an atomically flat and clean surface prior to GQD spin coating deposition.



Figure S2: AFM height images at scan sizes of 1 x 1 μ m and 300 x 300 nm, and corresponding cross sections for GQDs ("Control") (a) – (b), and GQDs with varying amounts of PCX4 ("Samples A – C") (c)-(h), respectively. Average heights (H) and sample numbers (N) are given in the top right corner of each cross-section graph.



Figure S3: TEM images of (a) precursor graphene oxide (b) Control, (c) Sample A,

(d) Sample B, (e) Sample C.



Additional TEM images (left) Sample A (right) sample C.



Figure S4: Particle analysis was conducted using the NanoScope Analysis software (version 1.4). The software identified all particles on the surface and then clusers were manually removed from the sample group in order to accurately determine the height of individualised nanoparticles. An example of particle selection is seen above, where only individualised GDQs (light blue) were measured and clusters (dark blue), which were identified with the aid of peak force error images, were excluded. An example of Peak force images for cluster identification is seen in Figure S5.



Figure S5: AFM height ((a) and (c)) and corresponding peak force error ((b) and (d)) images at scan sizes of 1 x 1 μ m and (b) 300 x 300 nm, respectively. Peak force error measures the deviation from the peak force set point (500 pN) as a result of slow reaction by the system when topography is traversed. As most deviation occurs at areas of dramatically changing topography, it accentuates edges and can be used to identify clusters, which consist of multiple GQDs.



Figure S6: PL emission spectra of GQD recorded at different excitation wavelength (360-440 nm, 20 nm intervals).



Figure S7: XPS Spectra







Figure S9: TGA diagrams.



Figure S10: UV-Vis Spectra.

Table S1: Life cycle inventories to produce 1 mg GQDs including the estimated energy consumption of the laboratory equipment. Legend key: Red – conventional hydrothermal batch synthesis; Blue- Continuous Hydrothermal Flow Synthesis (CHFS).



Conventional batch synthes			
Materials/fuels			
Nitric acid, without water, in 50% solution state {GLO} market for Alloc Rec, U	2.62	g	2.6 M nitric acid, 40 mL, 6.55 g /2.5
Water, deionised, from tap water, at user {Europe without Switzerland}] market for water Alloc Rec, U	16	g	40 g /2.5
Sodium hydroxide, without water, in 50% solution state {GLO} market for Alloc Rec, U	1.67	g	NaOH 4.16 g /2.5
Electricity/heat			
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	1.68	kWh	heater 500W, reflux @ 70C, 24h
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	4.8	kWh	autoclave heater 500W @ 200C, 24h
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	0.05	kWh	sonificator 100W, 30 min
Continuous Hydrothermal Flow Syntheses (CHFS)			
Materials/fuels			
Potassium hydroxide {GLO} market for Alloc Rec, U	0.44	g	КОН
Water, deionised, from tap water, at user {Europe without Switzerland} market for water Alloc Rec, U	240	g	
Electricity/heat			
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	0.03	kWh	3 pumps of 60W, 10 min=0.1667hrs 0,01kWh per pump
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	0.125	kWh	heater 1000W(750W), 10 min@450°C
Electricity, low voltage {RER} market group for Alloc Rec, U	0.05	kWh	sonificator 100W, 30 min

Figure S11: Energy consumption of the laboratory equipment for both the conventional (batch) and CHFS processes according to Table S1.



Figure S12: Environmental impact results for two synthesis routes (CHFS versus batch synthesis). For each synthesis type all impact scores have been scaled to 100% (impacts ascribed to the precursors used refer to a proportion of a total impact rather than a quantity). Water resources depletion having the greatest environmental impact for CHFS. Other than that, KOH dominates all other environmental impact categories. For the batch process, the use of sodium hydroxide and nitric acid accounted for a larger proportion of the life cycle impact contribution in most of the categories, varying between 15 - 85% of the impact.