Title: What do we know about behavioral crises in dementia? A systematic review

Authors:

Tamara Backhouse^a, Julieta Camino^a, Eneida Mioshi^a

^aNeurodegeneration Network (NNET), School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia,

Norwich, UK

Running title: Behavioral Crises Dementia: Systematic Review

Correspondence address: Tamara Backhouse, PhD, Queen's Building, School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom. Tel.: +441603 593614; Email: <u>Tamara.Backhouse@uea.ac.uk</u>

Abstract

Background: Behavioral crises in dementia are represented by a wide variety of symptoms, regularly require external intervention from professionals, and are reported as a risk factor for hospital admission. Little is known about the factors that are associated with them.

Aim: To determine the factors associated with dementia-related behavioral crises.

Methods: We searched MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, EMBASE and AMED databases. An additional lateral search including reference lists was conducted. Two researchers screened all records for potential eligibility. Narrative synthesis was used to bring together the findings.

Results: Out of the 5544 records identified, 24 articles (18 distinct studies) met the eligibility criteria. Aggression and agitation were the most common behaviors present at crises. Delusions, wandering / absconding and hallucinations were also key behaviors contributing to crises. Behavioral crises predominantly happened in the severe stages of dementia (according to MMSE scores), in people with dementia residing in their own homes and in long-term care, and were the catalyst for admissions to psychiatric inpatient settings, specialist-care units, long-term care settings, or for referrals to psychiatric community services. Lack of consistency in assessment of behavior, and management of agitation/aggression in dementia crises were evident.

Conclusion: Interventions to reduce the likelihood of people with dementia-related behaviors reaching crisis point need to focus on both family and care home settings and incorporate aggression and agitation management. Future research should focus on determining the factors that could be addressed to prevent behavioral crises and the interventions and models of care that may help to prevent crises.

Key words (4-10): Dementia, Behavior, Crisis intervention, Institutionalization,

Hospitalization, Behavioral symptoms

INTRODUCTION

Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) can diminish quality of life [1], be unsettling and distressing to people with dementia (PwD) and their caregivers [2,3] and increase caregiver burden [4,5,6,7]. They are associated with more time spent caregiving and higher care costs [8].

Over 90% of PwD will experience BPSD such as aggression, agitation, hallucinations, wandering, apathy, delusions and anxiety at some point in their illness, with symptoms cooccurring or increasing over time [9,10]. BPSD can impact on the person with dementia and/or their caregivers to such an extent that they reach a crisis point.

A crisis, for the purpose of this review, is defined as "a process where there is a stressor(s) that causes an imbalance requiring an immediate decision which leads to a desired outcome and therefore crisis resolution. If the crisis is not resolved, the cycle continues" [11], p2.

In high-income countries across the world, a-third to a-half of PwD live in care homes, and in low- and middle-income countries this figure is around 6% [12]. In the UK, one third of PwD live in care homes and two thirds in the community [13]. While most families would prefer to maintain a PwD at home, a behavioral crisis may lead to a hospital admission and/or a permanent care home placement, as BPSD have been identified as risk factors for the placement of PwD in institutions [14,15,16,17].

In comparison to cognitive symptoms, which are directly related to brain changes, BPSD can also occur due to environmental influences, individual interactions, unmet needs or caregiver factors (such as management strategies [18], or caregiver-patient relationship quality [19]) which are potentially modifiable [20]. Once behaviors occur, factors leading to crises could be due to the PwD's behavior/s intensifying and negatively affecting their quality of life. Or to caregivers not coping with BPSD, which could be related to other pressures such as lack of support, burden, guilt, embarrassment, length of time in caregiver role, or additional caregiving duties managing the PwD's cognitive symptoms and difficulties with activities of daily living [21,22]. Therefore, a proportion of behavioral crises in dementia could possibly be avoided if factors leading to a crisis are better understood. In this systematic review we summarize and describe the factors associated with dementia-related behavioral crises.

Review Questions

- What are the factors associated with behavioral crises in dementia?
 - o What are the behaviors when behavioral crises occurs in dementia?
 - How are behavioral crises in dementia managed and/or resolved?

METHODS

The PRISMA Guidelines were drawn on to conduct and write this review [23].

Protocol and registration

The protocol for this review is registered on PROSPERO (2016:CRD42016051002) [24].

Eligibility criteria and Information sources

We searched MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, EMBASE and AMED databases for articles published up to 20th June 2016. The search strategy covered three areas: behaviors, dementia, and crises (including institutionalization, referrals and hospitalization) (full

electronic search strategy used for MEDLINE in Appendix A). The search was piloted and then refined to increase the likelihood of obtaining relevant results. To maximize the findings, there were no limitations on the searches in relation to years considered, publication status or study design. English and Spanish languages were considered. Searches were limited to humans. We also conducted a supplementary lateral search of key websites such as the Alzheimer's Society, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Evidence Search, and Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE) Social Care Online websites, reference lists of included articles, and other databases including ALOIS, LILACS, and the Cochrane Library. Where necessary we contacted study authors to locate full texts.

Study selection process

The study selection occurred in two phases. First, two authors (*TB and JC*) individually screened all titles for potential eligibility. Titles were excluded if they were clearly not related to dementia or behaviors or if the article focussed on children. All articles marked ineligible by both authors were excluded. Second, the abstracts were screened, and relevant full texts were obtained where articles were clearly relevant or to determine eligibility. Articles were read and assessed in regard to three questions. *Does the research include PwD? Does the article include information on dementia-related behaviors? Is there a clear behavioral crisis* (using the MacNeil Vroomen et al (2013) definition [11])? Judgements were made about how the studies met the inclusion criteria, disagreements were discussed and resolved with a third person where necessary (*TB, JC, EM*).

Data collection processes and Data items

A bespoke data extraction form was created, piloted using three articles to see if the data extracted was useful and covered all relevant and emergent aspects of crises. After review, the form was amended to add extra extraction categories such as 'stages of dementia' and 'length of stay' before completing extraction for all included articles. The extraction form included information on the authors; year; place of study; aims of study; methods used; participant group, number and demographics; dementia diagnosis; crisis information including lead up to and resolution; behaviors and other factors assessed at the time of crisis; living situation pre- and post- crisis, and referral information. Data was extracted by *TB* in consultation with both *JC and EM*.

Risk of bias in individual studies

The Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies (EPHPP) [25] was used to assess risk of bias at study level. Two authors (*TB and JC*) reviewed articles independently. We controlled for assessment items that were not applicable to some study designs by calculating a percentage index of the ratings against the amount of applicable items for each study. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and then consensus to create an overall rating for each study. However, this information was only used to judge the quality of the studies included in the review and not to exclude studies since we wanted to maximize potential learning.

Synthesis of results

The 'Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews' [26] was used to frame a narrative descriptive synthesis of the data. Data extracted from the studies were

transformed into a common rubric, vote counted where necessary and tabulated by topic before being analysed across the studies, also taking into account information not tabulated.

Classification and synthesis of behaviors leading to a dementia crises

Synthesising the findings showing the behaviors causing the crises was challenging, due to many factors. We found that behaviors were not measured in the same way across studies. When the same measure had been used across studies different versions, numbers of items and scales were used [27,28,29] or results were not always reported [30,31]. Studies also used different groupings and terminology for behaviors. Additionally, some patients had multiple behaviors at the time of crisis, which made it impossible to determine if one main behavior was the cause of the crisis or whether it was the combination of the behaviors. Therefore, we vote counted and charted all of the behaviors found at the time of crises from each article. The category agitation/aggression was combined due to multiple articles joining the two behaviors together. For example both the NPI and CMAI blend these categories. Therefore, the category agitation/aggression includes behaviors termed multiple ways such as, assualtiveness, anger, violence, verbal aggression, restless agitation, and physical aggression. After discussion and consensus between the three authors, studies citing psychosis or psychotic symptoms were coded as both hallucination and delusion. Additionally, where two behaviors were joined as a category such as in the BEHAVE-AD (apathy or depression) these were coded in both categories. One article [32] stated "behavioral problems" only and did not provide specific behaviors, however this article was linked to two other articles from the same study which did specify behaviors [31,33].

Risk of bias across studies

Risk of bias across studies (publication bias, selective reporting) was assessed via a discussion of the included studies between *TB* and *JC*.

RESULTS

Study selection

The database search identified 5544 records and a lateral search recognized two further records. After duplicates were removed 3737 were left and these were assessed for eligibility. 234 were retrieved for a full-text assessment. Ineligible articles were removed and 24 articles were included in the review (see Figure 1). These articles described 18 distinct studies. Of the studies with more than one article relating to it, two articles per study were available for two studies and three articles per study available for two studies. The separate articles relating to one study reported: different or similar analyses for the same research methods and sites with overlapping time-points [31,32,33; 34,35,36 and 37,38], and findings from the same patients [39,40] therefore tabulated results are presented for both articles and studies.

Study characteristics

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the 18 studies. Studies covered a wide time-span (1990-2016) and multiple countries from Europe, North America, South East Asia, and Australia. Studies aimed to explore the reasons for institutionalization, or admittance to a dementia or psychiatric unit, or to assess an intervention. There was a mix of study designs including qualitative interviews, observational studies, chart reviews, and naturalistic and randomized trials. Studies took place in the community, long-term care facilities, and specialist dementia

or psychiatric units. Participants were predominantly patients with dementia, but 2 studies consulted informal care informants [41,42].

Risk of bias within studies

Differences in reviewer quality ratings were present for 7/24 articles. Two of the inconsistencies were due to oversight and five to differences in the interpretation of the study. Differences were discussed between *TB* and *JC* and consensus was reached without the need for a third person. Table 1 shows the quality ratings; 5 studies were rated as strong, 11 as moderate and 2 as weak.

Synthesis of results

Which behaviors were present at crises?

As shown in Figure 2, agitation/aggression was the most cited cause of crisis with all 18 (100%) studies describing these behaviors, all of which were among the three most prevalent behaviors found to be the cause of crises in each study. Delusions were cited by 13/18 (72%) of studies, wandering/absconding 12/18 (67%) and hallucinations 11/18 (61%). Although this review focusses on behavioral crises, some studies also included or mentioned patients with dementia reaching crisis points for other reasons, such as cognitive symptoms [29,31,32,33,42,43,44] or caregiver factors [28,31,34,38]. Differences in behaviors leading to crisis in different countries were highlighted in one study [42], with agitation/aggression being most common in France, wandering in England and sleep behaviors in Sweden, Germany and Holland. Marengoni, Calabrese and Cossi [45] found somatic diseases, such as urinary tract

infections, adverse drug effects, and pneumonia, underlying the behaviors in 93% of their patients.

Where were PwD residing at the point of the crisis?

Table 2 shows details of the behavioral crises across all included articles and studies. People experiencing dementia-related behavioral crises predominantly resided at home (reported in 13/18 studies; 72%), however a substantial proportion also resided in long-term care 12/18 (67%), and some in hospitals 5/18 (28%). The category "home" included people living alone, with family or with spouse, although many studies did not specify beyond "home".

PwDs characteristics

Participants' mean ages ranged from 61-84, mean 78 years. Thirteen out of the 18 studies (72%) reported a majority of female participants ranging from 56-78% in each study. Four studies reported more males than females [37,38,39,40,46,47], with two of these having all or 98% male participants [37,38,39,40]. All studies reporting predominantly male participants were all set in units providing psychiatric care. Five studies (28%) reported participants' ethnicities [30,37,38,44,47,48]. All 5 reported that the majority of PwD had been Caucasian (between 55-82% of participants in each study), 3 of these reported between 15-39% of participants as being African American [37,38,47,48] and 1 reported 5% of their sample as being Hispanic [37,38]. Afram et al did not specify demographic details for patients, but focussed on those of the informal caregivers [42].

Where were PwDs treated during the crises?

Those experiencing behavioral crises were predominantly admitted to psychiatric units (9/18 studies; 50%) some of these specialized in geriatrics [30,34,35,36,37,38,39,46] and some did not. People were also admitted to specialist dementia units (3/18; 17%) or community services (3/18; 17%). Other institutions included long-term care facilities, a behavioral unit and a geriatric unit. Of note, *long-term care* is used here to cover multiple types of institution people lived in to receive care. These included nursing homes, care facilities, hostels (aged care housing), rest homes, assisted living facilities and residential homes. Hospitals included state hospitals, medical hospitals, local hospitals, general hospitals, public health hospitals and the emergency room.

Three studies (17%) reported patients being admitted under the legal processes of civil commitment or the Mental Health Act (involuntary hospitalization) [39,43,46]. In one of the studies, all patients were brought to the hospital under civil commitment, 37% of these gave voluntary consent on admission, but 63% remained under civil commitment [46]. In the other 2 studies 8% [43] and 48% [39] of participants were legally detained. Seven out of the 18 studies (39%) reported patients in the sample being re-admitted to the institutions within the study timeline or who had had previous admissions [28,30,31,32,38,39,43,47].

Length of stay was not always applicable (1/18 studies) or specified (6/18). Twenty two percent of studies (4/18) reported an average length of stay of 61 days or over, 11% (2/18) 31-60 days, 17% (3/18) between 8-30 days, and 1 study (6%) reported up to 7 days. Two studies reported permanent institutionalization in long-term care due to behavioral crises [41,42].

Is dementia stage associated with greater risk of crisis?

Stages of dementia were reported in 13/18 (72%) studies. The most commonly used assessment to determine stage of dementia was the MMSE (11/13 studies) with means reported between 6 and 15. Most people included in the studies were in the moderate (reported in 3/13 studies; 23%) or severe (9/13; 69%) stages of dementia.

How were behavioral crises evaluated?

Once PwD were admitted or receiving community services, behaviors were assessed in a variety of ways. The most common measure used was the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) (5/18 studies; 28%), with the Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) (2/18; 11%), the Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer's Disease rating scale (BEHAVE-AD) (1/18; 6%), the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) (1/18; 6%) and the Dementia Behavior Disturbance Scale (DBDS) (1/18; 6%) also used. Of relevance, 10/18 studies (56%) did not use measures or scales to assess behavior. Instead, these studies gathered information on the behaviors present through unstructured informant accounts of the reasons for institutionalization [41,42] or hospitalization [43], assessing patient notes/records retrospectively [43,44,45,49], or medical staff prospectively documenting reasons for admission [32,33,39,40,46].

How were dementia crises dealt with?

Crisis intervention or resolution strategies varied across the studies. Nine out of 18 studies (50%) reported using both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions and 5/18 (28%) used pharmacological interventions only. Where non-pharmacological approaches were specified, they included reduction of stimuli [27,48], modifying the environment [27,35,36], activities [36], reduction of physical and pharmaceutical restraints [27],

individualized interventions [39,40,48], changing staff perceptions of behavior [50], counselling programmes for relatives [28,38,41] and patients [38], group therapies [40], educating caregivers [28,36], and occupational health input [35,36]. Clinical or medical interventions included reducing pain, promoting nutritional intake and function [27,36], physical assessments [28], and multidisciplinary input [28,31,36,38]. Pharmacological interventions included psychotropic drugs [27,36,40,44,49,50], medication reviews or adjustments [28,36,39,40], or the use of cholinesterase inhibitors [36], and yi-gan-san [36].

Where were PwD discharged to after the crises?

Seven studies (39%) did not state the living situations of the participants post-crisis. Often studies reported more than one post-crisis destination, depending on each participant's individual situation. Overall, 14/18 studies (78%) reported some participants returning to live in long-term care, 12/18 (67%) to their own homes, and 9/18 (50%) in hospitals. Twenty two percent of studies (4/18) reported patients dying during the research. There was a slight increase in studies reporting long-term care as a post-crisis destination (14/18, 78%) compared to those reporting it as a pre-crisis residence (12/18, 67%).

DISCUSSION

Summary of evidence

In this systematic review, we identified 18 studies (24 articles) that described behavioral crises in dementia. Agitation and aggression were reported as key behaviors at crisis point in all studies. Delusions, wandering / absconding and hallucinations were also major behaviors contributing to crises. Behavioral crises predominantly happened in the severe stages of dementia (according to MMSE scores) and often led to admissions to psychiatric care or specialist dementia units, or to use of community services. People experiencing crises lived both in the community and in care homes. There was some evidence that crises are not being resolved long-term, since seven studies reported the same patients being re-admitted within the study timescale.

A recent online survey asked stakeholders in the United Kingdom to rank multiple risk factor categories for crises in dementia. Wandering, physical aggression and sleep disturbances were perceived as the three main behavioral risk factors causing crises in dementia [51]. While focus groups with carers and found that wandering and physical aggression could be a cause of concern [52]. Our findings support these, but also highlight the role of delusions and hallucinations as contributors to behavioral crises in dementia, for which we cannot rule out the possibility of delirium.

This review has revealed there is little good quality evidence showing how best to manage behavioral crises in dementia. Interventions employed to resolve crises were often not clearly specified. Where they were specified, they were individualized to each patient making the actual intervention delivered difficult for authors to report and for this review to determine. Similarly, behaviors in the studies included here were not assessed or represented in a uniform way, for example, behavior descriptions were assessed formally by clinicians, taken from patients' notes or reported by informal carers or care-home staff. Therefore, differences in the perception and categorization of behaviors is likely to have been present. This was reflected in the varied terminology used when reporting behaviors, which made the synthesis of them problematic. Additionally, from the information reported we could not determine whether behavioral crises occurred due to facility and/or caregiver variables or PwD variables.

We recommend that studies use a validated behavior tool to assess and describe behaviors and that caregiver factors are also considered, with both reported clearly.

Related to the above, this review also uncovered the lack of consistency in management of agitation/aggression Even though studies identified in dementia crises. all aggression/agitation as a key BPSD leading to the crisis, a wide range of pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches were used to manage behaviors, with half of the studies using a combination of the two. Perhaps clear and consistent international guidelines incorporating a multicomponent approach would not only help in the management of such challenging crises, but also inform future systematic investigations of the efficacy of such clinical approaches.

It is of note that MacNeil Vroomen *et al* [11], when reviewing the definition of crisis in dementia care found that General Practitioner (GP) management, increased information to GPs and informal caregivers about dementia, case management and careful management of drug therapy were recommendations when BPSD were predictors or stressors of crises. While our findings included interventions similar to the latter two, they did not include the two former methods. This may have been due to our review only including actual incidents of crises which may have been too late for GP management or information to have an effect, whereas the MacNeil Vroomen *et al* review included any emergency themes related to dementia care.

In 2007 in England, only one in six National Health Service Trusts provided crisis support to older people and only a tenth of areas provided crisis resolution team support to PwD [53]. Since then, dementia crisis teams have developed in some areas to support PwD and their

carers with the aim to improve care and reduce admissions. Their effectiveness is currently being evaluated by the Achieving Quality and Effectiveness in Dementia care Using Crisis Teams (AQUEDUCT) study [54]. Findings from this ongoing study may complement this review by providing evidence relating to the role of dementia crisis teams in preventing and managing crises.

International differences were present amongst the studies included in the review. Differences were found in the measures used to assess behaviors across countries (i.e. CMAI and BPRS used in the USA, BEHAVE-AD in Japan and the NPI in Italy, France, Canada and the USA). The clear differences in practice between countries were not reflected in crisis interventions. Here the lack of consistency pervaded across all countries and regions with no patterns detected. One exception was that Specialist Dementia and Geriatric Units, which were only found in Europe. An international approach to using the same standardised measures to assess diagnoses and behaviors would assist future comparisons and synthesis of results. Additionally, research clearly detailing the crisis interventions and care approaches used in particular institutions would enable shared learning to develop practices worldwide.

It is clear that more research is needed to determine interventions and models of care that may lead to fewer crises. These models of care would not have the scope to prevent all behavioral crises, but would likely address a great proportion of them by working early and continuously with the family and/or care setting accordingly. Continuity of care is also likely to be more cost effective [55, 56] not only from a financial perspective, as well as in regards to the wellbeing of the PwD and their carers.

As the prevalence of dementia increases across the globe and more people experience behavioral crises, services will need to adapt to help *prevent and manage* BPSD more effectively. It is important that these behaviors are managed as successfully as possible to reduce distress for the person with dementia and pressures on those caring for them. The findings from this review have relevance for multiple disciplines including neurology, dementia, gerontology, psychiatry and for community and acute psychiatric services.

Strengths and Limitations

The strength of this review is the strict inclusion criteria used to gain information reporting factors on actual behavioral crises. Additionally, we used standardised procedures for extracting data from included studies. However, we did include studies using many different methodologies, covering different settings and using various assessments and measures which made the data difficult to synthesise and compare. It is also possible that not all relevant records were retrieved from our search due to the term "crisis" not being explicitly used in all research. Although we systematically judged the quality of articles, we did not exclude on the basis of low quality due to the exploratory nature of this review and our aim to maximize the information we could gather. Therefore, although we highlighted the quality of the individual articles, some evidence presented was rated as weak. Our review did not aim to investigate means of preventing crises, and this is largely still not well understood, and would be useful to inform models of care and services to prevent and manage crises in future.

Risk of bias across studies

The majority of the studies included in this review published data on admissions to psychiatric care generated through chart review so may not represent the general dementia population.

Some behavioral crises may occur in the community, but have not been identified in our study due to the lack of community-based studies examining and reporting this issue. Additionally, smaller actions taken to resolve crises may not appear in our results.

CONCLUSIONS

Dementia-related behavioral crises are often precipitated by aggression and / or agitation. They predominantly occur in the severe stages of dementia and in both, community and care home settings. Interventions and services to prevent and manage dementia-related behavioral crises should consider targeting people in the moderate to severe stages of dementia and their caregivers, focussing on people living in family homes and in care homes, and concentrate on reasons behind aggressive and agitated behaviors. More good quality research is needed to determine:

- clear factors that could be addressed to prevent behavioral crises
- whether crises are related to the individual, their caregivers or both parties not managing
- the role of dementia subtypes in behavioral crises
- models of care that may lead to fewer crises
- which interventions are best placed to prevent or manage behavioral crises in dementia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This review was conducted at the University of East Anglia following the receipt of Research Capability Funding from the Norfolk and Waveney CCGs. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Norfolk and Suffolk Primary and

Community Care Research Office, the Norfolk and Waveney CCGs or the University of East Anglia.

REFERENCES

[1] Banerjee S, Smith SC, Lamping DL, Harwood RH, Foley B, Smith P, Murray J, Prince M, Levin E, Mann A, Knapp M (2006) Quality of life in dementia: more than just cognition.
An analysis of associations with quality of life in dementia. *J Neurol Neurosur Ps* 77, 146-148.

[2] Tan LL, Wong HB, Allen H (2005) The impact of neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia on distress in family and professional caregivers in Singapore. *Int*

Psychogeriatr **17**, 253-263.

[3] Fauth EB, Gibbons A (2014) Which behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia are the most problematic? Variability by prevalence, intensity, distress ratings, and associations with caregiver depressive symptoms. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 29, 263-271.

[4] Chang E, Daly J, Johnson A, Harrison K, Easterbrook S, Bidewell J, Stewart H, Noel M,
Hancock K (2009) Challenges for professional care of advanced dementia. *Int J Nurs Pract* 15, 41-47.

[5] Miyamoto Y, Tachimori H, Ito H (2010) Formal caregiver burden in dementia: impact of behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia and activities of daily living. *Geriatr Nurs* **31**, 246-253.

[6] Onishi J, Suzuki Y, Umegaki H, Nakamura A, Endo H, Iguchi A (2005) Influence of behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) and environment of care on caregivers' burden. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* **41**, 159-168.

[7] Sörensen S, Duberstein P, Gill D, Pinquart M (2006) Dementia care: mental health effects, intervention strategies, and clinical implications. *Lancet Neurol* 5, 961-973.
[8] Cerejeira J, Lagarto L, Mukaetova-Ladinska EB (2012) Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia. *Front Neurol* 3, 1-21.

[9] Steinberg M, Shao H, Zandi P, Lyketsos CG, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Norton MC, Breitner JC, Steffens DC, Tschanz JT, Cache County Investigators (2008) Point and 5-year period prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia: the Cache County Study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **23**, 170–177.

[10] Savva GM, Zaccai J, Matthews FE, Davidson JE, McKeith I, Brayne C (2009) Prevalence, correlates and course of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia in the population. *Br J Psychiatry* **194**, 212-219.

[11] MacNeil Vroomen J, Bosmans JE, Van Hout HPJ, De Rooij SE (2013) Reviewing the definition of crisis in dementia care. *BMC Geriatr* **13**, 1-12.

[12] Prince M, Prina M, Guerchet M, on behalf of the Global Observatory for Ageing and Dementia Care (2013) *World Alzheimer Report Journey of Caring An analysis of longterm care for dementia*, Alzheimer's Disease International (ADI), London.

[13] Prince M, Knapp M, Guerchet M, McCrone P, Prina M, Comas-Herrera A, Wittenberg
R, Adelaja B, Hu B, King D, Rehill A, Salimkumar D (2014) *Dementia UK: Update*, Second
edition, Alzheimer's Society, London.

[14] Verbeek H, Meyer G, Challis D, Zabalegui A, Soto ME, Saks K, Leino-Kilpi H, KarlssonS, Hamers JPH, On behalf of the RightTimePlaceCare Consortium (2015) Inter-country

exploration of factors associated with admission to long-term institutional dementia care: evidence from the RightTimePlaceCare study. *J Adv Nurs* **71**, 1338-1350.

[15] Steeman E, Abraham IL, Godderis J (1997) Risk profiles for institutionalization in a cohort of elderly people with dementia or depression. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 11, 295-303.
[16] Banerjee S, Murray J, Foley B, Atkins L, Schneider J, Mann A (2003) Predictors of

institutionalisation in people with dementia. J Neurol Neurosur Ps 74, 1315-1316.

[17] O'Donnell BF, Drachman DA, Barnes HJ, Peterson KE, Swearer JM, Lew RA (1992) Incontinence and troublesome behaviors predict institutionalization in dementia. *J geriatr psychiatry neurol* **5**, 45-52.

[18] de Vugt ME, Stevens F, Aalten P, Lousberg R, Jaspers N, Winkens I, Jolles J, Verhey FRJ (2004) Do caregiver management strategies influence patient behaviour in dementia? *Int. J. Geriat. Psychiatry* **19**, 85–92.

[19] Campbell P, Wright J, Oyebode J, Job D, Crome P, Bentham P, Jones L, Lendon C
(2008) Determinants of burden in those who care for someone with dementia. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 23, 1078–1085

[20] Kales HC, Gitlin LN, Lyketsos CG (2015) Assessment and management of behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia. *BMJ* **350**, h369.

[21] Brodaty H, Donkin M (2009) Family caregivers of people with dementia. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* **11**, 217-228.

[22] Springate BA, Tremont G (2014) Dimensions of Caregiver Burden in Dementia:
Impact of Demographic, Mood, and Care Recipient Variables. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 22, 294–300.

[23] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009) Prefered reporting items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* **6**, 1-6.

[24] Backhouse T, Camino J, Mioshi J (2016) Factors associated with behavioural crises in dementia: a systematic review. *PROSPERO* 2016:CRD42016051002.

http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42016051002.

[25] Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) (2009) The Quality Assessment Tool for
 Quantitative Studies (EPHPP) <u>http://www.ephpp.ca/tools.html, Accessed on October 13</u>,
 2017.

[26] Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, Petticrew M, Arai L, Rogers M, Britten N, with Roen

K, Duffy S (2006) Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews:

A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme. Available on request from:

http://www.lancaster.ac.uk/shm/research/nssr/research/dissemination/publications.ph

p, Accessed on October 31, 2016.

[27] Bellelli G, Frisoni GB, Bianchetti A, Boffelli S, Guerrini GB, Scotuzzi AM, Ranieri P, Ritondale G, Guglielmi L, Fusari A, Raggi GC, Gasparotti A, Gheza A, Nobili GL, Trabucchi M (1998) Special Care Units for Demented Patients: A Multicenter Study. *Gerontologist* 38, 456-462.

[28] Johnson DK, Niedens M, Wilson JR, Swartzendruber L, Yeager A, Jones K (2012)
Treatment Outcomes of a Crisis Intervention Program for Dementia With Severe
Psychiatric Complications: The Kansas Bridge Project. *Gerontologist* 53, 102-112.
[29] Krolak-Salmon P, Roubaud C, Finne-Soveri H, Riolacci-Dhoyen N, Richard G, Rouch I,
Leperre-Desplanques A, Dauphinot V (2016) Evaluation of a mobile team dedicated to

behavioural disorders as recommended by the Alzheimer Cooperative Valuation in Europe joint action: observational cohort study. *Eur J Neurol* **23**, 979-988.

[30] Pollock BG, Mulsant BH, Rosen J, Mazumdar S, Blakesley RE, Houck PR, Huber KA (2007) A Double-Blind Comparison of Citalopram and Risperidone for the Treatment of Behavioral and Psychotic Symptoms Associated With Dementia. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **15**, 942-952.

[31] Soto ME, Nourhashemi F, Arbus C, Villars H, Balardy L, Andrieu S, Vellas B (2008)
Special acute care unit for older adults with Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 23, 215-219.

[32] Nourhashemi F, Andrieu N, Sastres N, Ducasse JL, Lauque D, Sinclair AJ, Albarede JL, Vellas BJ (2001) Descriptive Analysis of Emergency Hospital Admissions of Patients With Alzheimer Disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **15**, 21-25.

[33] Soto ME, Andrieu S, Villars H, Secher M, Gardette V, Coley N, Nourashemi F, Vellas B
(2012) Improving Care of Older Adults With Dementia: Description of 6299
Hospitalizations over 11 Years in a Special Acute Care Unit. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 13, 486.e1-486.e6.

[34] Kitamura T, Kitamura M, Hino S, Tanaka N, Kurata K (2012) Gender Differences in Clinical Manifestations and Outcomes Among Hospitalized Patients With Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia. *J Clin Psychiatry* **73**, 1548-1554.

[35] Tochimoto S, Kitamura M, Hino S, Kitamura T (2015) Predictors of home discharge among patients hospitalized for behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia. *Psychogeriatrics* **15**, 248-254.

[36] Kitamura T, Tochimoto S, Kitamura M, Madachi S, Hino S (2015) Outcomes of Inpatient Treatment for Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia in

Alzheimer's Disease Versus Dementia With Lewy Bodies. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord* **17**, 1-18.

[37] Akpaffiong M, Kunik ME, Hale D, Molinari V, Orengo C (1999) Cross-Cultural Differences in Demented Geropsychiatric Inpatients with Behavioral Disturbances. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **14**, 845-850.

[38] Kunik ME, Ponce H, Molinari V, Orengo C, Emenaha I, Workman R (1996) The Benefits of Psychiatric Hospitalization for Older Nursing Home Residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **44**, 1062-1065.

[39] Stevenson GS, Shankar P, Malkison F (2005) Utility of a Scottish regional intensive psychiatric care unit for demented elders. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **20**, 389-390.

[40] Stevenson GS, Khan MA, Perumal N (2009) A psychiatric intensive care unit for older adults: an interval comparison of admissions. *Int Psychogeriatr* **21**, 278-285.

[41] Ferris SH, Steinberg G, Shulman E, Kahn R, Reisberg B (1987) Institutionalization of Alzheimer's disease Patients: Reducing Precipitating Factors Through Family Counseling. *Home Health Care Serv Q* **8**, 23-51.

[42] Afram B, Stephan A, Verbeek H, Bleijlevens MHC, Suhonen R, Sutcliffe C, Raamat K, Cabrera E, Soto ME, Hallberg IR, Meyer G, Hamers JPH, on behalf of the RightTimePlaceCare Consortium (2014) Reasons for Institutionalization of People with Dementia: Informal Caregiver Reports From 8 European Countries. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 15, 108-116.

[43] Neville P, Boyle A, Baillon S (1999) A Descriptive Survey of Acute Bed Usage for Dementia Care in Old Age Psychiatry. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **14**, 348-354.

[44] Rabins PV, Nicholson MC (1991) Acute Psychiatric Hospitalization For Patients With Irreversible Dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **6**, 209-211.

[45] Marengoni A, Calabrese AP, Cossi S (2004) Hospital admissions for acute onset of behavioural symptoms in demented patients: what do they want to say? *Int Psychogeriatr* **16**, 491-493.

[46] Moak GS (1990) Characteristics of Demented and Nondemented Geriatric Admissions to a State Hospital. *Hosp Community Psychiatry* **41**, 799-801.

[47] Nejtek VA, Hardy S, Hall JR, Winter AS (2011) Characteristics and Psychosocial
Predictors of Psychiatric Emergency Center Transport and Length of Stay in Patients with
Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease: A Preliminary Report. *J Psychiatr Pract* 17, 251-257.
[48] Mintzer JE, Colenda C, Waid LR, Lewis L, Meeks A, Stuckey M, Bachman DL, Saladin
M, Sampson RR (1997) Effectiveness of a Continuum of Care Using Brief and Partial
Hospitalization for Agitated Dementia Patients. *Psychiatr Serv* 48, 1435-1439.

[49] Takacs R, Ungvari GS, Gazdag G (2015) Reasons for acute psychiatric admission of patients with dementia. *NeuropsychopharmacologiaHungaria* **17**, 141-145.

[50] Bird M, Llewellyn-Jones R, Korten A, Smithers H (2007) A controlled trial of a predominantly psychosocial approach to BPSD: treating causality. *Int Psychogeriatr* **19**, 874-891.

[51] Ledgerd R, Hoe J, Hoare Z, Devine M, Toot S, Challis D, Orrell M (2016) Identifying the causes, prevention and management of crises in dementia. An online survey of stakeholders. *Int JGeriatr Psychiatry* **31**, 638-647.

[52] Toot S, Hoe J, Ledgerd R, Burnell K, Devine M, Orrell M (2013) Causes of crises and appropriate interventions: The views of people with dementia, carers and healthcare professionals. *Aging Ment Health* **17**, 328-335.

[53] Cooper C, Regan C, Tandy AR, Johnson S, Livingston G (2007) Acute mental health care for older people by crisis resolution teams in England. Research letter. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* **22**, 263-265.

[54] Achieving Quality and Effectiveness in Dementia care Using Crisis Teams

(AQUEDUCT) (2017) Welcome to AQUEDUCT, http://www.institutemh.org.uk/x-research-

/aqueduct Accessed on July 30, 2017.

[55] Raddish M, Horn SD, Sharkey PD (1999) Continuity of care: is it cost effective? *Am J Manag Care* **5**, 727-34.

[56] Amjad H, Carmichael D, Austin AM, Chang CH, Bynum JP (2016) Continuity of Care and Health Care Utilization in Older Adults With Dementia in Fee-for-Service Medicare. *JAMA Intern Med*, **176**, 1371-8.

Behavioral Crises Dementia: Systematic Review

Source [study reference/s]	Country/ies	Study aim	Study design (quality rating)	Study setting/s	Participant group	Sample size
Afram et al, 2014 [42]	England, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Sweden, Spain, The Netherlands	To explore reasons for institutionalization and variation in reasons between countries	Qualitative interviews with quantitative analysis (moderate)	Long-term care facilities	Informal carers	786
*Akpaffiong et al, 1999 [37]	USA	To examine the demographic characteristics and treatment outcomes	Cohort study (strong)	Geropsychiatric unit	Patients with dementia without delirium	197
*Kunik et al, 1996 [38]					Nursing home (including intermediate care facility or skilled facility) residents	41
Bellelli et al, 1998 [27]	Italy	To assess the efficacy of care given in a group of Special Care Units	Longitudinal – evaluation of 8 special care units (moderate)	Special Care Units	Patients with moderate to severe dementia affected by behavioral disturbances	55
Bird et al, 2007 [50]	Australia	To compare the outcome of two approaches to **BPSD	Naturalistic controlled trial, repeat measures (moderate)	Long-term care facilities using community services	People with dementia and/or significant stroke- related cognitive impairment	55

Table 1: Study Characteristics

Behavioral Crises Dementia: Systematic Review

Ferris et al, 1987 [41]	USA	Study 1: To study the variables that lead to a family decision to institutionalize an Alzheimer's Disease patient (just focussing on study 1)	Retrospective survey questionnaires (moderate)	Nursing homes	Family members of institutionalized Alzheimer's Disease patients	109
Johnson et al, 2012 [28]	USA	To compare control and intervention rehospitalization rates and Integrate findings for a model of dementia care	Non- randomized concurrent control treatment outcome trial (weak)	Hospital and community	People with Alzheimer's Disease	129
*Kitamura et al, 2012 [34]	Japan	To clarify whether hospitalized patients with behavioral and psychological	Chart review (strong)	Acute psychogeriatric	Patients with dementia and	292
*Kitamura et al, 2015 [36]		symptoms of dementia show differences in manifested symptoms and outcomes		ward	severe **BPSD	330
*Tochimoto et al 2015 [35]						391
Krolak-Salmon et al, 2016 [29]	France	To assess efficacy of the Mobile Team dedicated to Alzheimer's Disease and risk factors of hospitalization at 30 days	Mono-centre prospective cohort study (moderate)	Community and nursing home	Patients with **BPSD visited by the Mobile Team dedicated to Alzheimer's Disease	424
Marengoni et al, 2004 [45]	Italy	To compare patients with dementia admitted for medical illness to ones admitted for acute onset of behavioral symptoms or delirium	Retrospective clinical report review (weak)	Acute geriatric unit in a hospital	Patients with dementia	148
Mintzer et al, 1997 [48]	USA	To assess and compare the clinical efficacy and cost efficacy of two models of care – the 21-day inpatient program and the	Quasi- experimental study and cost	Behavioral intensive care unit	People with dementia and agitation	178

		continuum-of-care program - for agitated	analysis			
		patients with dementia	(moderate)			
Moak, 1990 [46]	USA	To describe the characteristics of elderly	Cohort study	Acute care ward	Elderly patients	124
		admissions and compare service utilization	(strong)	within a	with and without	
		of patients who have Alzheimer's Disease		psychogeriatric	Alzheimer's	
		and related disorders and those who do		service	Disease and related	
		not			disorders	
Nejtek et al,	USA	To explore factors that may be related to	Exploratory	Psychiatric	Patients with a	42
2011 [47]		or predict agitation, aggression, psychiatric	retrospective	emergency care	primary or	
		emergency clinic utilization, and length of	chart review	centre	secondary	
		stay	(moderate)		diagnosis of	
					dementia or	
					Alzheimer's	
					Disease	
Neville et al,	England	To examine the reasons patients are	Prospective	Acute dementia	Patients admitted	224
1999 [43]		admitted to acute dementia care	questionnaire	care assessment	for acute organic	
		assessment beds	(moderate)	beds in one	assessment	
				district		
Pollock et al,	Canada	To compare citalopram and risperidone for	Randomized	Geropsychiatric	Patients with	103
2007 [30]		the treatment of psychotic symptoms and	Controlled Trial	Unit of an	Dementia and	
		agitation associated with dementia	(moderate)	academic hospital	behaviors of	
					moderate or high	
					severity	
Rabins &	USA	To review the two year experience of a	Retrospective	Psychiatric service	Patients discharged	121
Nicholson, 1991		single acute psychiatric hospital in caring	chart review	in the hospital	with a diagnosis of	
[44]		for persons with dementia	(strong)		irreversible	
					dementia	
*Soto et al,	France	To describe the features of emergency	Descriptive	Alzheimer's Acute	Older adults with	492
2008 [31]		hospital admission	study of all	Care Unit and	Alzheimer's	
			patients	Emergency		

*Soto et al,			hospitalized	departments of 2	Disease and other	4708
2012 [33]			(strong)	hospitals	related disorders	
*Nourashemi et						118
al, 2001 [32]						
*Stevenson et al, 2005 [39]	Scotland	To describe and compare demographic data, clinical characteristics and outcomes	Case review (moderate)	Intensive Psychiatric Care	Male, older adults, primary diagnosis	21
*Stevenson et al, 2009 [40]		of patients admitted to a Scottish regional psychiatric intensive care unit for older adults		Unit for Elders	of dementia, behavioral problems that had produced a 'clinical risk'	41
Takacs et al, 2015 [49]	Hungary	To examine the reasons for the psychiatric admission of patients suffering from dementia	Retrospective survey (moderate)	Centre for Psychiatry and addiction Medicine in a hospital	Patients with a diagnosis of dementia	79

*the 24 articles included in the review describe 18 distinct studies. Where separate articles are pooled, they had different or similar analyses for the same research methods and sites with overlapping time-points [31,32,33; 34,35,36, and 37,38], or findings from the same patients [39,40].

**Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia

Categories	Sub-Categories [references reporting sub-categories]	Articles (n=24) reporting sub- categories	Studies (n=18) reporting sub- categories
		n(%)	n(%)
Living situation prior to	-Long-term care ^[27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 38, 40, 43, 44, 46, 47, 50]	15(63)	12(67)
crisis	-Own home ^[27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48]	17(71)	13(72)
	-Hospital ^[27, 31, 33, 34, 36, 44,46]	7(29)	5(28)
	-Not specified ^[30, 37, 39, 49]	4(17)	4(22)
Action taken to manage	-Entry to long-term care ^[41,42]	2(8)	2(11)
crisis	-Referral to community service ^[28, 29, 50]	3(13)	3(17)
	-Admission to Specialist Dementia Unit ^[27, 31, 32, 33, 43]	5(21)	3(17)
	-Admission to Psychiatric Unit ^[28, 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 44, 46, 47, 49]	13(54)	9(50)
	-Admission to Geriatric Unit ^[45]	1(4)	1(6)
	-Admission to Behavior Unit ^[48]	1(4)	1(6)
Behavior measure used	-Neuropsychiatric inventory (NPI) ^[27, 28, 29, 30, 31]	5(21)	5(28)
	-Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) ^[37, 38, 48]	3(13)	2(11)
	-Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer's Disease rating scale (BEHAVE-AD) ^[34, 35, 36]	3(13)	1(6)
	-Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) ^[37, 38]	2(8)	1(6)
	-Dementia Behavior Disturbance Scale (DBDS) ^[50]	1(4)	1(6)
	-No measure ^[32, 33, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49]	12(50)	10(56)
Dementia	-Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) ^[42, 50]	2(8)	2(11)
diagnosis/tests	-Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-III-R/DSM-IV/DSM-V) [30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 44, 46, 47, 48]	10(42)	7(39)
	-International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) ^[40]	1(4)	1(6)
	-Brain imaging ^[34, 35, 36, 49]	4(17)	2(11)
	-Comprehensive psychiatric assessment ^[31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 49]	8(33)	3(17)

Table 2: Behavioral Crisis Details

	-Prior diagnosis ^[27, 28, 32, 39, 41, 43]	6(25)	6(33)
	-Not specified ^[29, 45]	2(8)	2(11)
Crisis intervention	-Pharmacological ^[30, 34, 44, 47, 49]	5(21)	5(28)
	-Non-pharmacological ^[48]	1(4)	1(6)
	-Medical ^[32, 45]	2(8)	2(11)
	-Pharmacological and non-pharmacological ^[24, 28, 29, 31, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 50]	13(54)	9(50)
	-Institutionalization ^[41, 42]	2(8)	2(11)
	-Not specified ^[43, 46]	2(8)	2(11)
Average length of stay	-Permanent institutionalization ^[41, 42]	2(8)	2(11)
(*median)	-Up to 7 days ^[47]	1(4)	1(6)
	-8-30 days ^[28, 31, *33, 44, 48]	5(21)	4(22)
	-31-60 days ^[37, 38, *43]	3(13)	2(11)
	-61 days or over ^[*34, 35, 36, 39, 40, 46]	6(25)	3(17)
	-Not applicable (community intervention) ^[50]	1(4)	1(6)
	-Not specified ^[27, 29, 30, 32, 45, 49]	6(25)	6(33)
Destination post-crisis	-Long-term care ^[28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49]	16(67)	14(78)
	-Own home ^[28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49]	14(58)	12(67)
	-Hospital ^[28, 29, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 46, 47, 49]	11(46)	9(50)
	-Death ^[31, 34, 35, 36, 40, 49]	6(25)	4(22)
	-Not specified ^[27, 32, 33, 37, 39, 45, 48, 50]	8(33)	7(39)

24 articles included in the review describing 18 distinct studies. Grouped articles [references: 31,32,33; 34,35,36; 37,38; 39,40] may report different outcomes from the same study and therefore be cited in different sub-categories.

Categories are not mutually exclusive: numbers and percentages describe the amount of articles or studies reporting each sub-category.



Figure 1: Flow diagram of search results, screening and eligibility

Agitation/ Aggression	18/18, 100		Delusions 13/	18, 72	
Wandering/ Abscondin	ng 12/18, 67 Hallucinat	ions 11	l/18, 61	Sleep/ Nigl behaviors a	ht-time 8/18, 44
Depression/	Anxiety 6/18, 33	Apath 6/18, 3	y/ Indifference 33	Disinhibiti	on 6/18, 33
Depression/ Dysphoria 7/18, 39 Resistance to car 28		Ela Eu 8, 17	ition/ phoria 3/18,	Noisiness/ 9 3/18, 17 Opposition/ Combative Behavior 2/18,	Screaming Impulsive Behavior 1/18, 6
Appetite/ Eating 7/18, 39	Motor disturbance 4/1 22	L8, Irri Lai	itability/ bility 3/18, 17	Disruptive Behavior 1/18, 6	Self-neglect 1/18, 6

Figure 2: Reported behaviors at the time of crisis by number and percentage of studies (n/18, percentage)

APPENDIX A: Medline Search Terms

Ovid MEDLINE			20.06.16
Dementia	1	exp dementia/ or aids dementia complex/ or	133784
		alzheimer disease/ or aphasia, primary progressive/	
		or primary progressive nonfluent aphasia/ or	
		creutzfeldt-jakob syndrome/ or dementia, vascular/	
		or cadasil/ or dementia, multi-infarct/ or diffuse	
		neurofibrillary tangles with calcification/ or	
		frontotemporal lobar degeneration/ or	
		frontotemporal dementia/ or "pick disease of the	
		brain"/ or huntington disease/ or kluver-bucy	
		syndrome/ or lewy body disease/	
	2	AD.mp.	107817
	3	VaD.mp.	7075
	4	DLB.mp.	1798
	5	PPA-NFV.mp.	3
	6	Young onset dementia.mp.	96
	7	Temporal variant.mp.	55
	8	1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7	212165
Behaviors	9	behavioral symptoms/ or affective symptoms/ or	60508
		aggression/ or bullying/ or delusions/ or human	
		coprophagia/ or obsessive behavior/ or stalking/ or	
		paranoid behavior/ or problem behavior/ or self-	
		injurious behavior/ or self mutilation/ or wandering	
		behavior/	
	10	behavioral symptoms.mp. or Behavioral Symptoms/	4253
	11	behavio\$.mp.	1248681
	12	Bpsd.mp.	659
	13	Neuropsychiatric.mp.	21400
	14	Agitat\$.mp.	17252
	15	Apath\$.mp.	5017
	16	Anxi\$.mp.	184216
	17	Disinhibit\$.mp.	7001
	18	9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17	1438378
Crisis	19	Crisis Intervention/	5348
	20	Crisis.mp.	43771
	21	Crises.mp.	8907
	22	Emergenc\$.mp.	325357
	23	Institutionali\$.mp.	16961
	24	Hospitali\$.mp.	233074
	25	24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29	597592
	26	8 and 18 and 25	1409
20.06.16	27	limit 54 to (abstracts and humans)	1237