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Children’s views on postsurgical pain in recovery units in Norway: A qualitative study

Aims and objectives: To explore children’s postsurgical experiences with pain and pain management in the recovery unit.

Background: Children’s pain is underestimated and undertreated. Untreated pain can cause unnecessary suffering, increased complication risks, and may lead to chronic pain. Research exploring children’s experiences with postoperative pain and pain management is limited.

Design: A qualitative, exploratory study. The study complied with the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ).

Methods: Children (N=20), 8–16 years old, took part in semi-structured interviews about their experiences with pain and postoperative pain management while they were in a recovery unit. Data were collected at two university hospitals in Norway. Content analysis was used to analyse the data.

Results: Three themes emerged from the interviews; “children’s experiences of what felt unpleasant and painful”, “children’s experiences with pain management” and “children’s recommendations for future pain management”. About half of the children reported moderate to severe pain while in the recovery unit and they did not always tell their nurses when they had pain. They also reported experiencing pain in places other than their surgical wounds and stated that nausea and vomiting felt unpleasant and painful. The children indicated that pain medications and the use of non-pharmacological methods helped them cope with their pain and provided several recommendations about how to improve pain management.

Conclusion: Paediatric postoperative pain management remains suboptimal. The children in our study provided useful information about their pain experiences, how to improve pain management and explained why they did not tell their nurses when they were in pain.
Relevance to clinical practice: These findings should direct further improvements in paediatric postoperative pain management, such as increased use of pain assessment tools and preparatory information, as well as more appropriate administration of pain medications.
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1 INTRODUCTION


The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) define Pain: “An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage. Pain is always subjective”. (International Association for the Study of Pain Task Force on 2018). This definition emphasizes the multidimensional aspect of pain. As pain is a biopsychosocial phenomenon it requires a multimodal approach to management (Twycross & Williams 2014). One reason for suboptimal postoperative pain management may be the complexity of assessing pain in children (Mennella & Heering 2017, Twycross & Finley 2013). Many nurses believe that children over-report their pain (Ekim & Ocakcı 2013, Ljusegren et al. 2012, Stanley & Pollard 2013, Twycross & Collis 2013) and thus use pain assessment tools inconsistently (Sng et al. 2013, Twycross & Finley 2013) or use physical indicators of pain rather than the child’s self-report to guide decision-making about appropriate treatments (Smyth et al. 2011). Further, nurses’ beliefs and misconceptions (Twycross & Collis 2013) or lack of knowledge (Ekim & Ocakcı 2013, Ortiz et al. 2015, Smeland et al. 2018) about paediatric pain management may be barriers to effective pain relief.
2 BACKGROUND

In recent years, children’s perceptions of postoperative pain have been explored in studies from Canada (Birnie et al. 2014, Twycross & Finley 2013), Thailand (Thienthong et al. 2014), Australia (Ford et al. 2012), Singapore (Sng et al. 2013), and Sweden (Rullander et al. 2013). These studies all support the notion that children continue to experience moderate to severe pain postoperatively. In the study by Rullander et al. (2013) several children also reported persistent pain 5–12 months after surgery. Children have described a range of negative emotions including anger, fear, and sadness in relation to their experiences with postoperative pain (Ford et al. 2012, Sng et al. 2013, Twycross & Finley 2013). Of note, for some children, nausea is worse than pain (Rullander et al. 2013).

Children’s views about their postoperative pain management have been explored in some studies (Ford et al. 2012, He et al. 2007, Sng et al. 2013, Twycross & Finley 2013). Children have reported that pharmacological pain management is the most effective way to relieve their postoperative pain and indicated that it is important that nurses give them medications when they are needed (He et al. 2007, Sng et al. 2013). In relation to non-pharmacological methods, children have stated that the most important thing parents could do to help them cope with their pain was to be present (i.e. with them in the hospital) (He et al. 2007, Sng et al. 2013). Some children have reported receiving inadequate preoperative information about what to expect in the postoperative period (Ford et al. 2012, Twycross & Finley 2013). Some children have also suggested that there is a need for improved communication between nurses and parents—so that parents have enough knowledge to deal with their child’s pain (He et al. 2007). Children have also recommended the use of more non-pharmacological pain management techniques, such as distraction and positioning (Sng et al. 2013).

The aforementioned studies provide evidence that children’s perspectives on experiences of postoperative pain can provide insight into the improvements needed for their postoperative pain management. However, very little is known about children’s experiences about pain and pain
management within the recovery units. Nurses working in recovery units have an important role in assessing and managing pain in children and so more knowledge is needed in these areas. **This is the focus of this study.**

2. **Aim**

The study aim was to explore children’s experiences with pain and postoperative pain management in Norwegian recovery units. We also sought to gain insight into children’s recommendations for nurses about strategies that could improve paediatric postoperative pain management, and what advice they would give other children undergoing surgery. With an overarching goal of influencing future clinical practice, we aimed to gain a greater understanding of children’s experiences, and to gather their recommendations for improving postoperative pain management.

3 **METHODS**

3.1 **Study design**

This was a qualitative, exploratory study using semi-structured interviews of children after they had undergone surgery. **This study focused on children’s views, and was part of a larger study; Pediatric Pain Management - an Intervention Study, described at ClinicalTrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03385681). The study complied with the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) (See Supplementary File 1).**

3.2 **Data collection**

3.2.1 **Sample**

We invited children six years or older who were undergoing elective or emergency surgery at two university hospitals in Norway to participate in the study. We excluded children with cognitive impairment who were unable to communicate verbally, those who did not speak Norwegian, and those who were admitted to the intensive care unit after surgery. All children who were invited
were admitted to a recovery unit for both children and adults. Data were collected during October and November 2014.

3.2.2 Data collection tools

Two different semi-structured interview guides were developed: one for children aged 6–11 years; the other for those 12–18 years. The interview guides were based on work by Polkki et al. (2003) and by the Royal College of Nursing (2009), and included questions about what happened when they were in pain, if anyone asked if they were in pain, about what helped them when they were in pain and suggestions for nurses and other children who are undergoing surgery on how to manage pain. The interview guides were piloted on both age groups, after which a small adjustment was made, and an opening question added about why the child was hospitalized.

As part of the interview process, all children were also asked to rate the worst pain they had experienced during their stay at the recovery unit using a numerical rating scale (NRS) (von Baeyer et al. 2009) from 0 (“no pain”) to 10 (“worst pain imaginable”) or the Faces Pain Scale-Revised (FPS-R) (Hicks et al. 2001) depending on their cognitive abilities. Using the same scale, they were also asked to rate the worst pain experienced during their postoperative period and their current level of pain. Participants’ age, gender, type of surgery, type of admission, and pharmacological treatments administered before, during, and after surgery (i.e. in the recovery unit) were recorded.

3.2.3 Procedure

The nurses on the surgical wards identified children who met the inclusion criteria and asked if they were interested in information about the study. The children and parents who accepted the invitation were given more information by a researcher and was then given time to consider whether they wished to participate. During the two weeks of recruitment, 26 children met the inclusion criteria and 20 were enrolled (Figure 1).

All but two children chose to have their parents present during the interview. The interviews were audio recorded and conducted after the children were discharged from the recovery units and
before they were discharged from the hospital. Two researchers (LN and TN) conducted the face-to-face interviews, one interviewing and one observing. The one observing wrote down some field notes maintaining contextual details. Seventeen interviews were conducted within 48 hours after the child’s surgery, and three took place between the third and fifth postoperative day. The interviews lasted 12–28 minutes and took place in a private room near by the recovery unit or on the surgical ward with only the interviewers and, if they chose, their parents present to ensure confidentiality and limit interruptions.

3.3 Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics (REK South-East, Norway, id: 399805) and both hospitals’ local Social Science Data Services (NSD) and unit managers. Children and their parents received verbal and written information about the study. Three different age-appropriate information letters were used: one for children 6–11 years; one for children 12–18 years; and one for parents. These letters included information about the study and explained that participation was voluntary and that their responses would be treated anonymously. The children were told that they could leave the study at any time without providing a reason and that doing so would not impact on the care they received. Furthermore, it was pointed out for the children that they could stop at any time during the interview if they needed a break, were in pain or did not want to continue. We obtained verbal informed consent from all children and written informed consent from all parents and children 12 years and older. The interviews were coded so that children could not be identified. Only the research team had access to the raw data, which were stored securely to ensure that confidentiality was maintained. The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03385681).

3.4 Data analysis
The researcher who conducted the interviews also transcribed the children’s responses verbatim using NVivo (QRS NVivo Pro for Windows, version 11). Content analysis was applied to the transcripts using a six-step approach (Creswell 2014):

1. Creating and organizing data files
2. Reading through the text and forming initial codes
3. Coding all data
4. Describing the social setting, people involved, and events
5. Analysing data to identify emerging themes
6. Interpreting and making sense of the findings

Three researchers (LN, TN and AHS) independently analysed the data and then thoroughly discussed the themes until consensus was achieved. Each researcher referred to the themes by slightly different names, although their content was similar.

4 RESULTS

Almost equal numbers of boys and girls aged 8–16 years participated. Their most common type of surgery was orthopaedic, and 16 of the 20 had undergone elective surgery. More than half of the children received intravenous opioids postoperatively. Background characteristics of the children are summarized in Table 1.

The three themes that emerged from the interview data will each be discussed in detail:

1. Children’s experiences of what felt unpleasant and painful
2. Children’s experiences with pain management
3. Children’s recommendations for future pain management

4.1 Children’s experiences of what felt unpleasant and painful

4.1.1 My experience of pain in the recovery unit
Half of the children reported experiencing moderate or severe pain while in the recovery unit (Table 2). For some children, their postoperative pain intensity escalated during their hospital stay.

Children used a variety of words to describe their pain. Some described it in detail while others used short sentences; their descriptions included words such as sore, tender, bumping, aching, prickling, burning, unpleasant, and hurt.

One boy who had undergone emergency surgery and who had pain before the operation—but was not told it would hurt afterwards—described his experience:

*Researcher (R):* “Do you remember how you were doing when you woke up the first time after the surgery?”; *Child (C):* (whispering) “Then it was...so painful that I thought I was going to die.” (Case 12, 11 years)

This child explained that his pain was nine out of 10 on the NRS when he awoke after surgery. He explained that his pain score on the NRS prior to surgery had been an eight and that the pain after surgery felt different. One child described how it felt having pain when she breathed:

*R:* “Can you tell me how the pain felt, or is that difficult?”; *C:* “I almost have it when I breathe, but it feels a bit as if...as if everything stops up inside me...that it sort of...it’s like you sort of...if you have been a long time under water and longing to get up, or you’re terrified of something, and then suddenly everything just stops. And then, when you try to breathe in again, then you can’t because it hurts so much...” (Case 9, 12 years)

Many of the children explained that it was painful to move and some experienced pain even when they were lying still in bed. One child who had undergone orthopaedic surgery explained:

*C:* “When I tried to move it was like I couldn’t get anywhere. I tried to move, but then it tightened so that I couldn’t move anywhere.” (Case 1, 13 years)

Another child described his experience:
C: “It does hurt a little bit sometimes because I feel that I cannot find the correct position, but usually it goes pretty well when I achieve that position. It tightens a bit over the dressing and in my stomach.”; R: “Hmm, that doesn’t sound very good.”; C: “No it wasn’t, so therefore I could not straighten my back.” (Case 15, 9 years)

4.1.2 My feelings about pain

The children described their feelings about the pain experience using words such as disgusting, angry, scared, frightened, anxious, feeling down, depressed, upset, and afraid. They reported being afraid of feeling pain and that pain scared them. One child was scared and cried when she felt pain when she breathed:

R: “What did you do when it hurt to breathe?”; C: “I got very scared, and then I cried (...) And it was a really awful feeling.” (Case 9, 12 years)

Another child explained why she was scared:

R: “Did you get anything to drink down there then?”; C: “I could, but I did not want to because I was a little bit scared, still”; R: “Yes. What were you afraid of?”; C: “Then I was a bit scared of – of what was going to happen, if something more would happen, that was even more painful and such” (Case 15, 9 years)

4.1.3 Children’s experiences with what felt unpleasant and painful aside from the surgical wound

Children described having pain associated with their surgical wound, but others reported pain in other places too (Table 2). The most commonly reported issues were dizziness, having a sore throat or nausea. Children reported having a hoarse or thin voice and coughing a lot. One child described:

C: “I had a very sore throat, I remember. I had had something down my throat. So I coughed a lot, I remember.” (Case 3, 14 years)
Some of the children said that nausea and vomiting was painful:

C: “Of course it hurts an awful lot in your stomach when you throw up, because you sort of push on your stomach muscles...when you throw up and then you notice that it sort of really hurts a lot.” (Case 9, 12 years)

Some of the children experienced nausea. Others were scared they might experience it. Some did not want to take pain medications or drink liquid because they were afraid of feeling sick and vomiting. One child said:

C: “I haven’t been feeling sick in any way, but I was very afraid I might be. Because, when I had a surgery when I was five, I had to throw up immediately after surgery. After that, I have been anxious about feeling sick or throwing up (...) Yes. That was the only thing I was afraid of. I wasn’t afraid of the operation.” (Case 3, 14 years)

Many children had received midazolam as a premedication. They talked about how it felt to not remember, to feel dizzy, and to say funny things. Some described it as uncomfortable, strange or odd, and one reported it felt like she was drunk. One child said:

C: “It made me...I was completely paralyzed in my mouth. I could not speak.”; R: “Yes.”;
C: “I was sooo...and lots of mumbling (...) And then I do not remember anything from the (...) For those tablets.”; R: “Yes mmm.”; C: “They made me totally...totally forgetful...so I do not remember anything at all.”; R: “How was it then?”; C: “It felt strange.” (Case 2, 11 years)

Some children reported having experienced double vision. For example, they saw two moms or that their mom had two noses. One child said:

C: “Mm I was dizzy, and eh...it hurt a bit in my arm (...) I had a headache, and I was seeing double of everything.” (Case 9, 12 years)
Some children had unexpected pain in areas other than their surgery wound, including pain in their back, shoulder or neck, inside their mouth, or heels (Table 2). One child explained:

C: “Then I noticed that I had a kind of blister on the inside of my mouth (...) They told me it was because I had a tube in my throat that went into my mouth...that created these blisters.”
(Case 5, 16 years)

C: “I felt a bit of pain in my legs. So I moved them around a bit and...I had some pain in my heels. So, I just lifted my legs up a bit.” (Case 5, 16 years)

4.2 Children’s experiences with pain management

4.2.1 Why I didn’t tell the nurse when I was in pain

Only five children remembered having used a pain assessment tool during their stay in the recovery unit. Some children told the nurses when they were in pain, while others did not. The children gave different explanations for why they did not tell the nurses about their pain. Some explained it was because the nurses had already asked them about their pain or they were waiting for the nurse to come and ask about it. Others waited to see if their pain got better or tried to endure it. Some believed the nurses could see when they were in pain:

R: “But do you think that someone in a way can see if you are in pain?”; C: “Yes I believe so...they came by and looked at me and checked. They looked at my pain-infusion pump and on the monitor where they saw if I was in pain or not.” (Case 1, 13 years)

Some children said they did not report their pain to the nurses because they did not want to have analgesic drugs. One child explained:

R: “But have you told someone?”; C: “Um, sometimes. It is a bit difficult.”; R: “Why is it difficult?”; C: “Because I didn’t want to have some...have some medication or because...yes
I become nauseous and I don’t like that.”; R: “So you did not really want the painkillers that they could give you?”; C: “No.” (Case 15, 9 years)

4.2.2 The medicines they gave me to help with my pain

Most of the children reported receiving pain medication from the nurses (Table 1) and that this helped with their pain. One child described:

R: “What do the nurses do then?”; C: “They give you medicine and...if you are in pain they make sure that you are not in pain.” (Case 4, 8 years)

The children described receiving pain medication in different ways. Some reported that it was difficult to swallow tablets:

C: “I got painkillers, but I couldn’t swallow it and then (...)” ; R: “What did they do when you couldn’t swallow it?”; C: “They tried to split it.”; R: “Yes, and was that better?”; C: “No.”; R: “But did you get some other painkiller instead?” (shakes head) (Case 20, 12 years)

Some children spoke spontaneously about how unpleasant it was to receive a rectal suppository:

C: “The pills helped a little, the ones they stuck in my bum. But it was really uncomfortable! It hurt a little when they didn’t get it in the right place and stuff like that, that’s when I started going ‘ow it hurts’.” (Case 11, 9 years)

Some of the children had epidural anaesthesia or a neural blockade and described how it felt. Some were more prepared than others:

C: “Feels like my feet had fallen asleep (...)” ; R: “What do you think would have helped you most when you were in pain? After the surgery?”; C: “Mm for example, before each time I was going to move, so I had a kind of button that I could press on, to get a little extra.” (Case 5, 16 years)
Another said:

R: “Did you feel any pain when you woke up?”; C: “Nothing! I...they anesthetized the nerves from the knee and down. So I had...I was totally paralyzed, throughout from the knee down...until today around 12 o’clock. Then I began to move a little bit on my toes, and now I’ve got back ninety percent of the feeling. It hurts! (...)”; R: “Did you know about this before?”; C: “No, I wondered what it was.” (Case 3, 14 years)

4.2.3 Other things that helped me cope with my pain

The children described different non-pharmacological pain relief methods that were helpful. The children used positioning, relaxation, and distraction most frequently. Some reported that changing their position helped, and some stated that they tried to lay still and not move to reduce their pain:

R: “It helps, to change positions?”; C: “Yes...mmm.”; R: “Are there any other things that can help?”; C: “Yes...sometimes it may be okay to lie still.” (Case 7, 13 years)

The children reported that relaxation was a useful method of pain relief; one described:

C: “…to relax and not to think too much about it and be in my own world (...) I close my eyes and think of something else...think of something that I like or someone that I love or something I like to do or such.” (Case 9, 12 years)

Many children described thinking about something else, something they liked to do or someone they loved. The children reported using different distraction techniques once they were on the recovery ward. The methods they used included playing games on a mobile phone or tablet, watching films or YouTube, or checking Instagram and Snapchat.

The children described the nurses using different non-pharmacological methods. Positioning, heat, and cold were the most frequently used methods in the recovery unit. The
children reported that the nurses helped them find a position that relieved their pain. One boy, who
felt better when putting his operated foot on a pillow, said:

C: “They [nurses] put it [his foot] on a pillow (...) They do things so that it’s not that
painful anymore.” (Case 16, 9 years)

Another child described her experience with receiving ice cubes after tonsillectomy:

R: “You know, we’ve talked about a lot of...uh, and the ice cubes and such?”; C: “That
helped a little, but not a lot but it...it helped so that it got, it got a bit better in my throat. But
not a huge lot, but it helped a little, I thought.” (Case 11, 9 years)

Many children said that their parents could not do much to help them cope with their pain,
because their parents did not know what to do. However, they gave examples that illustrated that
having their parents there helped. The children reported that parents’ use of emotional support and
help with daily activity and positioning were the methods used most often:

R: “Was there anything they did then, which helped?”; C: “Yes...like...when I needed it,
they gave me some water to drink, or massaged my feet or something like that.”; R: “Yes.
Mmm. And it also helped a little?”; C: “Yes.” (Case 5, 16 years)

Not all methods helped. One child explained:

R: “Has your mom done something that has helped you?”; C: “She tried, but it didn’t
work.”; R: “What did Mom try to do?”; C: “She tried to say that I had to breathe in and out
very slowly.” (Case 14, 9 years)

4.2.4 What helped me feel safe and secure

The children spoke about feeling safe while their parents were together with them. They explained
that this was because they did not want to be alone and their parents could get help for them or talk
to them and explain what was happening. One child explained how it felt to have her mother there:
C: “It was ok to have someone there…I didn’t have to be alone (...) It feels safe.” (Case 18, 16 years)

Some children also explained how they felt when their parents were not bedside when they awoke in the recovery unit:

C: “I remember when I woke up and then I yelled at a nurse and said: ’Isn’t it your job to ensure that Mom and Dad should be here now’…I did react that they weren’t there when I woke up. I could see that all the others had their parents with them.” (Case 3, 14 years)

The children also indicated that the nurses’ presence helped them feel safe and secure. One child said:

R: “What did the nurses do, did they do something more?”; C: “No, but they were there the whole time.”; R: “Mmm, so you felt that they looked after you?”; C: “Yes (...)”; R: Do you remember if there were anyone else but Mom there?”; C: “It was a man…and many nurses there…I do not remember more.”; R: “No, no, so you felt that it was...”; C: “Safe.” (Case 12, 11 years)

4.3 Children’s recommendations for future pain management

The children’s recommendations to nurses and other children were based on their own experiences and what they had found helpful when coping with their postoperative pain.

4.3.1 Things I would have liked nurses to do to help me with my pain

The children’s recommendations to nurses focused on providing more preparatory information, such as what was going to happen, that it would hurt after surgery, and to not drink too much after surgery because it might make you feel sick. One child said:

C: “That it will hurt a bit after surgery.” (Case 12, 11 years)

Another said:
C: “Tell about the tube you put down our throat.” (Case 1, 13 years)

They also wanted the nurses to be with them and talk to them, to give them pain medication when they needed it, and to ensure that their parents are present when they awaken after surgery. One child said:

C: “Give medicine when we need it.” (Case 6, 11 years)

Another said:

C: “I wish Mom and Dad were there when I woke up.” (Case 3, 14 years)

4.3.2 What children having surgery in the future need to know

The children also gave recommendations for other children who are going to have surgery. Their recommendations were about how they could distract themselves from the pain by thinking of something else, thinking of something nice, or talking to someone:

C: “Try to think of something else, not to think about that you are in pain or have a sore throat or something, try to do something else, so you forget, it helped for me when I started reading a book. It got better.” (Case 11, 9 years)

They also recommended staying calm, trying to sleep, taking medicine, and not looking at the syringe. One child said:

C: “Try to sleep.” (Case 8, 9 years)

Another child:

C: “Do not look at the syringe—look at your dad instead.” (Case 17, 9 years)

5 DISCUSSION

More than half of the children in this study experienced moderate to severe pain during their time in the recovery unit. Many of them did not tell their nurses when they were in pain and pain assessment tools do not appear to have been used routinely. The children also experienced pain in
places other than the surgical wound and reported that nausea and vomiting felt unpleasant and was sometimes worse than the pain itself. The children indicated that pain medications and non-pharmacological pain relief methods helped them cope with their pain. The children provided several recommendations for nurses and for other children about how to improve postoperative pain management.

5.1 Children’s experiences with pain

The finding that children in our study experienced moderate to severe postoperative pain is consistent with other recent studies that used a retrospective chart review (Avian et al. 2016), a prospective chart review (Kozlowski et al. 2014) or interviewed parents and children (Birnie et al. 2014, Thienthong et al. 2014). It is noteworthy that the children in our study also described feeling unpleasant or pain in areas other than their surgical wound. Many children described having a sore throat, hoarseness, nausea, vomiting, headaches, dizziness, disorientation, or impaired vision as unpleasant or painful. These are all common after anaesthesia and intubation (Falk et al. 2018).

A sore throat is the most common adverse event related to endotracheal intubation (Biro et al. 2005, Hu et al. 2013, Jaensson et al. 2010), yet very few of the children in this study reported being prepared for this. More than half the children in this study experienced postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), and described it as unpleasant or painful, has also been reported previously (Kozlowski et al. 2014, Rullander et al. 2013). In a study by Kozlowski et al. (2014), 44% of children experienced nausea and vomiting. PONV can increase pain (APA 2016, Rullander et al. 2013) and prevent effective pain relief (Feinleib et al. 2018). Some children in this study did not tell the nurse when they were in pain because they were afraid of receiving pain medications that could cause nausea or vomiting. Even though almost half of these children experienced nausea and vomiting, only six were prescribed antiemetic medication and only three received this medication. Multimodal postoperative pain control strategies that reduce opioid administration should reduce the incidence of PONV (Feinleib et al. 2018), but less than half of the children received both
paracetamol and an NSAID. PONV is a patient-important outcome and common side-effect after
anaesthesia, and it is important to identify risk factors relating to patient, anaesthetic and type and
length of surgery (Feinleib et al. 2018). There is a need for healthcare professionals to be aware of
children’s anxiety about PONV, prevent and treat PONV.

Interestingly, our study shows that children complained about back pain in addition to pain
in their neck or shoulder and sore heels (i.e. pain in places other than the surgical wound). This pain
may be due to patient positioning and immobilisation during surgery. Immobilisation places
patients at risk for skin and underlying tissue injury during anaesthesia and is well known for
cauing complications (Welch et al. 2018). Optimal positioning during surgery, and preventing
injury and complications, are responsibilities shared by the surgeon, anaesthetist, and operating
room nurses. Healthcare professionals should focus on these issues and prevent immobilisation
complications.

Many of the children in this study reported experiencing dizziness and disorientation
preoperatively, after receiving a premedication such as midazolam. They described having double
vision, saying funny things, and not remembering things, and that these were strange, odd, and
uncomfortable experiences. Lack of bodily control may feel scary and result in increased anxiety
(Rullander et al. 2013), possibly preventing children from coping with the situation (Panella 2016).
There is a need to enhance the use of preparatory information, to focus on preventing complications
after anaesthesia, and to treat PONV.

5.2 Children need to be prepared for surgery

Even though most of these children had elective surgery, we found they lacked preparatory
information about pain (e.g. how much pain they would experience, where to expect pain, how long
it would last), what would happen during their hospital stay, pharmacological management, and, for
example, how it would feel after having a neural blockade. The children felt unprepared for their
postoperative experience and said that they needed more information before the surgery. Many of
the children experienced side effects after anaesthesia, and even the most common, such as sore
throat and nausea, had not been explained to them. Some children asked for an explanation from
their parents or a nurse, while others did not. For example, one child who had a sore throat and did
not know why asked the nurse, who explained that it was because they had “put an air tube in your
throat”. Lack of preparatory information has also been reported in similar studies (Ford et al. 2012,
Twycross & Finley 2013). Insufficient preparatory information may lead to increased anxiety and
pain (Fortier et al. 2010, Panella 2016), which increases the risk of CPSP (Rabbitts et al. 2017).

Preparatory information about the operation itself, how much it will hurt afterwards and for
how long, and what will be done to ease the pain are important and having this information benefits
children (Lerman et al. 2016). The information must be age-appropriate and repeated often to
ensure that the child understands (Panella 2016). Preparatory information may reduce anxiety and
help children cope (Manyande et al. 2015). Additionally, children who are well prepared may not
need premedication, such as midazolam, and may require less use of opioids postoperatively
(Panella 2016). Interventions such as videos and interactive games appear to be effective at
reducing children’s preoperative anxiety (Chow et al. 2016, Manyande et al. 2015) and their use
should be encouraged by nurses. Interestingly, one of the included hospitals have an evidence-based
guideline on how to prepare children in different age groups for surgery. This guideline includes
what to inform about, how to prepare them, and how to use different preparatory videos,
preparatory picture-books and hospital equipment. Apparently, not many nurses used this guideline
to prepare the children for surgery. The children have rights to be consulted about the things that
affect them and they need to be explained what is happening to them during the hospital stay
(Regulation on Children’s Stay in Hospitals 2000). The nurses working in the recovery units should
communicate with the children about their experience and explain why they have pain other places
than the surgery wound, why they cannot move their leg because they have got neural blockade and
so forth.
5.3 Children do not always tell the nurses when they are in pain

One important finding from this study was that many of the children did not tell their nurses when they were in pain. Several explanations were given for this. Some children believed the nurses could see that they were in pain, others tried to wait and see if it got better, or they tried to endure the pain or to wait for the nurses to come and ask about their pain. Some children did not want pain medication because they were afraid of nausea or vomiting. This suggests that nausea and vomiting are considered as bad as or worse than pain, which concurs with the results of a previous study (Rullander et al. 2013).

Very few children in this study remember having their pain assessed with tools, which is consistent with previous studies (Birnie et al. 2014, Ford et al. 2012, Smyth et al. 2011, Twycross & Collis 2013). This may be because pain assessment tools are unavailable on the unit (Smeland et al. 2018) or because nurses lack knowledge about how children of different ages express their pain and may expect that children will tell them when they are in pain (Rullander et al. 2013). It has also been demonstrated in other studies (Pope et al. 2017, von Baeyer et al. 2017) that children are able to verbally communicate about their pain intensity from around age 4–5 years. One strategy that helps nurses communicate with children about their pain is the use of valid, age-appropriate pain assessment tools (Hauer et al. 2018).

If nurses do not use pain assessment tools or ask children about their pain intensity and location, they will not know where or how much pain the child is experiencing. This may mean that children experience unnecessary pain (Sng et al. 2013, Twycross & Finley 2013). Strategies are needed to enhance nurses’ pain assessment practices, by involving the children themselves and using appropriate tools to assess pain regularly. There is also a need to explore the reasons nurses do not routinely use pain assessment tools.

5.4 What children think is helpful when they are in pain
The children reported that non-pharmacological pain relief methods were helpful for managing their pain. The children most frequently described nurses using non-pharmacological methods such as positioning, being present, and applying heat and cold; they also pointed out that they needed more preparatory information. In this study, children identified their parents’ presence as being crucial for feeling safe and secure and for helping them cope with their pain. Most, but not all parents were by their child’s bedside when they woke up after surgery. The children pointed out that it was important to them that their parents were there when they awoke, because they helped the child by explaining what had happened, comforted them, and helped them with daily activities. This concurs with the results of previous studies (He et al. 2007, Idvall et al. 2005, Polkki et al. 2003). Reasons for parents’ late arrivals at the recovery unit included: not receiving the message to come to the recovery unit; nurses who were too busy in the ward to show the parents to the recovery unit; long distances between the ward and recovery unit; and that parents became lost on their way to the recovery unit. Another contributing factor might be the recovery nurses’ attitudes about having parents present, despite the fact that nurses should encourage parents to be bedside in the recovery units and to remain with their child whenever feasible (Panella 2016). Organizational barriers that prevent parents’ presence in the recovery units need to be identified and addressed.

In this study, very few children commented on the environment, which is not in line with a study by Polkki et al. (2003), where children recommended that nurses create a more comfortable environment. This may be because nursing practices have changed since the earlier study, with greater focus now being placed on the use of non-pharmacological methods. Alternatively, the nurses in this study may have been particularly good at creating a comfortable environment. Twycross and Collis (2013) found that nurses seldom used non-pharmacological methods to reduce pain, which, again, differs from our results. Reasons for these differences may include that nurses working in recovery units are with the child almost all the time, whereas in the ward the parents are
with their children most of the time and the nurses are only there for short periods. This creates a situation where parents may carry out most of the non-pharmacological pain relief on the ward.

In this study the children discussed non-pharmacological strategies that they thought were helpful. Other non-pharmacological strategies that may reduce pain were not mentioned, for example guided imagery (Worangidpoonpol et al. 2013). Animal-assisted intervention, may reduce distress for children undergoing painful procedures (Vagnoli et al. 2015), but was not in use in the hospitals in Norway at the time this study was carried out. Further research is needed to explore children's experiences about using these non-pharmacological strategies to relieve postoperative pain.

The children in our study indicated that it was difficult to swallow tablets and unpleasant to receive a rectal suppository. Despite there being many ways to administer pain medication, the children who could not swallow tablets were not offered an alternative. This is especially concerning in relation to young children who are usually unable to swallow tablets and may mean that they are not receiving adequate pain medications. This issue was exacerbated by the fact that many of these children had experienced, or were afraid of, nausea and vomiting. This made swallowing tablets even more difficult. Previous studies have reported that nurses lack the necessary knowledge about analgesics (Ekim & Ocakçı 2013, Hovde et al. 2012, Lunsford 2015, Smeland et al. 2018), which may be one reason why children were not offered other options. Alternatively, the medication may not have been prescribed or available to administer via these routes. The children reported that both non-pharmacological and pharmacological pain relief methods were helpful; they also challenged nurses to ensure that their parents are present when children awaken after surgery, provide more preparatory information, and administer pain medications using more suitable methods.

5.5 The nurses would make sure you’re not in pain
In this study, some children reported that if they were in pain, the nurses would make sure their pain was treated, implying that if there was anything else to be done the nurses would do it. Rullander et al. (2013) found that parents lacked confidence in nurses’ technical and treatment skills but that both parents and children rated their hospital experience as relatively satisfactory. The inconsistency between children experiencing moderate and severe pain while reporting being satisfied with their care replicates the results of another study (Twycross & Finley 2013). This finding is of concern because if children expect to experience severe pain postoperatively and do not tell their nurses about it, this may contribute to ongoing undertreatment of children’s pain. There is a need to encourage healthcare professionals to communicate with the children about their pain experience, and to ensure them that their pain can be relieved.

Assessment and management of pain are essential to paediatric postoperative care (Anand et al. 2018, Hauer et al. 2018). Nurses, and other healthcare professionals, have a responsibility to ensure optimal postoperative pain management. This study suggests that current pain assessment and management practices are suboptimal and do not adhere to clinical guidelines in many areas. For example, few children were assessed as using pain assessment tools and half experienced PONV. Despite this, there was a belief among many of the children that their nurses would have relieved their pain if they had been able to do so. There is a need to prevent unnecessary suffering and to encourage nurses and other healthcare professionals to talk to their child patients and their parents about their expectations and pain management. Future research should explore why healthcare professionals do not communicate with children about the children’s pain experience.

5.6 Strengths and limitations

There were some limitations to this study. Firstly, our participants were interviewed in the hospital—an unfamiliar setting—and had not previously met the interviewers. However, both interviewers had experience working with children and used a variety of strategies to gain the children’s trust and build rapport before starting the interview. The interviewer assured the children that they could
stop or take a break at any time during the interview. The children could also choose to have their parents present. Secondly, because the interviews were undertaken while the children were still in the hospital, this may mean the children provided favourable answers because of this. This may result in a positive response bias, especially if there was a perception that their answers might affect their care. However, the interviewers were not part of the nursing team and reassured the children before the interview that there were no right or wrong answers and assured that the care they received would not be influenced by whether or not they took part in the study.

Thirdly, these children were 8–16 years old and underwent a range of surgical procedures; it is possible that this influenced individual responses. Nevertheless, exploring their breadth of pain experiences provides valuable information about children’s experiences across these contexts.

Fourthly, the interviews were conducted at two hospitals within a relatively short time frame. To reduce information bias, the same two interviewers were present during all interviews: one interviewing and one observing. At the end of the interviews, the observer asked questions if something had been forgotten or was unclear, increasing the likelihood that areas identified in the interview guide were addressed. This study provides a useful insight into children’s views about their pain management experiences in the recovery unit and identifies areas for further research and ways in which clinical practice can be improved.

6 CONCLUSION

This study provides evidence that paediatric postoperative pain management remains suboptimal. Half of these children experienced moderate to severe postsurgical pain, few were assessed with a pain assessment tool, many received insufficient pain medication, and there was a lack of preparatory information provided. The children experienced pain associated with the surgical wound as well as pain in other locations. They explained why they did not always tell the nurses when they were in pain.
The children challenged nurses to use more non-pharmacological strategies, especially preparatory information, and to ensure parents are present when children awaken after surgery. Increased awareness among nurses about the importance of parental presence may prevent children from awakening alone, afraid, and insecure. This study also shows that nurses alleviate postoperative pain by administering analgesics, although the children did not always receive medication appropriately (e.g. being given tablets when they could not swallow). The children in this study provided suggestions to nurses and to other children undergoing surgery on ways to improve postoperative pain relief and pain management.

**7 RELEVANCE TO CLINICAL PRACTICE**

This study provides further evidence that children experience moderate to severe pain in the recovery unit after surgery and do not always tell their nurses when they are in pain. These results provide additional evidence that nurses appear not to use pain assessment tools routinely. In Norway there are for the time being no national guidelines in paediatric postoperative pain management. Hospital policies and strategies are needed to enhance nurses’ pain assessment practices, including involving the children themselves, using appropriate tools, and assessing pain regularly. **There is also a need for establishing a child and youth advisory group at the hospitals to ensure children’s rights and that Regulations on Children’s Stay in Hospitals are met. Further studies exploring nurses’ perspectives on children’s rights versus paternalistic decision making may contribute to a better understanding of nurses’ choices of action.** Children report that the use of non-pharmacological pain-relieving strategies helps them cope with pain. Given this, nurses should be encouraged to increase their use of non-pharmacological strategies, including preparatory information and education for children and their parents about postoperative pain management. Doing so will enhance paediatric pain management. Parents should always be at the child’s bedside in the recovery unit when the child awakens postoperatively and should be encouraged to remain with their child whenever feasible.
PONV and other well-known post-anaesthetic side effects can worsen children’s pain at the very time when providing good pain relief is the priority and should thus be prevented or treated.
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What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?

- The children in this study experienced moderate to severe pain and had pain in places other than their surgical wound site.
- The children did not always tell their nurses when they were in pain, and explained why.
- The children provided useful suggestions about how to improve pediatric postoperative pain management.
TABLE 1 Participant background characteristics (N=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic surgery</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear/Nose/Throat surgery</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal surgery</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of admission</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elective surgery</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective day surgery</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency surgery</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of medication received</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox-inhibitors (NSAIDs)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine infusion</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiemetic</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam (premedication)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural anaesthesia</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural blockade</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 2** The children’s reported worst pain intensity and pain location experienced in the recovery unit (N=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain intensity</th>
<th>Number of children (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No pain (0)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pain (1–3)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate pain (4–6)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe pain (7–10)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not remember</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in surgical site</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpleasant/ painful elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizzy</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backpain</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in shoulder</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in neck</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in heels</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in mouth</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Recruitment of participants

- Children meeting the inclusion criteria (n=26)
  - Did not want to participate (n=2)
  - Discharged from the hospital before there was time for the interview (n=3)
  - Withdrawn from the surgical programme (n=1)
  - Children agreed to participate (n=20)
    - Elective surgery (n=10)
    - Elective day surgery (n=6)
    - Emergency surgery (n=4)
Supplementary File 1

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Item</th>
<th>Guide questions/description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Interviewer/facilitator</td>
<td>Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Credentials</td>
<td>What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Occupation</td>
<td>What was their occupation at the time of the study?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Gender</td>
<td>Was the researcher male or female?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Experience and training</td>
<td>What experience or training did the researcher have?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationship with participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Relationship established</td>
<td>Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer</td>
<td>What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Interviewer characteristics</td>
<td>What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Domain 2: study design**

**Theoretical framework**

| 9. Methodological orientation and Theory | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | Content analysis Described in 3.2 Data analysis |

**Participant selection**

| 10. Sampling | How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | Convenience Some participants as in the observational study, short period of time Described in 3.2 Data analysis and 5.6 strengths and limitations |
| 11. Method of approach | How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | Face-to-face Described in 3.2.3 procedure |
| 12. Sample size | How many participants were in the study? | 20, Described in figure 1 |
| 13. Non-participation | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | 6, Described in figure 1 |

**Setting**

<p>| 14. Setting of data collection | Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace | In the hospital Described in 3.2.3 procedure |
| 15. Presence of non-participants | Was anyone else present besides the participants | Two interviewers, and a parent (if they |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16. Description of sample</strong></td>
<td>What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date. Described in 3.2. sample and table 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17. Interview guide</strong></td>
<td>Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Interview guide, pilot tests. Described in 3.2.2 data collection tools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18. Repeat interviews</strong></td>
<td>Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19. Audio/visual recording</strong></td>
<td>Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Yes, nurse 2 wrote notes during the interview (nurse 1 interviewed). Audio recorded described in 3.2.3 procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20. Field notes</strong></td>
<td>Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group? Yes, nurse 2 wrote notes during the interview (nurse 1 interviewed). Described in 3.2.3 procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>21. Duration</strong></td>
<td>What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? Duration 12-28 minutes Described in 3.2.3 procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>22. Data saturation</strong></td>
<td>Was data saturation discussed? No, because of limited time of collection the number were convenient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>23. Transcripts returned</strong></td>
<td>Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain 3: analysis and findings</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24. Number of data coders</strong></td>
<td>How many data coders coded the data? Three; LN, TN and AHS Described in 3.4 data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25. Description of the coding tree</strong></td>
<td>Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? All did the individual coding first Described in 3.4 data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>26. Derivation of themes</strong></td>
<td>Were themes identified in advance or derived from No, they were derived from the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Software</td>
<td>What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Participant checking</td>
<td>Did participants provide feedback on the findings?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reporting</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Quotations presented</td>
<td>Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Data and findings consistent</td>
<td>Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Clarity of major themes</td>
<td>Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Clarity of minor themes</td>
<td>Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>