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***Abstract***

The report presents the simulation models of an algorithm called total focusing method for the circular phased array in order to improve the focusing of the main lobe of a beam by eliminating the side lobes. The report visualizes the effects of different ring size of the circular array and the effect of different number of array elements. The results of this modelling indicate that, for a given number of elements, placing them around the circular ring perform best and that the element spacing should be less than half a wavelength to avoid grating lobes and to eliminate grating lobes. In addition to that it describes how the TFM images have been built by computing half of time traces of the transmitter and receiver array.

 ***1. Introduction***

In recent years phased array technology has enhanced the way in which engineers evaluated components for their structural safety and protection, introducing a new form of inspection known today as phased array NDT non-destructive testing. Application of phased arrays (PA) can vary from pressure vessel testing, aerospace and nuclear power plants and further to the medical field where its application was initially implemented. The early 1970’s saw the use of a PA system confined to the medical field, later introduced in the industrial industry in the 1980’s, but because of varying acoustic properties, complex component geometries and ranges in material thicknesses found in industrial testing, application of PA in this field presented a greater challenge [7,8].

Due to the amount of possible element configurations experiments concluded by[4], demonstrated the effects of three different geometrical selections of elements. The visual response between the grid cross and circular array designs showed that the circular array delivered the best performance in terms of beam directivity not only this, by increasing the number of elements decreases the amplitude of the grating lobes and proved to be more superior in terms of obtaining the lowest array performance indicator (API) values.

In the journal published by[2], the spherical-section produced a much higher focal intensity gain and the amplitude of the grating lobes with respect to the focus was lower when compared to planar geometries. Another method of beam focusing analysed the beam directivity and the pressure distribution to predict the behaviours of focusing oppose to steering. A numerical simulation was utilised to show some key effects of focusing within and beyond the transition range. L.Azar, Y. Shi and S-C Wooh **[1]** demonstrated a focusing method which involved a parabolic time delay; taken from the Huygens principle; propagating waves are focused to a specific point within a material where all the individual wave fronts are summed up therefore producing maximum focal beam intensity along any desired direction.

### Simulation of array data

The arrays are compared by simulating the response to a point reflector that can be located anywhere in the +*z* halfspace, as indicated in Figure 1. To do this time domain signals (time-traces) are simulated for every transmitter-receiver combination. For example, if *n* is the number of elements in the array then a maximum of *n* x *n* possible time-traces are available for all transmitter-receiver combinations. However, the number of independent time-traces that actually need to be computed for the simulation is only , because the time-trace when element 1 transmits to element 2 is identical to the time-trace when element 2 transmits to element 1 due to reciprocity. The mathematical details of the circular phased array, in term of the time traces of the transmitting and receiving signal, and frequency responses analysis is described in the journal paper [4].
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**Figure 1 :** Array elements are uniformly spaced on the circumference of a circle of radius, r, in the x-y plane and a point reflector is in the x-y-z plane.

***1.2 Total focusing method (TFM) imaging***

The synthetic aperture focusing technique (SAFT) uses only the pulse echo responses of the array so that it does not take advantage of the much larger number of responses for various pairs of elements that can be recorded with an array. The total focusing method does utilize all combinations of sending and receiving elements, also called full matrix capture, to from an image [5].

The formation of a TFM image with a circular array (Figure 1) with N elements. In this case, it has been recorded all the voltage time domain signals *V(Xtn, Xrm,t)*, (n= 1..N),(m= 1…N), for sending element whose centroid is located at *Xtn* and a receiving element whose centroid is at *Xrm* . In the image formation process a grid of image points has been setup as shown in Figure 2, advance all these sending/receiving element pair responses by the travel times *T(Xtn,Y) +T(Xrm,Y)* and then calculate their sum at time *t =0* to form an image, ITFM(Y) , giving

$$I\_{TFM}\left(Y\right)=\sum\_{n=1}^{N}\sum\_{m=1}^{N}V(X\_{tn},X\_{rm},t+T\left(X\_{tn},Y\right)+T\left(X\_{rm},Y\right))$$

Transforming the measured time domain signals to the frequency domain and evaluate at M positive frequencies, as in the SAFT method, the alternate frequency domain TFM form:

$$I\_{TFM}\left(Y\right)=2Re\left\{\frac{∆ω}{2π}\sum\_{k=1}^{M}\sum\_{n=1}^{N}\sum\_{m=1}^{N}V\left(X\_{tn},X\_{rm},ω\_{k}\right)exp\left[-iω\_{k}T\left(X\_{tn},Y\right)-iω\_{k}T\left(X\_{rm},Y\right)\right]\right\}$$
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**Figure 2**: Imaging algorithms for the TFM scan
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***2 Fundamental of the TFM mapping***

In the TFM mapping, each input (x, y) and output value (z) from the function corresponds to a point on a grid, the images are created corresponding to the colours on the colour bar, the lowest point is represented as dark blue; the highest point is represented as red.

  

 Figure 3.1: **Grid representation of beam**

In phased array simulation; the point representing the strongest focus of the beam are displayed on a grid like image as shown in Figure3.3; only the colours showing the strongest (deep red) and weakest points (dark blue) which are also demonstrated on the grid as 0 and 1 are displayed at the point above the threshold (cut-off point) removing all remaining colours in-between.

***2.1 Results and Discussion***

 For a circular array the characteristics of the main lobe, side lobes and grating lobes are governed by geometrical parameters such as ring size, inter-element spacing, and number of elements. The effect of varying these geometrical parameters is shown in Figures 4(a)-(c) and Figures 5(a)-(c).

Figures 4(a)-(c) demonstrate the effect of varying ring diameter while the number of elements is kept constant and equal to eight. In Figure 4(a) the ring diameter is 1.67*λ* and the space between elements is *λ*/2. The result shows that there are no side or grating lobes but that the main lobe width is large. In Figure 4(b) and Figure 4(c) the ring size is increased to 3.33*λ* and 5*λ* respectively. The main beam lobe width is decreased but there are indications of grating lobes due to the space between elements being greater than *λ*/2. In Figures 5(a)-(c) the number of elements is increased in a circular array with a fixed diameter of 5*λ*. The figures show that as the number of elements increases the amplitude of the grating lobes decreases. In Figure 5(c) there are no side lobes because for 32 elements, the inter-element spacing is less than *λ*/2 in a 5*λ* diameter ring.
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Figure 4 : Effect of ring size on side lobes and main beam width for an 8 element circular

array transducer: (a) ring size 1.67 (b) ring size 3.33 ; (c) ring size 5 The scales shown

to right of each image are in dB.
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Figure 5: Effect of number of elements on side lobes when the diameter of the circular array is 5 (a) 4 elements; (b) 8 elements; (c) 32 elements. The scales shown to right of each

image are in dB.

***3. Conclusions***

There are many parameters to consider that will determine the outcome in the scanned image, these will include frequency used, propagation material, strength of the main lobe, transducer properties and many others. It is important that for these to be carefully considered in hopes of achieving the best image resolution; an ideal outcome would show a narrowly focused, high intensity beam with minimal unwanted defects in the image such as grating and side lobes. These are unwanted abnormalities that occur in the scanned image reducing the focus of the main beam, parameters that are involved with side lobes include element configuration, frequency, the element size; number, pitch and spacing as well as the bandwidth. Grating lobes occur when the element size is equal or greater than the wavelength but no longer visible when the size is less than half a wavelength. To spot such abnormalities, concentration of the main-lobe; together with increasing intensity range of the near field delivering maximum penetration of usable focus for a given array, needs to be improved to allow greater detection.
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