Some Nonparametric Asymptotic Results for a Class of Stochastic Processes

Roy Cerqueti*

University of Macerata, Dept. of Economics and Financial Institutions

Via Crescimbeni, 20 $\,$ 62100 Macerata (MC), Italy.

Tel.: +39 0733-2583246, Fax: +39 0733-2583205. Email: roy.cerqueti@unimc.it

Mauro Costantini

University of Vienna, Dept. of Economics

Bruennerstrasse 72, A-1210 Vienna/Austria.

Tel: +43 1-4277-37408, Fax: +43 1-4277-37498. Email: mauro.costantini@univie.ac.at

^{*}Corresponding author.

Running title: Nonparametric Asymptotic Results.

Corresponding author: ROY CERQUETI

University of Macerata, Dept. of Economics and Financial Institutions, Via Crescimbeni, 20 62100 Macerata (MC), Italy. Tel.: +39 0733-2583246, Fax: +39 0733-2583205. Email: roy.cerqueti@unimc.it.

Abstract

This paper provides a solution of a generalized eigenvalue problem for integrated processes of order 2 in a nonparametric framework. Our analysis focuses on a pair of random matrices related to such integrated process. The matrices are constructed considering some weight functions. Under asymptotic conditions on such weights, convergence results in distribution are obtained and the generalized eigenvalue problem is solved. Differential equations and stochastic calculus theory are used.

Keywords: Generalized eigenvalue problem, Differential Equations, Asymptotic theory, Nonparametric analysis.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 62E20, 62G20.

1 Introduction

Nonparametric approaches have been recently proposed to study integrated processes of order one (Bierens, 1997, Breitung, 2002 and García and Sansó, 2006). The prominent case of system integrated of higher order is the one of systems integrated of order two, I(2). The aim of this paper is to provide a nonparametric theoretical analysis of a multivariate integrated process of order two via asymptotic solution of a generalized eigenvalue problem. Many multivariate techniques such as principal component analysis (Cadima and Jolliffe, 1995, Sun, 2000, Schott, 2006, Fujikoshi et al., 2007 and Boente et al., 2008), correspondence analysis (Leeuw, 1982, Van de Velden and Neudecker, 2000) canonical correlation (Nielsen, 2001), discriminant analysis (Bensmail and Celeux, 1996, Demira and Ozmehmetb, 2005) and factor analysis (Forni et al., 2005) can be formulated as eigenvalue problems, including generalized eigenvalue problems. In this paper the generalized eigenvalue problem involves two random matrices that take into account the stationary and nonstationary properties of a p-variate integrated process of order 2, i.e.

$$Y_t = \Delta^{-2} \epsilon_t = (1 - L)^{-2} \epsilon_t, \tag{1}$$

where $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $Y_t = (Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^p)$, $\epsilon_t = (\epsilon_t^1, \dots, \epsilon_t^p)$ is a zero-mean stationary process, L is the lag operator, i.e. $L\epsilon_t := \epsilon_{t-1}$, and $\Delta := 1 - L$. If $Y_t \sim I(2)$, then $Y_t - Y_0 \sim I(2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $Y_0 = 0$. The random matrices are weighted with functions belonging to certain functional spaces. Under some regularity conditions on the weights, we obtain the convergence of the ordered generalized eigenvalues to random numbers independent on the integrated process. Such random quantities are the ordered solution of a nonparametric generalized eigenvalue problem.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data generating process. In section 3 the weight functions and the random matrices are defined. In section 4, convergence results for the generalized eigenvalue problem are derived. Section 5 concludes.

2 Data generating process

If Y_t in (1) satisfies the hypotheses of the Wold Decomposition Theorem, then there exists a *p*-squared matrix of lag polynomials in the lag operator L such that

$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_j v_{t-j} =: C(L)v_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(2)$$

where v_t is a *p*-variate stationary white noise process.

Assumption 1

The process ϵ_t can be written as in (2), where v_t are i.i.d. zero-mean *p*-variate gaussian variables with variance equals to the identity matrix of order *p*, I_p , and there exist $C_1(L)$ and $C_2(L)$ *p*-squared matrices of lag polynomials in the lag operator *L* such that all the roots of det $C_1(L)$ are outside the complex unit circle and $C(L) = C_1(L)^{-1}C_2(L)$.

The lag polynomial C(L) - C(1) attains value zero at L = 1 with algebraic multiplicity equals to 2. Thus, there exists a lag polynomial

$$D(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k L^k$$

such that $C(L) - C(1) = (1 - L)^2 D(L)$. Therefore, we can write

$$\epsilon_t = C(L)v_t = C(1)v_t + [C(L) - C(1)]v_t = C(1)v_t + D(L)(1-L)^2v_t.$$
 (3)

Let us define $w_t := D(L)v_t$. Then, substituting w_t into (3), we get

$$\epsilon_t = C(1)v_t + (1 - L)^2 w_t.$$
(4)

(4) implies that, given $Y_t \sim I(2)$, we can write recursively

$$\Delta Y_t = \Delta Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t = \Delta Y_0 + (1-L)w_t - w_0 + C(1)\sum_{j=1}^t v_j$$
(5)

where $\operatorname{rank}(C(1)) = p - r < p$.

Remark 1. By Assumption 1, we have that $C(L)v_t$ and $D(L)v_t$ are well-defined stationary processes.

Assumption 2

Let us consider R_r the matrix of the eigenvectors of $C(1)C(1)^T$ corresponding to the r zero eigenvalues. Then the matrix $R_r^T D(1)D(1)^T R_r$ is nonsingular.

Remark 2. Assumption 2 implies that Y_t cannot be integrated of order \overline{d} , with $\overline{d} > 2$. In fact, if there exists $\overline{d} > 2$ such that $Y_t \sim I(\overline{d})$, then the lag polynomial D(L) admits a unit root with algebraic multiplicity $\overline{d}-2$, and so D(1) is singular. Therefore $R_r^T D(1)D(1)^T R_r$ is singular, and Assumption 2 does not hold.

3 Weighted random matrices

In order to address the solution of the generalized eigenvalues problem, a couple of random matrices are constructed. These matrices are associated with the stationary and nonstationary part of the process I(2).

If Y_t satisfies (1), then $\Delta^k Y_t$ is a nonstationary process, for k = 0, 1 and $\Delta^2 Y_t$ is a stationary process. The random matrices are assumed to be dependent on an integer number $m \ge p$. Let us fix $k = 1, \ldots, m$. We define

$$A_m := \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} a_{n,k}^T;$$
(6)

$$B_m := \sum_{k=1}^m b_{n,k} b_{n,k}^T,$$
(7)

where

$$a_{n,k} := \frac{M_n^{Y,\Delta Y}/\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 F_k(x) F_k(y) \min\{x, y\} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}};$$
(8)

$$b_{n,k} := \frac{\sqrt{n} M_n^{\Delta^2 Y}}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 F_k(x)^2 \mathrm{d}x}},\tag{9}$$

with

$$M_n^{Y,\Delta Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[\left(G_k(t/n) + \frac{H_k(t/n)}{n^3} \right) \cdot Y_t \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n F_k(t/n) \Delta Y_t; \quad (10)$$

$$M_n^{\Delta^2 Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n F_k(t/n) \Delta^2 Y_t,$$
(11)

where

$$F_k: [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}, \qquad F_k \in C^1[0,1];$$

 $G_k: [0,1] \to \mathbf{R};$
 $H_k: [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}.$

The weights F_k , G_k and H_k can be chosen in order to obtain convergence results for the random matrices A_m and B_m . We give the following definition.

Definition 3. Let us fix $m \in \mathbf{N}$, k = 1, ...m. Consider the following conditions:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} n \cdot \max_{1 \le t \le n} \left| \frac{t(t+1)}{2} G_k(t/n) - t F_k(t/n) \right| = 0;$$
(12)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{9}{2}}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} t(t+1) H_k(t/n) = 0;$$
(13)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} F_k(t/n) = 0;$$
(14)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} tF_k(t/n) = 0;$$
(15)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} F_{i}(x)F_{j}(y)\min\{x,y\}dxdy = 0, \qquad i \neq j;$$
(16)

$$\int_{0}^{1} F_{i}(x) \int_{0}^{x} F_{j}(y) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0, \qquad i \neq j;$$
(17)

$$\int_{0}^{1} F_{i}(x)F_{j}(x)dx = 0, \qquad i \neq j.$$
 (18)

The functional classes \mathcal{F}_m , \mathcal{G}_m and \mathcal{H}_m are

$$\mathcal{F}_m := \left\{ F_k : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}, \, F_k \in C^1(0,1) \,|\, (14) - (18) \text{ hold}, \, k = 1 \dots, m \right\}; \quad (19)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_m := \left\{ G_k : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R} \,|\, (12) \text{ holds}, \, k = 1 \dots, m \right\}; \tag{20}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_m := \Big\{ H_k : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R} \,|\, (13) \text{ holds}, \, k = 1 \dots, m \Big\}.$$

$$(21)$$

(Bierens, 1997) shows that the functional class \mathcal{F}_m is not empty. He pointed out that, if one defines

$$\bar{F}_k : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$$

such that

$$\bar{F}_k(x) = \cos(2k\pi x),\tag{22}$$

and taking the restriction

$$F_k := \overline{F}_k|_{[0,1]},$$

then $F_k \in \mathcal{F}_m$.

The functional classes \mathcal{G}_m and \mathcal{H}_m are also not empty. In fact, the following result holds.

Proposition 4. Fix k = 1, ..., m. Define the following subset of **R**:

$$A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \Big\{ x \in \mathbf{R} \, | \, x = -\frac{1}{n} \Big\},$$

 $and \ the \ functions$

$$\bar{G}_k : \mathbf{R} - A \to \mathbf{R},$$

 $\gamma : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{R},$

such that

$$\bar{G}_k(x) = \frac{k\pi x + 1}{nx + 1} + \gamma(n).$$
 (23)

Moreover, define

$$\bar{H}_k: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$$

such that

$$\bar{H}_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j x^{\alpha_j},\tag{24}$$

for each $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $a_j, a, \alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Assume that:

• the function

$$f: \mathbf{R} - \{-1\} \to \mathbf{R}$$

 $such\ that$

$$f(t) := \frac{t(t+1)}{2}\bar{G}_k(t/n) - t\cos(\frac{2k\pi t}{n})$$
(25)

is increasing with respect to t;

• the function γ satisfies the following condition:

$$n \cdot \max\left\{ \left| \frac{1}{2}\bar{G}_k(1/n) - \cos(\frac{2k\pi}{n}) \right|, \left| \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\bar{G}_k(1) - n \right| \right\} = o(\frac{1}{n}).$$
(26)

Then

$$G_k := \bar{G}_k|_{[0,1]}, \qquad H_k := \bar{H}_k|_{[0,1]}$$

belong to \mathcal{G}_m and \mathcal{H}_m , respectively.

Proof. A direct computation gives that $H_k \in \mathcal{H}_m$. So we have to prove that $G_k \in \mathcal{G}_m$.

Since F_k defined in (22) belongs to \mathcal{F}_m (see Bierens, 1997), we can replace in (12) the functions F_k with (22). We get

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} n \cdot \max_{1 \le t \le n} \left| \frac{t(t+1)}{2} G_k(t/n) - t\cos(\frac{2k\pi t}{n}) \right| = 0.$$
(27)

Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le t \le n} \left| \frac{t(t+1)}{2} G_k(t/n) - t\cos(\frac{2k\pi t}{n}) \right| \sim \frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}}.$$
 (28)

Let us consider f defined as in (25). Since f is increasing, a simple estimate gives

$$f'(t) := \frac{2t+1}{2}G_k(t/n) + \frac{t(t+1)}{2n}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_k(t/n) - \cos(\frac{2k\pi t}{n}) + \frac{2k\pi t}{n}\sin(\frac{2k\pi t}{n}) >$$
$$> \frac{2t+1}{2}G_k(t/n) + \frac{t(t+1)}{2n}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_k(t/n) - 1 - \frac{2k\pi t}{n} \ge 0.$$

Thus, the weight functions G_k can be obtained by solving the differential equation

$$\frac{2t+1}{2}G_k(t/n) + \frac{t(t+1)}{2n}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_k(t/n) - 1 - \frac{2k\pi t}{n} = 0.$$
 (29)

The solution of (29) is

$$G_k(t/n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{k\pi(t/n) + 1}{t/n + 1/n} + \gamma(n),$$

where γ is independent on t. Due to the fact that f is increasing with respect to t, the condition (26) implies that (12) holds.

4 Generalized eigenvalues and nonparametric results

In this section the generalized eigenvalue problem is solved. Consider a p-variate standard Wiener process W and denote with f_k the derivative of F_k . We define the following p-variate standard normally distributed random vectors:

$$\begin{split} X_k &:= \frac{\int_0^1 F_k(x) W(x) \mathrm{d}x}{\left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 F_k(x) F_k(y) \min\{x, y\} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ Y_k &:= \frac{F_k(1) W(1) - \int_0^1 f_k(x) W(x) \mathrm{d}x}{\int_0^1 F_k(x)^2 \mathrm{d}x}, \\ X_k^* &:= \left(R_{p-r}^T C(1) C(1)^T R_{p-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{p-r}^T C(1) X_k \sim N_{p-r}(0, I_{p-r}), \\ Y_k^* &:= \left(R_{p-r}^T C(1) C(1)^T R_{p-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{p-r}^T C(1) Y_k, \\ Y_k^{**} &:= \left(R_r^T D(1) D(1)^T R_r\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} R_r^T D(1) Y_k \sim N_r(0, I_r). \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we construct the matrix $V_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{m}}$ as

$$V_{r,m} := (R_r^T D(1)D(1)^T R_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_{r,m}^* (R_r^T D(1)D(1)^T R_r)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$V_{r,m}^* = \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k^2 Y_k^{**} Y_k^{**T}\Big) - \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k Y_k^{**} X_k^{*T}\Big) \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m X_k^* X_k^{*T}\Big)^{-1} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k X_k^* Y_k^{**T}\Big)$$

Theorem 5. Assume that $F_k \in \mathcal{F}_m$, $G_k \in \mathcal{G}_m$, $H_k \in \mathcal{H}_m$ and Assumptions 1 and 2 hold.

• Let us consider $\hat{\lambda}_{1,m} \geq \cdots \geq \hat{\lambda}_{p,m}$ the ordered solutions of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\det\left[A_m - \lambda (B_m + n^{-2} A_m^{-1})\right] = 0,$$
(30)

and let us consider $\lambda_{1,m} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p-r,m}$ the ordered solutions of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\det\left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} X_k^* X_k^{*T} - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{M} Y_k^* Y_k^{*T}\right] = 0,$$
(31)

where the X_i^* 's and Y_j^* 's are *i.i.d.* random variables following a $N_{p-r}(0, I_{p-r})$ distribution.

Then we have the following convergence in distribution

$$(\hat{\lambda}_{1,m},\ldots,\hat{\lambda}_{p,m}) \to (\lambda_{1,m},\ldots,\lambda_{p-r,m},0,\ldots,0).$$

• Let us consider $\lambda_{1,m}^* \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{r,m}^*$ the ordered solutions of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\det\left[V_{r,m}^* - \lambda (R_r^T D(1)D(1)^T R_r)^{-1}\right] = 0.$$
(32)

We have the following convergence in distribution

$$n^2(\hat{\lambda}_{p-r+1,m},\ldots,\hat{\lambda}_{p,m}) \to (\lambda_{1,m}^{*2},\ldots,\lambda_{r,m}^{*2}).$$

Proof. Due to (Anderson et al., 1983), then Lemmas 1, 2 and 4 in (Bierens, 1997), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{M_n^{Y,\Delta Y}}{\sqrt{n}} \to C(1) \int_0^1 F_k(x) W(x) \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \text{as } n \to +\infty.$$
(33)

By definition of data generating process, we can write

$$M_n^{Y,\Delta Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(G_k(t/n) + \frac{H_k(t/n)}{n^3} \right) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta Y_{t-j} \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n F_k(t/n) \Delta Y_t.$$
(34)

We get recursively

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta Y_{t-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (j+1)\epsilon_{t-j} \sim N(0, \Sigma_*),$$
(35)

where

$$\Sigma_* := \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (j+1)^2 \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \\ 0 & \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (j+1)^2 \sigma_2^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \\ \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (j+1)^2 \sigma_p^2 \end{array} \right)$$

By (35) and (36) we can write

$$M_n^{Y,\Delta Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(G_k(t/n) + \frac{H_k(t/n)}{n^3} \right) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (j+1)\epsilon_{t-j} \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n F_k(t/n)\Delta Y_t.$$
(36)

Thus, (36) can be rewritten. Using the definition of the *p*-variate normal random variable ϵ_t and the i.i.d. property, we get

$$\frac{M_n^{Y,\Delta Y}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\epsilon_1}{n^4 \sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n H_k(t/n) \frac{t(t+1)}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{n\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \left(G_k(t/n) \frac{t(t+1)}{2} + tF_k(t/n) \right) \right]$$
(37)

By hypothesis (13), the first addend in the right-hand term of (37) vanishes as $n \to +\infty$.

Moreover, since $G_k \in \mathcal{G}_m$ it results, for each $t = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$G_k(t/n)\frac{t(t+1)}{2} \sim tF_k(t/n),$$
 (38)

as $n \to +\infty$.

Therefore, since $F_k \in \mathcal{F}_m$, by (38) and theorems 1 and 2 in (Bierens, 1997), we get the thesis.

5 Conclusions

This paper provides a nonparametric analysis of multivariate integrated processes of order two via the asymptotic behavior of a generalized eigenvalue problem. Two involved random matrices associated with the stationary and nonstationary parts of the process are constructed. To obtain asymptotic results, some weights regarding the matrices are considered. The ordered generalized eigenvalues converge to some random numbers. Such random quantities are the ordered solution of a generalized eigenvalue problem independent on the data generating process.

References

- Anderson, S.A. Brons, H.K. Jensen, S.T. (1983). Distribution of eigenvalues in multivariate statistical analysis. Annals of Statistics 11:392-415.
- [2] Bierens, H.J. (1997). Nonparametric co-integration analysis. Journal of Econometrics 7:379-404.
- [3] Breitung, J. (2002). Nonparametric Tests for Unit Roots and Cointegration. Journal of Econometrics 108:343-363.
- [4] Bensmail, H. Celeux, G. (1996). Regularized Gaussian Discriminant Analysis Through Eigenvalue Decomposition. Journal of the American Statistical Association 91:1743-1748.
- [5] Boente, G. Pires, A. Rodrigues, I. (2008). Estimators for the common principal components model based on reweighting: influence functions and Monte Carlo study. Metrika 67:189-218
- [6] Cadima, J. Jolliffe, I.T. (1995). Loadings and correlations in the interpretation of principal components. Journal of Applied Statistics 22:203-214.

- [7] Demira, G.K. Ozmehmetb, K. (2005) Online local learning algorithms for linear discriminant analysis. Pattern Recognition Letters 26:421-431.
- [8] Forni, M. Hallin, M. Lippi, M. Reichlin, L. (2005). The Generalized Dynamic Factor Model: One-Sided Estimation and Forecasting. Journal of the American Statistical Association 100:830-840.
- [9] Fujikoshi, Y. Yamada, Y. Watanabe, D. Sugiyama, T. (2007). Asymptotic distribution of the LR statistic for equality of the smallest eigenvalues in highdimensional principal component analysis. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 98:2002-2008.
- [10] García, A. Sansó, A. (2006). A Generalization of the Burridge-Guerre nonparametric unit root test. Econometric Theory 22:756-761.
- [11] Leeuw, J. (1982). Generalized eigenvalue problems with positive semidefinite matrices. Psychometrika 47:87-93.
- [12] Nielsen, B. (2001). Conditional test for rank in bivariate canonical correlation analysis. Biometrika 88:874-880.
- [13] Schott, J.R. (2006). A high-dimensional test for the equality of the smallest eigenvalues of a covariance matrix. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 97:827-843.
- [14] Sun, J. (2000). A note on principal component analysis for multidimensional data. Statistics and Probability Letters 46:69-73
- [15] Van de Velden, M. Neudecker, H. (2000). On an eigenvalue property relevant in correspondence analysis and related methods. Linear Algebra and its Applications 321:347-364