The synthesis of CuxS from Cu layers by low pressure plasma processing
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**Abstract:**

A new method of converting Cu layers to CuxS on glass at low pressure using an electron cyclotron resonance plasma and SF6 gas is presented. The process operates at low temperatures and short time scales. Trends in film crystallinity and morphology are identified in relation to process time and temperature. These show that sulphurisation is most likely complete within 10 minutes and that the sulphur content of the films reduces as the conversion temperature is increased from 473 to 623 K. Optical measurements show that the films have a direct bandgap of ~2.5 eV which is consistent with published values for CuxS films grown by other techniques. Analysis by SEM has revealed that the films possess a complicated structure of platelets covering a denser underlying film. This may account for the differences in observations made by XRF and Raman spectroscopy, which both indicated a mixture of CuS and Cu2S, and X-ray diffraction which predominantly showed CuS.
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**1 Introduction:**

Copper sulphide (CuxS) in its various phases (Covellite (CuS), anilite (Cu1.75S), digenite (Cu1.8S), djurleite (Cu1.95S), and chalcocite (Cu2S)), has many potential applications in optical and electronic devices. These include transparent conductive contacts [1], coatings for microwave shielding [2], biosensors [3] and solar cells [4]. Synthesis of CuxS has been achieved with a number of techniques including the liquid–liquid interface reaction [5], metal organic and aerosol assisted chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD and AACVD) [6], chemical vapour reaction (CVR) [7] and atomic layer deposition (ALD) [8]. Chemical bath deposition (CBD) has also been used with applications for dye sensitised solar cells [9] and in nanowisker form [10].

Synthesis of CuxS by MOCVD typically takes place at 723 K to 773 K for 60 minutes while AACVD demonstrated deposition at the same temperatures for process times between 30 and 60 minutes [6]. Direct reactions between copper and sulphur have demonstrated formation of copper sulphides [11] but it has been suggested that for reactions below 423 K material conversion is restricted to the surface [12]. Overcoming this drawback has required the use of high temperature [11], or solvents [13].

CBD techniques while operating at temperatures <363 K require deposition times from 1 to 12 hours [14,15] where films fabricated in an hour were non-uniform and poor in quality. Bagul et.al. [16] reported a solution based method with deposition temperatures optimised at 343 K and growth taking place for 3.5 hours.

Films have also been fabricated via the spray pyrolysis technique [17] with substrate temperature varying between 458 K to 558 K. The spraying sequences varied between 15 and 40 minutes [17] depending on precursor solution. Variations in the CuS films surface morphology featured crystal sizes dependant on the different precursor solutions and sample temperatures. Using this technique Gadgil et.al. [18] reported Cu2S chalcocite as the dominant phase in films deposited at 523 K.

Reactively sputtered CuxS deposition has been carried out at temperatures ranging from ambient to 773 K. Typically Cu targets are sputtered in an argon plasma with the addition of H2S [19]. Copper coexists in the film if the H2S flow is too low, while with increasing gas flow and decreasing sputter power, CuS films with hexagonal structure can be fabricated.

Other plasma techniques have also been employed to produce CuxS. Randhawa et.al. [20] used activated reactive evaporation (ARE). This process involves the resistive evaporation of Cu in a H2S plasma with substrate temperatures ranging from 298 K to 593 K producing chalcocite (Cu2S). Varying process conditions yielded changes in the materials resistivity and surface morphology.

In this paper we present the first results for the synthesis of CuxS from thin Cu layers on glass substrates by electron cyclotron resonance (ECR) plasma excitation. ECR chemical vapour deposition (ECRCVD) is a plasma enhanced process where a precursor gas is ionised by a 2.45 GHz microwave source and induced into a resonant condition by the use of an electromagnet [21]. Typically, this technique has been used for thin film Si deposition [22], etching [23] and nanowire growth [24]. We demonstrate that this technique has the potential to convert Cu to CuxS at low temperatures and short process times using SF6 as the source of sulphur.

**2 Material and methods:**

Corning glass samples were cleaned in acetone in an ultra-sonic bath followed by a deionised water rinse and N2 blow dry. This was followed by a 3:1 H2SO4;H2O2 etch for 10 minutes, DI rinse and final N2 blow dry prior to loading into a KJL PVD 75 ebeam system . After pumping to a base pressure of ~5x10-5 Pa, ~150 nm of 4N Cu was deposited onto the samples with the thickness determined during deposition by a crystal thickness monitor. The thicknesses of the as-deposited layers were confirmed post deposition with a Dektak 6M stylus profilometer.

The glass/Cu samples were loaded into the ECRCVD system and the heater was ramped to the required process temperature in vacuum to allow outgassing and temperature stabilisation. At this point SF6 was introduced into the chamber and plasma processing commenced. Processing parameters are given in table 1.

Table 1: plasma processing parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Value |
| MW power (W) | 800 |
| Magnet Current (A) | 5 |
| Process time (min) | 10, 30, 60 |
| SF6 flow rate (m3/s) | 3.33x10-7 |
| Process pressure (Pa) | 1.33 |
| Temperature (K) | 373, 473, 523, 573, 623, 673 |

Upon completion of the process time the heater was turned off and samples were left to cool under vacuum. Subsequently the samples were analysed using a Renishaw Raman system using the 488 nm line of an Ar+ ion laser with a spatial resolution of ~1μm. Surface morphology was characterised with a Hitachi S4300 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Optical characterisation of the sample reflection and transmission was carried out using a Bentham PVE 300 system.

The composition of the sulphurised films was determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using a SII NanoTechnology Inc. SEA6000VX spectrometer operating at 15 kV. Spectra were analysed using the instrument’s film fundamental parameters software which was calibrated using XRF thin film reference standards for Cu and CuxS (MICROMATTER Technologies Inc., Canada). These were placed directly on top of a blank substrate to more accurately represent the structure of the samples. Crystallographic phase determination was done by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips Panalytical X’Pert Pro diffractometer operating with θ/θ geometry, Cu Kα1 radiation (wavelength 0.154 nm), and using the ICDD powder diffraction database [25]. The analysed areas of the samples were 1.2 mm ×1.2 mm during XRF and 10 mm diameter during XRD when the samples were rotated during data collection.

**3 Results:**

Samples processed below 473 K and at 673 K delaminated upon removal from the ECRCVD system with no further analysis possible. Control samples of the same Cu thickness were processed at the same temperatures, SF6 flow rates, pressures and times but without a plasma. These control samples exhibited no evidence of material conversion.

The variation in the chemical composition of the sulphurised films as determined by XRF is presented in figure 1. This shows that the sulphur content of the films reduced as the process temperature increased, with a stoichiometry that is broadly consistent with CuS at low temperatures and Cu2S at high temperatures. At 573 K little variation in sulphur content was observed for processing times between 10 and 60 minutes.



Figure 1: XRF analysis of sulphur content x (CuSx) for samples after processing. (a) The effect of growth temperature for 60 minute deposition runs, apart from the 623 K run which was for 30 minutes. (b) The effect of deposition time for 573 K deposition temperature.

Figures 2 and 3 show the results of the XRD analysis, which revealed the presence of several sulphide phases without any indication of metallic Cu. The films processed at 573 K (figure 2) were predominantly covellite (CuS). Little variation in the crystallographic structure with increasing process times from 10 to 60 minutes was observed, apart from the appearance of the (008) reflection after processing for 30 minutes.



Figure 2 XRD analysis for samples grown at 573 K for different process times. Labelled reflections are for covellite CuS (ICDD 79-2321).

The effect of changing the process temperature was also examined by XRD and the results are shown in figure 3.



Figure 3 XRD analysis of samples grown at different temperatures. Growth time 60 minutes apart from 623 K which was for 30 minutes. Labelled reflections are for covellite CuS (ICDD 79-2321), \*digenite Cu1.8S (ICDD 47-1748) and \*\*chalcocite Cu1.96S (ICDD 29-578).

At 473 K the film can be seen to be quite poorly crystalline with broad reflections that were again consistent with covellite. As the temperature increased up to 573 K the films remained covellite and their diffraction peaks became sharper as their crystallinity increased. At 623 K the crystallographic phase changed to a mixture of digenite (Cu1.8S) and possibly nonstoichiometric chalcocite (Cu1.96S), the peak at approximately 33° being the strongest reflection reported for this phase (103) in the ICDD powder diffraction data file (29-578). These phases have been observed by Nair et al [26] for the conversion of chemically deposited CuS thin films by annealing at 673 K under nitrogen.

The XRD data was used to determine the degree of preferred orientation within the films by comparing the intensities of the observed Bragg reflections to those given by the ICDD powder diffraction data file (79-2321) using equation 1.

(1)

Here Chkl is the texture coefficient for the (hkl) reflection, Ihkl is the observed intensity for the reflection and is the corresponding listed intensity from the ICDD powder diffraction data. n is the number of reflections included in the analysis. The resulting texture coefficients for the covellite films are summarised in table 2.

Table 2: The texture coefficient observed for the covellite films.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| hkl | Texture coefficient, *Chkl* | | | | | |
| Annealing time (at 573K) | | | Annealing temperature (for 60 min, 623 K 30 min) | | |
| 10 min | 30 min | 60 min | 473 K | 523 K | 623 K |
| 102 | 97x10-2 | 71x10-2 | 71x10-2 | 133x10-2 | 23x10-2 | 71x10-2 |
| 103 | 81x10-2 | 50x10-2 | 52x10-2 | 49x10-2 | 16x10-2 | 52x10-2 |
| 006 | 185x10-2 | 367x10-2 | 343x10-2 | 140x10-2 | 554x10-2 | 343x10-2 |
| 110 | 84x10-2 | 35x10-2 | 39x10-2 | 135x10-2 | 3x10-2 | 39x10-2 |
| 108 | 94x10-2 | 60x10-2 | 67x10-2 | 116x10-2 | 2x10-2 | 67x10-2 |
| 116 | 58x10-2 | 17x10-2 | 28x10-2 | 28x10-2 | 1x10-2 | 28x10-2 |

These show that the majority of the films are dominated by the (006) reflection, which appears to reach a maximum at an annealing temperature of 523 K.

The Raman spectroscopy results taken at multiple points on the samples generally indicate an inhomogeneous conversion of the initial Cu layers. The results shown in figure 4 are representative of the measured spectra (presented in supplementary data) and include reference spectra from several CuxS phases.

The Raman spectra samples processed for 10, 30 and 60 minutes at 573 K are shown in figure 4(a). The spectra for 30 and 60 minutes indicate mixed Cu2S/CuS, while the 10 minute sample suggests a dominant presence of Cu2S.



Figure 4 (a) Raman spectra for 10, 30 and 60 minutes at 573 K process (b) Raman spectra for 60 minutes process at 473 K, 523 K, 573 K and 623 K (c) Raman spectra of sample processed for 30 min at 623 K.

The effect of changing process temperature can be seen in figure 4(b) where the 473 K process for60 minutes suggests a possible mixed Cu2S/CuS film of poor quality. Films processed at 523 K and 573 K suggest a mixture of CuS and Cu2S. The 30 minute run at 623 K has a highly defined CuS peak with possible additions of Cu1.96S and Cu1.8S as seen from figure 4(c).

The optical transmission of the samples over a range of 300-1100 nm can be seen in figure 5, with samples processed at 573 K for 10, 30 and 60 minutes shown in figure 5(a). A transmission peak can be seen in the region of ~550 nm which attenuates with decreasing time.



Figure 5: Transmission of samples (a) 573 K process for 60, 30 and 10 minutes (b) 573 K, 523 K and 473 K processes for 60 minutes and 623 K process for 30 minutes.

A similar but more pronounced trend can be seen with the change in process temperature in figure 5(b). Decreasing temperature from 573 K to 473 K decreases transmission with a broadening and shift to the longer wavelengths at the lowest temperature. Processing for 30 minutes at 623 K gives the highest level of transmission.

The reflection of the films is presented in figure 6. Samples processed at 573 K decreasing in process time from 60 to 10 minutes show a reduction in reflection from a peak at ~800 nm broadening significantly at 10 minutes (figure 6(a)).



Figure 6: Reflection of samples (a) 573 K processed for 60, 30 and 10 minutes (b) 573 K, 523 K and 473 K processed for 60 minutes and 623 K processed for 30 minutes.

Decreasing process temperatures from 573 K to 523 K and then 473 K (figure 6(b)) demonstrates a significant drop in reflection at wavelengths >700nm. Interestingly, increasing process temperature to 623 K for a shorter 30 minute run typically shows a decrease in reflection at wavelengths >700 nm but an increase <700 nm.

The optical band gaps of the allowed direct transitions were obtained from Tauc plots (shown in figure 7) of (αhν)2 against hν. Here h is Plank’s constant, ν frequency and α absorption coefficient. Transmission was corrected and absorption coefficient calculated following the method of Nair et.al. [26].



Figure 7: Plots of (αhν)2 against hν for (a) films processed for 10, 30 and 60 minutes at 573 K, (b) films processed at 473 K, 523 K, 573 K for 60 minutes and 623 K for 30 minutes.

Figure 7(a) shows the optical band gap for the samples processed at 573 K for 10, 30 and 60 minutes. It can be seen that with increasing process time the band gap decreases, varying from **~**2.56 eV to ~2.54 eV and ~2.51 eV for processing times of 10, 30 and 60 minutes, respectively. The films processed at increasing temperatures for 60 minutes, as shown in figure 7(b), show a band gap of ~ 2.51 eV for samples processed at 473 K, 523 K and 573 K. The sample processed at 623 K for 30 minutes had a band gap of ~2.62 eV. These are in a similar range to those reported for direct transitions in Cu2S films grown from solution [17, 27,].

SEM analysis showing the morphological variations of the sample surface can be seen in figure 8.



Figure 8: SEM micrographs for films processed for (A) 60 minute at 473 K (B) 60 minute at 523 K (C) 60 minute at 573 K (D) 30 minute at 573 K (E) 10 minutes at 573 K (F) 30 minutes at 623 K (scale bar 10 μm and applicable to all samples).

It can be seen when comparing images A, B and C that increasing process temperature with a 60 minute run time changes surface features. At 473 K (figure 8 A) the surface included small needle like structures embedded in small grains. Increasing temperature introduces a change to polygonal like structures at 523 K (figure 8 B). At 573 K (figure 8 C) these maintain their morphology but increase in size with reduced density.

Decreasing process time at 573 K from 60 minute to 30 minute to 10 minutes (figures 8 C, D and E respectively) generates smaller but denser features. Increasing the process temperature to 623 K for 30 minutes (figure 8 F) generates a different surface finish with no polygonal structures at this level of magnification. Instead we see the formation of what are possibly small grains.

**4 Discussion:**

The XRF results in figure 1 and XRD results in figures 2 and 3 indicate that the sulphurisation process converting the initial Cu layers to CuxS has been successful.

The variation in the chemical composition of the sulphurised films as determined by XRF in figure 1 shows that the sulphur content of the films reduced as the process temperature increased, with a stoichiometry that is broadly consistent with CuS at low temperatures and Cu2S at high temperatures. We suggest reduction in the film’s sulphur content with increasing temperature is the result of desorption due to the low melting point of sulphur at 388 K [16].

At 573 K little variation in sulphur content was observed for annealing times between 10 minutes and 60 minutes, which indicates that conversion to the sulphide occurs quite rapidly during the process and that the observed compositions can be considered to be at chemical equilibrium.

The effect of changing the annealing temperature is also examined by the XRD results of figure 3. The changes observed were similar to those for the chemical composition of the films in that the effects of changing the annealing temperature were far more dramatic than those for changing the annealing time.

The crystal orientation information in table 2 shows the majority of the films are dominated by the (006) preferred orientation, which appears to reach a maximum at an annealing temperature of 523 K.

For the series of samples processed with increasing temperature, the Raman data indicates that the conversion was not homogeneous with some results contradicting the XRF and XRD data. At low temperatures XRF data suggests a dominant CuS phase changing to Cu2S with increasing temperature. The Raman results differ, showing mixed phases with an increase in CuS at 573 K. The XRF data is more in agreement with the XRD results with the exception of the 573 K film processed for 60 minutes.

When process time is varied from 10 minutes to 60 minutes, the covellite identified by the XRD is typically seen as chalcocite by XRF analysis, with the Raman results indicating a mixed phase.

The morphology of the samples exhibit change as can be seen from the SEM images in figure 8 A-C. A clear trend can be seen where increasing process temperature results in changing surface features, from small needles to small polygons to large polygons. Increasing process time at a set 573 K (images C, D and E) shows an increase in surface feature size. Image F suggests small CuxS grains were formed in the film processed at 623 K as observed by Wang et. al. [7].

The discrepancy observed between the results at certain points in the sample space could be attributed to this varied surface morphology. Raman spectroscopy with its small probe size (~ 1μm diameter) relative to XRD (~10 mm diameter and rotated) and XRF (~1.2 mm × 1.2 mm) indicates the conversion from Cu is probably inhomogeneous for these process conditions resulting in multiple phases; an observation we suspect to be correct given the fabrication of mixed phase films by Ren et.al. [28]. It is possible that the results obtained from XRD and XRF are dominated by different sample features which gives rise to the contradictory results. Other groups have reported surface features similar to those observed in images C, D and E in figure 8. The Cu1.96S platelets of Kemmler et. al. [6] were grown on a glass substrate using low pressure MOCVD at 723 K for 60 minutes. CBD on FTO coated glass slides carried out by Xin et.al. [29] demonstrated such structures were CuS. Wang et.al.[30] grew single-crystalline CuS plates via chemical vapour reaction reacting Cu films on glass substrates in a vacuum chamber with sulphur vapour at ~723 K for ~7 hours. Following Wang et.al. [30] we suggest the platelets observed in figure 8 C, D and E are probably CuS growing in an underlying CuxS matrix. The discrepancy between XRD and XRF could be due to the domination of the XRD results by these CuS platelets over the less crystalline underlying layer.

The trends in the optical data show an increase in transmission and reflection with increasing process time at 573 K. A similar trend can be seen in the transmission of samples processed from 473 K through 523 K to 573 K with the transmission of the sample processed at 623 K showing a significant increase. This suggests that the surface morphology and material type, especially in the sample identified as digenite/chalcocite, is having an effect on the optical properties of the films. This change in transmission between digenite/djurleite and covellite has also been observed by Nair et.al. [15] supporting the XRD results for this sample.

Direct gaps varying between 2.36 and 2.4 eV have been observed for CuxS polycrystalline films [27, 31]. The band gaps derived from the Tauc plots in this work agree broadly with the literature given the inaccuracies associated with this method.

**5 Conclusion:**

We have demonstrated the complete conversion of Cu thin films on glass to CuxS using an ECR plasma excitation source and SF6 as the process gas. Samples were converted at temperatures ranging from 473 K to 623 K with a process time varying from 10 to 60 minutes and demonstrate increasing CuS crystallinity with process temperature and time. From SEM images we have observed changes in film morphology corresponding with changes in process time and temperature. Increasing process temperature reduces the levels of S incorporation within the film.

Further work is being carried out to ascertain the limits of this versatile conversion method and its potential to other sulphide materials. Of particular interest are alloys such as CZTS which are attracting considerable interest as earth abundant materials for solar cells [32, 33, 34].
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