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Abstract—In this paper, we analyze the achievable rate for ambient backscatter communications under three different channels: the binary input and binary output (BIBO) channel, the binary input and signal output (BISO) channel, and the binary input and energy output (BIEO) channel. Instead of assuming Gaussian input distribution, the proposed study matches the practical ambient backscatter scenarios, where the input of the tag can only be binary. We derive the closed-form capacity expression as well as the capacity-achieving input distribution for the BIBO channel. To show the influence of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) on the capacity, a closed-form tight ceiling is also derived when SNR turns relatively large. For BISO and BIEO channel, we obtain the closed-form mutual information, while the semi-closed-form capacity value can be obtained via one dimensional searching. Simulations are provided to corroborate the theoretical studies. Simulations show that: (i) the detection threshold maximizing the capacity of BIBO channel is the same as the one from the maximum likelihood signal detection; (ii) the maximal of the mutual information of all channels is achieved almost by a uniform input distribution; (iii) the mutual information of the BIEO channel is larger than that of the BIBO channel, but is smaller than that of the BISO channel.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Internet of Things (IoT) that could connect millions or even billions of physical objects (including typical ones such as computers and smartphones) to the Internet has drawn increasing attentions from both academia and industry recently [1]. However, as more and more things are being connected to IoT, how to power the huge number of devices without expensively using batteries has posed a significant challenge [2]. To enable ubiquitous communications between low-power devices, an innovative passive communication technique, called ambient backscatter, was presented in [3], [4]. Specifically, an information device utilizes ubiquitous radio frequency signals from ambient sources, such as TV broadcasting, cellular and Wi-Fi transmissions, as both the energy source and information carriers. This approach provides a promising solution for communications between batteryless devices and demonstrates its potentials in IoT.

Ambient backscatter communications make use of environmental wireless signals to harvest energy and transmit information, which gets rid of the battery and avoids heavy manual maintenance. The basic principles of ambient backscatter can be described as follows:

- The ambient source continuously offers service to its own legacy receivers, whose signalling can also be received by both the tag and the reader;
- The tag transmits binary symbols, bit 1 or bit 0, by backscattering or not backscattering the received ambient signals [5], respectively;
- The reader receives the signal from the ambient source and the backscattered signals from the tag, and can decode bits 1 and 0 with specific signal processing technologies.

Following [3], many signal detection techniques for ambient backscatter communications were designed. For example, the differential energy detection and joint energy detection were proposed in [6]–[8], respectively, where the transmitter employs the low rated differential on-off signaling. The authors of [9] considered the frequency selective channel and developed an ambient backscatter system over the orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) modulated carriers. An interesting cooperative strategy was established in [10], where the receiver can decode the information not only from the transmitter but also from the ambient source. In addition, ambient backscatter is also applied into radio frequency powered cognitive radio networks to improve the performance of the secondary systems [11]. Moreover, authors of [12] designed a Manchester code based ambient backscattering strategy in order to remove the necessity of estimating the decision threshold, and to enable immediate symbol-by-symbol detection.

Despite the active radio protocols such as Bluetooth, ZigBee, and Wi-Fi, the operating data rate of the ambient-backscatter system was relatively limited. Some methods were designed to enhance the data rates through the use of multi-antenna processing [4], where up to 1 Mbps can be
achieved at the cost of receiver size and complexity. In term of achievable date rate analysis, the existing works assume Gaussian input and directly apply the Shannon theorem [13] to evaluate typical information-theoretic figures of merit for both the ambient source and backscatter systems. However, such assumption is far from the practical binary signalling in the ambient backscatter system.

In this paper, we consider three different types of channels for ambient backscatter communications and analyze their corresponding achievable rate as well as the capacity. Firstly, we study the binary input and binary output (BIBO) channel from an information-theoretic point of view, where the input of the channel is the on-off keying state at the tag. The output of the channel is obtained from an energy detector [15] at the reader, where the received continuous signals are converted into discrete binary symbols. Similar to [16], [17], we here treat the energy detector as a part of the channel during the capacity analysis, and then compute the capacity-achieving input distribution of the BIBO channel. To show the influence of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) on the capacity, we also derive the closed-form tight capacity ceiling when the SNR of the source goes relatively large. Secondly, we consider the binary input and signal output (BISO) channel, where the output of the channel is exactly the continuous signal received at the reader. Since the energy of the received signal is the key information-bearing statistics [18] during the detection, we, lastly, treat the energy computer as a continuous communication channel and look into the binary input and energy output (BIEO) channel, where the output of the channel is the energy of the continuous signals received at the reader. We derive the closed-form expressions of the mutual information of the BISO and BIEO channels, respectively, and obtain the impact of the partition number for the Riemann Integral on their mutual information. Semi-closed-form values of the capacities can then be obtained from one dimensional searching. Interestingly, simulations show that (i) for the BIBO channel, the threshold maximizing the capacity is the same as the one obtained from the maximum likelihood (ML) detector; (ii) for all three types of channels, the maximum values of the mutual information are almost achieved by a uniform distribution for the input; (iii) the mutual information of BIEO channel is larger than that of the BIBO channel, but is smaller than that of the BISO channel.

The underlying differences between the developed rate analysis for ambient backscatter communications and that for conventional active system lie in the following two aspects: 1) The information signal is carried on an unknown ambient signal, which formulate a completely different analysis approach. Moreover, the unknown ambient radio also acts as an interference and the enhance the difficulty of the analysis; 2) Gaussian input distribution is normally used in an active system because it could provide a better approximation for high order constellations. However, Gaussian input distribution would not be a suitable one for the low-power binary ambient backscatter, and hence the developed rate analysis would be much different and difficult than that for the active system.

---

1Some of our preliminary results were published in [14].
Normally the IoT tag transmits data at a much lower rate than the legacy signal, and we can assume tag's symbol remains unchanged for $N$ (an even number without loss of generality) consecutive $s[n]$'s. Denote the binary transmitter symbols of the tag as $d \in \{0, 1\}$. Then the signal backscattered by the tag is

$$x_b[n] = \alpha dx[n], \quad n = 1, \cdots, N,$$

where $\alpha$ is a coefficient representing the scattering efficiency and antenna gain. Since the tag circuit consists only of passive components and takes few signal processing operations, its thermal noise is usually negligible [19].

As the reader obtains the superposition of the signal from the ambient source and the modulated signal backscattered from the tag, the received signal $y[n]$ is expressed as

$$y[n] = (h_{sr} + \alpha h_{st} h_{tr} d)s[n] + w[n],$$

where $w[n]$ is the zero-mean additive white Gaussian noise with noise power $N_w$, i.e., $w[n] \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_w)$. We then formulate a received vector corresponding to the tag's symbol $d$ as $y = [y[1], \cdots, y[N]]^T$.

### B. Maximum Likelihood Detection

Let us now describe how the reader decodes the tag's information $d$. Denote $h_0 = h_{sr}$ and $h_1 = h_{sr} + \alpha h_{st} h_{tr}$. There is

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} h_0 s[n] + w[n] \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_0^2), & d = 0, \\ h_1 s[n] + w[n] \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_1^2), & d = 1, \end{cases}$$

with variances

$$\sigma_0^2 \triangleq |h_0|^2 P_s + N_w, \quad \sigma_1^2 \triangleq |h_1|^2 P_s + N_w.$$  

Denote $\mathcal{H}_i$ as the hypothesis that $d = i$ is transmitted by the tag. For the optimal ML detection [15], the likelihood ratio is

$$\Lambda(y) = \frac{p(y|\mathcal{H}_0)}{p(y|\mathcal{H}_1)} = \frac{\sigma_2^{2N}}{\sigma_1^{2N}} \exp \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0 \sigma_1^2} z \right),$$

where $z = \sum_{n=1}^N |y[n]|^2$ is the received signal energy. Then the ML detection can be simplified to

$$\Lambda(y) H_0 \overset{\Delta}{=} H_1 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}_t} T_{ML}, & \sigma_0^2 > \sigma_1^2, \\ \mathcal{N} T_{ML}, & \sigma_0^2 < \sigma_1^2, \end{cases}$$

which is exactly the energy detection and

$$T_{ML} = \frac{N \sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \ln \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2}$$

is the optimal detection threshold.

### C. Information Theory Background

To match the practical ambient backscattering scenario, we mainly focus on the binary input case [20], where the tag input is denoted as $D$ whose possible values are $d = 0$ or $d = 1$. Clearly, the Shannon’s capacity formula with Gaussian input should not be applied for (3) to derive the capacity for ambient backscatter system.

**Case 1:** If the channel output is a binary discrete random variable, denoted as $\hat{D}$ whose possible values are $\hat{d} = 0$ or $\hat{d} = 1$, then the channel is defined as the BIBO channel. The input probability distribution of the channel is denoted as $p = [P(d = 0), P(d = 1)]$. Given the input $d = i$, the conditional probability of having the output $\hat{d} = j$ is $P(\hat{d} = j|d = i)$. For the BIBO channel with input $D$ and output $\hat{D}$, the mutual information can be expressed as

$$I(D; \hat{D}) = \sum_{i=0,1} P(d = i) I(d = i; \hat{D})$$

where $I(d = i; \hat{D})$ is the average mutual information between the input $d_i$ and the output $\hat{D}$, and is given by

$$I(d = i; \hat{D}) = \sum_{j=0}^1 P(\hat{d} = j|d = i) \log \frac{P(\hat{d} = j|d = i)}{\sum_{k=0}^1 P(d = k) P(\hat{d} = j|d = k)}.$$  

**Case 2:** If the channel output is a continuous random variable, denoted as $Y$ whose value ranges from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, then the channel is defined as the binary input and continuous output channel. Given the input $d = i$, the conditional probability function of having output $y$ is $f(y|d = i)$. For the binary discrete input $D$ and continuous output $Y$, the mutual information can be expressed as [21]

$$I(D; Y) = \sum_{i=0,1} P(d = i) I(d = i; Y),$$

where

$$I(d = i; Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y|d = i) \log \frac{f(y|d = i)}{\sum_{k=0}^1 P(d = k) f(y|d = k)} dy.$$  

### III. Binary Input and Binary Output Channel

From Section II.B we know that the optimal detection is to pass the received signal to an energy detector and then yield the binary output “0” and “1”. Considering together the binary input, we could then imagine the whole transmission from the binary input to binary output as a BIBO channel, as shown in Fig. 2, where the energy detector with an uncertain threshold $T_h$ (not necessarily $T_{ML}$) can be treated as a part of the ambient backscatter channel [17].

Let us denote the input alphabet and the output alphabet as $D = \{0, 1\}$ and $\hat{D} = \{0, 1\}$, respectively. Define the binary input distribution as $P(d = 0) = p, P(d = 1) = 1 - p$ and denote $p = [p, 1 - p]$. Meanwhile, define the binary output
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distribution as $P(d = 0) = q$, $P(d = 1) = 1 - q$ and denote $q = \lceil q, 1 - q \rceil$. The transition probability matrix $P$ of the system is

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{0|0} & P_{1|0} \\ P_{0|1} & P_{1|1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{0|0} & 1 - P_{0|0} \\ P_{0|1} & 1 - P_{0|1} \end{pmatrix},$$

(13)

where $P_{j|i}$ denotes the conditional probability of getting the output $j$ given the input $i$.

Due to symmetry, we only study the case where $\sigma^2_0 > \sigma^2_1$ while that of $\sigma^2_0 < \sigma^2_1$ can be similarly obtained. In this case, there is

$$P_{0|i} = \Pr(z > T_h|\mathcal{H}_i) = \int_{T_h}^\infty f(z|\mathcal{H}_i)\,dz,$$

(14)

where $f(z|\mathcal{H}_i)$ is the probability density function (PDF) of $z$ under hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_i$. Since $z$ is a central chi-square random variable with $2N$ degrees of freedom (DOF), $f(z|\mathcal{H}_i)$ can be computed as

$$f(z|\mathcal{H}_i) = \frac{z^{N-1}e^{-z/2\sigma^2_i}}{\Gamma(N)\sigma^2_i^{2N}}.$$

(15)

Thus, $P_{0|i}$ is obtained by substituting $f(z|\mathcal{H}_i)$ into (14) as

$$P_{0|i} = \frac{\Gamma\left(N, \frac{T_h}{\sigma^2_i}\right)}{\Gamma(N)},$$

(16)

where $\Gamma(N, x)$ denotes the upper incomplete Gamma function

$$\Gamma(N, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{N-1}e^{-t}\,dt.$$

(17)

A. Mutual Information

It can be readily obtained from the law of total probability that

$$q = pP_{0|0} + (1 - p)P_{0|1}.$$  

(18)

Let $h(p)$ be the binary entropy function:

$$h(p) \triangleq -p \log p - (1 - p) \log(1 - p).$$

(19)

Then the mutual information between input $D$ and output $\hat{D}$ can be written as

$$I(D; \hat{D}) = H(\hat{D}) - H(\hat{D}|D)$$

$$= h(q) - \left[p h(P_{0|0}) + (1 - p) h(P_{0|1})\right].$$

(20)

B. Capacity-achieving Input Distribution

We see that the mutual information (20) is the function only of $p$, and we then define $I(D; \hat{D}) = I(p)$. According to the definition, the channel capacity is

$$C = \max_p I(p).$$

(21)

**Lemma 1.** The necessary and sufficient condition on the input distribution $p^* = [p^*, 1 - p^*]$ to achieve capacity is [22]:

$$I(d = 0; \hat{D})|_{p=p^*} = I(d = 1; \hat{D})|_{p=p^*} = E,$$

(22)

where $I(d = i; \hat{D})$ is the mutual information for input $d = i$ averaged over the output, then the value of $E$ is exactly the channel capacity.

**Theorem 1.** For the BIBO channel of ambient backscatter, the capacity-achieving input distribution is

$$p^* = \frac{q^* - P_{0|1}}{P_{0|0} - P_{0|1}},$$

(23)

where

$$q^* = \frac{1}{1 + 2d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})}$$

(24)

is the corresponding output distribution and $d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1}) \triangleq h(P_{0|0}) - h(P_{0|1})$.

**Proof:** The mutual information for input $d = i$ averaged over output can be expressed as

$$I(d = i; \hat{D}) = \sum_{j=0,1} P_{j|i} \log \frac{P_{j|i}}{\sum_{k=0,1} P(d = k)P_{j|k}}$$

$$= P_{0|k} \log \frac{P_{0|k}}{q} + (1 - P_{0|k}) \log \frac{1 - P_{0|k}}{1 - q}$$

$$= -h(P_{0|k}) + P_{0|k} \log \frac{1 - q}{q} - \log(1 - q),$$

(25)

where $h'(q) = \log \frac{1 - q}{q}$ is obtained from the derivative of $h(q)$.

From Lemma 1, we know the capacity-achieving output distribution $q^* = [q^*, 1 - q^*]$ can be obtained from $I(d = 0; \hat{D}) = I(d = 1; \hat{D})$ as (24). Moreover, the capacity-achieving input distribution $p^*$ can be computed from (18) as (23).

Therefore, for the BIBO channel of ambient backscatter, the closed-form capacity is given by

$$C_{\text{BIBO}} = -h(P_{0|0}) + P_{0|0} h'(q^*) - \log(1 - q^*).$$

(26)

C. Optimal Threshold for Capacity

Similar to [23], the capacity of BIBO channel is a function of the threshold $T_h$. In this subsection, we will derive the optimal $T_h$ that maximizes (26).

We can obtain from (26) that

$$C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h) \triangleq -h(P_{0|0}) + (P_{0|0} - 1)d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})$$

$$+ \log \left(1 + 2d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})\right).$$

(27)
Then the optimal threshold can be obtained from the following optimization problem

$$T^*_h = \arg \max \ C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h).$$

(28)

The derivative of (27) is computed as follows

$$\frac{\partial C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h)}{\partial T_h} = \left[ d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1}) - h'(P_{0|0}) \right] \frac{\partial P_{0|0}}{\partial T_h} + \left[ P_{0|0} - \frac{1}{1 + 2d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})} \right] \frac{dd(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})}{\partial T_h},$$

(29)

where

$$\frac{\partial d(P_{0|0}, P_{0|1})}{\partial T_h} = \frac{1}{P_{0|0} - P_{0|1}} \left[ h'(P_{0|0}) \frac{\partial P_{0|0}}{\partial T_h} - h'(P_{0|1}) \frac{\partial P_{0|1}}{\partial T_h} \right].$$

(30)

From (16) and (17), we have

$$\frac{\partial P_{0|i}}{\partial T_h} = T^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_h} \left[ \frac{N^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{T}{\gamma} \right)}{\Gamma(N)} \exp \left( \frac{T}{2 \gamma} \right) \right].$$

(31)

The optimal threshold should be achieved when \( \frac{\partial C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h)}{\partial T_h} = 0 \) holds whose closed-form expression is, unfortunately, hard to obtain. Nevertheless, since \( C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h) \) is a function of one single variable, we could simply apply a one-dimensional searching in \( \frac{\partial C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_h)}{\partial T_h} = 0 \) to obtain the optimal threshold at certain SNR value. Interestingly, it will be shown in the later simulation that \( T^*_h \) is almost the same as \( T_{\text{ML}} \) for various channel realizations.

**D. Capacity Ceiling**

It has been shown in [15] that the symbol detection in ambient backscatter communications will meet an error floor when SNR goes to infinity. Correspondingly, the capacity is also expected to meet an upper bound when SNR goes to infinity, referred to as the capacity ceiling. Since we do not have a closed form \( T^*_h \), we here adopt the alternative threshold \( T_{\text{ML}} \) to illustrate the effect of the capacity ceiling. Nevertheless, it will be shown in the later simulations that \( T_{\text{ML}} \) almost provide the same capacity value as \( T^*_h \).

For ambient backscatter communications, \( N \) is generally large and thus the following approximation holds [24]

$$\frac{\Gamma(N, x)}{\Gamma(N)} \approx Q \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{N}} \right).$$

(32)

With \( T_{\text{ML}} \) and (32), \( P_{0|i} \) can be approximated by

$$P_{0|i} \approx Q \left( \sqrt{N} \left[ \frac{|h_i|^2 + 1/\gamma}{|h_i|^2 - |h_i|^2} \ln \left( \frac{|h_i|^2 + 1/\gamma}{|h_i|^2 + 1/\gamma} \right) - 1 \right] \right) \triangleq Q \left( \sqrt{N} \left\{ \frac{g_i(\gamma)}{|h_i|^2 - |h_i|^2} - 1 \right\} \right),$$

(33)

where \( i = 1 \oplus i, \gamma = P_s/N_w \) denotes SNR of the ambient source, and \( g_i(\gamma) \) is defined as the corresponding item.

From the fact that

$$Q(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} t^2 \right) dt,$$

(34)

the derivative of \( P_{0|i} \) with respect to \( \gamma \) is computed as

$$\frac{\partial P_{0|i}}{\partial \gamma} = -\sqrt{N} \exp \left( -N \left( \frac{g_i(\gamma)}{|h_i|^2 - |h_i|^2} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\partial g_i(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma}.$$  

(35)

For \( \sigma^2_0 > \sigma^2_1 \), i.e., \( |h_0| > |h_1|^2 \), there is

$$\frac{\partial g_i(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left[ |h_0|^2 - |h_1|^2 \right] \left[ \ln \left( \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_1|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_1|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) \right].$$

(36)

Since

$$\frac{\partial g_0(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left[ -1 - \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_0|^2} + \frac{1}{|h_0|^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left( \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) \right],$$

(37)

and \( x - 1 > \ln x \) for \( x > 0 \), we obtain \( \frac{\partial g_0(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} < 0 \); Meanwhile, since \( x > \ln(1 + x) \) for \( x > 0 \), we have \( \frac{\partial g_1(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} > 0 \). Thus, \( P_{0|0} \) and \( P_{0|1} \) are increasing and decreasing functions of \( \gamma \), respectively. Moreover, it can be checked that when \( \gamma \) turns to infinity, \( P_{0|0} \) and \( P_{0|1} \) will respectively meet a ceiling and a floor at

$$P_{0|0}^\text{ce} \triangleq Q \left( \sqrt{N} \left[ \frac{|h_0|^2}{|h_0|^2 - |h_1|^2} \ln \left( \frac{|h_0|^2}{|h_1|^2} \right) - 1 \right] \right),$$

(38)

$$P_{0|1}^\text{ce} \triangleq Q \left( \sqrt{N} \left[ \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_0|^2 - |h_1|^2} \ln \left( \frac{|h_1|^2}{|h_0|^2} \right) - 1 \right] \right).$$

(39)

Substituting (38) and (39) into (26), we know the channel capacity will reach a ceiling at

$$C_{\text{BIBO}}^\text{ce} \triangleq -h(P_{0|0}^\text{ce}) + P_{0|0}^\text{ce} \ln \left( \frac{P_{0|0}^\text{ce}}{P_{0|0}^\text{ce}} \right) - \log(1 - \tilde{q}),$$

(40)

when \( \gamma \) becomes large, where

$$\tilde{q} = \frac{1}{1 + 2 \frac{\partial g_0(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} \frac{\partial g_1(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma}}.$$  

(41)

**E. Binary Symmetric Channel**

It is also of interest to see when would the BIBO channel become a binary symmetric channel (BSC), i.e., the errors are symmetric \( P_{0|1} = P_{1|0} \), by setting a proper detection threshold \( T_h \). Let us first write the energy detection rule of BSC as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{H}_0 & \sigma^2_0 > \sigma^2_1, \\
\mathbb{H}_1 & \sigma^2_0 < \sigma^2_1.
\end{array} \right.$$  

(42)

For a relatively large \( N \), \( z \) can be well approximated by Gaussian distribution as

$$f(z|\mathbb{H}_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_i^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(z - N \sigma_i^2)^2}{2N \sigma_i^2} \right].$$

(43)

For case \( \sigma^2_0 > \sigma^2_1 \), \( P_{0|0} \) and \( P_{1|0} \) can be expressed as

$$P_{0|1} = \int_{T_{\text{BSC}}}^\infty f(z|\mathbb{H}_1)dz = Q \left( \frac{T_{\text{BSC}} - N \sigma_i^2}{\sqrt{N} \sigma_i^2} \right),$$

(33)

$$P_{1|0} = \int_{-\infty}^{T_{\text{BSC}}} f(z|\mathbb{H}_0)dz = 1 - Q \left( \frac{T_{\text{BSC}} - N \sigma_i^2}{\sqrt{N} \sigma_i^2} \right).$$

(44)
It can be easily computed from $P_{01} = P_{10}$ that

$$T_{BSC} = \frac{2N\sigma_0^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma_1^2} \quad (45)$$

is the threshold to get the BSC. Similarly, for case $\sigma_0^2 < \sigma_1^2$, the threshold to get the BSC is also calculated as (45). Hence, the BSC has the capacity

$$C_{BSC} = 1 - h(P_{01}), \quad (46)$$

which is a specific expression of the general one (26) when $P_{01} = P_{10}$.

IV. BINARY INPUT AND SIGNAL OUTPUT CHANNEL

From the information theoretical viewpoint, signal processing such as detecting with a threshold at the receiver would artificially cause information loss. Hence, another interest of research is to find out how much the information can be artificially cause information loss. Hence, another interest of research is to find out how much the information can be transmit from the tag to the receiver without any artificial loss. Hence, in this section we consider continuous signal received at the reader as the channel output, i.e., BISO channel, as depicted in Fig. 3.

The BISO channel is consisted of the discrete input $D = \{d = 0, d = 1\}$, the continuous output $Y$ and a set of PDFs $f(y|d=i)$ describing the relationship between $D$ and $Y$.

Based on the assumption that $s[n] \sim CN(0, P_s)$, the signal received at the reader, $Y$, follows the circularly symmetric complex Gaussian distribution for given input $d = i$. Denote $Y \sim CN(0, \sigma_i^2)$. Let $Y_R = R\{Y\}$ and $Y_I = \Im\{Y\}$. It can be readily found that $Y_R$ and $Y_I$ are independent from each other, and they both follow the Gaussian distribution, i.e., $Y_R, Y_I \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2})$. Thus we have

$$f(y_R|d=i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_i^2}} e^{-\frac{y_R^2}{\sigma_i^2}}, \quad (47)$$

and

$$I(D; Y) = I(D; Y_R) + I(D; Y_I) = 2I(D; Y_R). \quad (48)$$

According to (11), the mutual information between $D$ and $Y_R$ can be computed as

$$I(D; Y_R) \triangleq I_0 + I_1 = \sum_{i=0}^1 P(d=i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_R|d=i) \log \frac{f(y_R|d=i)}{\sum_{k=0}^1 P(d=k) f(y_R|d=k)} dy_R, \quad (49)$$

where $I_0$ and $I_1$ correspond to the parts of $i = 0$ and $i = 1$, respectively.

Substituting (47) into $I_0$, we obtain that

$$I_0 = -p \log p - \frac{2p}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma_0^2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_0^2}} \log \left(1 + e^{-\beta y_R^2}\right) dy_R, \quad (50)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2}}$ and $\beta = \frac{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}$.

The following lemma is provided below before we further compute $I_0$:

Lemma 2. For any $a, b, c > 0$, there is

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-ay^2} \log \left(1 + ce^{-by^2}\right) dy = \frac{K^{-\frac{a}{2}}}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\ln K}{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \log \left(1 + c\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^2\right). \quad (51)$$

Proof: Let $r = y^2$. Then we have $dy = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} dr$ and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ar^2} \log \left(1 + ce^{-br^2}\right) dr = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ar} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \log \left(1 + ce^{-br}\right) dr. \quad (52)$$

Let $t = e^{-br}$, i.e., $r = -\frac{\ln t}{b}$, $e^{-ar} = t^\frac{a}{b}$ and $dr = -\frac{1}{t^2} dt$. Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ar} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \log \left(1 + ce^{-br}\right) dr = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{a}{b}-1} \left(\frac{\ln \frac{1}{t}}{t}\right)^{-\frac{a}{2}} \log \left(1 + ct\right) dt \triangleq \int_{0}^{1} f(t) dt. \quad (53)$$

A partition of an interval $[0, 1]$ is a finite sequence of numbers of the form $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$, while each $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ is called a subinterval of the partition. The mesh or norm of a partition is defined to be the length of the longest subinterval, that is, max$(x_{i+1} - x_i), i \in [0, n-1]$. A tagged partition $P(x, t)$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ is a partition together with a finite sequence of numbers $t_0, \cdots, t_{n-1}$, where $t_i$ subject to the conditions that $t_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$. In other words, it is a partition together with a distinguished point of every subinterval. The mesh of a tagged partition is the same as that of an ordinary partition.

The Riemann sum of $f(t)$ with respect to the tagged partition $x_0, \cdots, x_n$ together with $t_0, \cdots, t_{n-1}$ is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} f(t_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i), \quad (54)$$

where each term in the sum is the product of the value of the function at a given point and the length of an interval. It is known that the Riemann integral is the limit of the Riemann sums of a function as the partitions get finer.
One popular restriction is the use of regular subdivisions of an interval. For example, the $K$-th regular subdivision of $[0, 1]$ consists of the intervals as follows
\[
\left[ 0, \frac{1}{K} \right], \left[ \frac{1}{K}, \frac{2}{K} \right], \ldots, \left[ \frac{K-1}{K}, 1 \right],
\] (55)
which divides $[0, 1]$ into $K$ subintervals with the $k$-th interval being $[\frac{k-1}{K}, \frac{k}{K})$, and picks out the midpoint of each interval as the tagged partitions, i.e., $t_k = \frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{K}$. Since the Riemann sum can be made as close as desired to the Riemann integral by making the partition fine enough, $K$ should be set relatively large.

Based on the above discussion, the integral in (53) can be computed as
\[
\int_0^1 f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{K} f(t_k) = \frac{1}{2K\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{K} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}-1} \left( \ln \frac{K}{k-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \left( 1 + c(k-\frac{1}{2}) \right)
\] (56)
Thus Lemma 2 is proved.

According to Lemma 2, we can obtain the closed-form of $I_0$ as follows
\[
I_0 = -p \log p - pI_{00},
\] (57)
where $I_{00}$ is given by (58).

Similarly, the closed-form expression of $I_1$ can be derived as follows
\[
I_1 = \frac{2(1-p)}{\sqrt{\pi} \sigma_1^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_1^2}} \left[ \log \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_1^2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2}} - \log \frac{pe^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_0^2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_0^2}} - \log \left( 1 + \alpha e^{-\beta y} \right) \right] dy = (1-p) \log \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) - \frac{(1-p)(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2)}{2\sigma_0^2 \ln 2} - (1-p)I_{11},
\] (59)
where $I_{11}$ is given by (60).

Combine (48), (50) and (59), we can obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** For the BISO channel of ambient backscatter, the mutual information between the input $D$ and the output $Y$ is
\[
I(D; Y) = -2pI_{00} - 2(1-p)I_{11} - 2p \log p - 2(1-p) \log \left( \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2}} - \frac{(1-p)(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2)}{2\sigma_0^2 \ln 2} \right).
\] (61)

Moreover, the capacity of the BISO channel can be expressed as
\[
C_{\text{BISO}} = \max_p I(D; Y).
\] (62)

Although it is difficult to derive the closed-form expression of the capacity of the BISO channel, we can simply apply a one-dimensional searching for the maximum $I(D; Y)$ since $C_{\text{BISO}}$ is a function of one single variable $p$. We will obtain the capacity and the corresponding optimal input distribution via numerical simulation.
Before further deriving the closed-form expression of (71), we provide the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.** For any $a, b, c > 0$, there is

$$I_{00} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_0^2}{2\pi} \int e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_0^2}} dx} \int e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} dy,$$

$$I_{11} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2\pi} \int e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} dx} \int e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_0^2}} dy.$$

**Proof:** Letting $t = e^{-bt}$, we have $e^{-at} = t^a$ and $\text{d}z = \frac{1}{b} \text{d}t$, and it can be derived that

$$I_{00} = \frac{1}{bK^2} \log \left( 1 + c(k - \frac{1}{2}) \right).$$

Similarly, employing the $K$-th regular subdivision of $[0, 1]$ which divides $[0, 1]$ into $K$ subintervals with the $k$-th interval being $[\frac{k-1}{K}, \frac{k}{K}]$, and pick out the midpoint of each interval as the tagged partitions, i.e., $t_k = \frac{k-1}{K}$.

Thus the Riemann Integral of (69) can be computed as

$$I_{11} = \frac{1}{b} \int_0^1 g(t) \text{d}t = \frac{1}{b} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{K} g(t_k).$$

**Theorem 3.** For the BIEO channel of ambient backscatter, the mutual information between the input $D$ and the output $Z$ is

$$I(D; Z) = -(1-p)J_{00} - (1-p)J_{11} - p \log p - (1-p) \log \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) - (1-p) \log \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) + \frac{2}{(1-p)} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right).$$

**VI. Numerical Results**

In this section, we resort to numerical simulation to evaluate the proposed studies. Compared with the distance between the source and the tag reader, the distance between the tag and the reader is normally much shorter. We think that there might be a dominant line of sight between the tag and the reader, and Rician fading [28] may be more applicable for the channel between. Therefore, we generate the channels $h_{sr}, h_{xt}$ to follow $CN(0, 1)$ and make $h_{tr}$ follow the normalized Rician distribution with the shape parameter $K = 10$ and the scale parameter $\Omega = 1$.

In the first example, we present the one-dimensional searching of $\frac{\partial C_{\text{BIBO}}}{\partial T}$ to 0 to numerically locate the optimal threshold $T_k^*$ that maximizes $C_{\text{BIBO}}(T_k)$, and compare it with the ML detection threshold $T_{\text{ML}}$ in Fig. 5. The BSC threshold $T_{\text{BSC}}$ is also displayed for comparison. To facilitate demonstration, we take some different specific channel realizations while fix $N = 50$. As expected, it is seen that the optimal threshold $T_k^*$ is an increasing function of SNR, since the gaps between $\sigma_0^2$ and $\sigma_1^2$ increases with SNR. Moreover, $T_k^*$ is almost the same as $T_{\text{ML}}$, which allows us to use the closed-form $T_{\text{ML}}$ for many other analytical or numerical studies. In addition, it is found that although the detection with $T_{\text{BSC}}$, i.e., equal detection error probability, cannot realize the optimal capacity, $T_{\text{BSC}}$ is very close to $T_k^*$.

Fig. 6 shows the capacity of the BIBO channel (26) versus SNR for one specific realization of channel $h_{sr} = 0.26 - 1.40i$, $h_{xt} = -0.22 + 0.51i$, and $h_{tr} = 0.89 + 0.19i$, and the capacity ceiling (40) is also displayed for comparison. We set


\[
J_{00} = \frac{\sigma_0^2 K \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \log \left(1 + \frac{(1-p)\sigma_0^2 (k - \frac{1}{2})}{p\sigma_1^2 K}\right). \tag{72}
\]

\[
J_{11} = \frac{\sigma_0^2 K \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \log \left(1 + \frac{(1-p)\sigma_0^2 (k - \frac{1}{2})}{p\sigma_1^2 K}\right). \tag{74}
\]

---

**Fig. 5.** Detection thresholds versus SNR for the BIBO channel of ambient backscatter under specific channel realization.

**Fig. 6.** Capacity of the BIBO channel versus SNR under a specific channel realization, where \(h_{sr} = 0.26 - 1.40i\), \(h_{at} = -0.22 + 0.51i\), and \(h_{tr} = 0.89 + 0.19i\), and the detection threshold is set as \(T_{ML}\).

**Fig. 7.** Mutual information between the binary input \(D\) and the binary output \(\hat{D}\) versus input distribution \(p\) for various channel realizations.

--

We next show the mutual information between the binary input \(D\) and binary output \(\hat{D}\) versus the input distribution \(p\) for various specific channel realizations in Fig. 7, where SNR = 10 dB, \(N = 50\), and the detection threshold is set as \(T_{ML}\). The numerical maximum value of each mutual information curve is marked by a triangle, and the derived capacity-achieving input-distribution \(p^*\) (23) of each curve is marked by a dotted line. We see that the derived capacity-achieving input distributions match the numerical results very well. It is also interesting to find that, for any channel value, the channel capacity is achieved almost by a uniform distribution on the input, i.e., \(p = 0.5\). This is not unexpected since the optimal ML detection normally sets the optimal threshold in the middle of \(\sigma_0^2\) and \(\sigma_1^2\), i.e., the binary symmetrical channel, and hence, the inputs distribution should also be symmetric.

As we have shown in [7], [15], the relative channel difference (RCD) given by

\[
RCD = \frac{||h_0||^2 - |h_1|^2}{|h_0|^2 + |h_1|^2} = \frac{|h_{sr}|^2 - |h_{sr} + \alpha h_{at} h_{tr}|^2}{|h_{sr}|^2 + |h_{sr} + \alpha h_{at} h_{tr}|^2} \tag{77}
\]

makes a big difference in the detection performance. Thus, for random channel realization, we add a constraint that \(RCD \geq 0.1\) to avoid some poor channel conditions.

The mutual information between the binary input \(D\) and the signal output \(Y\) versus the input distribution \(p\) under random channel realization with \(RCD \geq 0.1\) is depicted in Fig. 8, where SNR = 10 dB and \(N = 1\). Meanwhile, the partition number of the Riemann Integral, \(K\), is set as 1000, 2000,
It is shown that the larger the \( K \) is, the input distribution corresponding to the mutual information curve is marked by a spot. It is seen that the mutual information will be. The mutual information of the three kinds of channels will approach a ceiling level when the SNR grows to a certain value, say 20 dB.

Lastly, we compare the mutual information between the binary input \( \hat{D} \) and the binary output \( \hat{D} \), the signal output \( Y \), and the energy output \( Z \), respectively, versus the SNR under random channel realization with RCD \( \geq 0.2 \). In Fig. 10, where \( N = 1 \), \( K = 10000 \), and the binary input distribution is uniform. It is verified since \( D, Y, Z, \hat{D} \) form a Markov chain \( Y \to Z \to \hat{D} \). In addition, the larger the SNR is, the larger the mutual information will be. The mutual information of the three kinds of channels will approach a ceiling level when the SNR grows to a certain value, say 20 dB.

### VII. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigate three kinds of channels, i.e., the BIBO, BISO and BIEO channels, for the ambient backscatter system from information theoretic viewpoint. For the BIBO channel, we derived the closed-form expressions of the mutual information, the capacity, the capacity-achieving input distribution, and a tight capacity ceiling when SNR turns relatively large. For the BISO and BIEO channels, we computed the closed-form mutual information between their inputs and outputs, respectively, while their semi-closed-form capacity values can be obtained from one dimensional searching. Simulation results show that the threshold maximizing the BIBO capacity is almost the same as that of the ML detector. Moreover, the mutual information of the BIEO channel is the lower bound of that of the BIBO channel, but is the upper bound of that the BISO channel. In addition, the capacity of all three different channels are achieved almost by the uniform input distribution.

Intuitively, signal processing can cause information loss.
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